## DIBATE

ON THE BANK QUESTION,

Thich took place in the House of Commons, from Dec. 29 to Jan. 6.

(Continued)

Mr. Nash observed, from the patience with which the House had listened to other gentlemen who had at different times addressed them on the subject now under consideration, he trusted he should be heard with like indulgence. Indeed, from the importauce of the measure proposed, he had no doubt, late as it was in the session, and jaded as members must be, they would willingly hear any one who wished to address them. The subject, sir, is one of deep and general interest-to us, to the full amount of our characters for intelligence and firmness, and to the people at large to the full amount of all their social and domestic comfort. remains to be decided, sir, whether, true to our trusts, we shall pursue that course which the interests of our constituents demands, or yielding to the denunciations and threats which have beer so often thundered in our cars upon this floor, shrink from the performance of our duty, and pass this destructive bill. Pass it, sir and from the mountains to the sea-shore, it will be felt and deplored It is not my intention, sir, in addressing the house at this time, to discass the several constitutional and legal questions which have arises in the course of former debates, upon other bills. If the arguments of the gentleman from Newbern have failed to carry conviction home to those who heard him, it would indeed be folly in me to attempt it. I shall not therefore stop to enquire whether this legislature can, in direct violation of the constitution of the United States, of our own State, and of the repeated decisions of the highest judicial tribunals known to our country, pass laws of confiscation, or ex post facto, or laws violating the obligation of contracts .-Nor shall I stop to enquire whether it be the law, as has been asserted that upon the dissolution of a charter, the real estate held by the corporation reverts to the grantor, the personal to the sovereignty of the country, and that, as a consequence of such dissolution, the debts due both to and from the company, are extinguished. Nor shall I enquire whether the specifications of offen sive and illegal acts on the part of the officers of this institution. are such as, if judicially ascertained, will result in a judgment of forfeiture of their franchise; but shall, for the present, take it for granted that the charters are forfeited-that these consequences do not follow-that we have a right, a legal and constitutional right, to pass the bill on your table, and confine myself to the enquiry ought it to pass? It has become fashionable for gentlemen who address the House upon this subject, to inform us in what relation they stand to these institutions as stockholders or debtors, and it seems to be the opinion of some, that in order that their opinions should have due weight, that they become the trumpeters of their own independence. In compliance with this new requisition, permit me to say, I am not and never was a stockholder in the State Bank, nor am I its debtor as principal or security. My name does not, to my knowledge, appear upon its books. I have therefore no other interest in this matter, than that which pertains to me as a citizen of the country .- I am not, sir, the apologist of this bank. I believe its affairs have been greatly mismanagedthat its officers have resorted to measures disreputable to themselves, injurious to the character of the state, and oppressive to its dealers-I am not its advocate to show that the acts complained of are not forbidden by its charter, were necessary to the safety of the institution, and not injurious to the community at large,ain here, sir, as the representative of a portion of the sovereignty of this state; and as an advocate for the people at large, I do most silemnly warn gentlemen of the tremendous results of the bill upon your table if passed into a law, and do most earnestly beseech them, in their blind fory against this bank, not to lose sight of what is due to the citizens of the State. It will be recollected, sir, that the bill now under consideration is essentially different from the one originally proposed by the gentleman from Granville. By that plan, the banks were to be plundered of all their property of every species, and the proceeds confiscated to the use of the state, a joint corporator in each institution, and equally answerable, in conscience and justice, for every act of fraud, injustice and uppression. Nay further, a bill in equity was to be filed by the Attorney-General, the object of which was, as was suspected, to wrench from some of these corporators their superfluous wealth. This was the original plan-and one of more gross and stupendous iniquity never was presented to the Legislature of North-Carolina. It has been aptly designated, the plan of rapine and plunder. This plan was altered, and the chancery part stricken out-and on yesterday, sir, we were presented by the gentlemen who have combined to remedy the diseases of the body politic with the present-Stript, it is true, of the odions and disgraceful features of the original plan, (but still fraught with danger and destruction to the community) and for this partial return to virtue and good sense, we are indebted, I presume, to the gentleman from Mecklenburg. I have known him, sir, long, and known him well, and was satisfied he was too sound both of head and heart, to sanction the first or second bill. The measure now proposed pays a silent homage to the argument of the gentleman from New bern, by abandoning the principles against which he reasoned and recognizing as true, the doctrine so much declaimed against, of the extinguishment of the debts due from and to the banks, b a dissolution of the charters.

Here Mr. Potter, ruse to explain .- Mr. N. resigned the floor Mr. P. observed he owed it to himself and the gentlemen who had assisted in framing this bill, to state distinctly, that they retained their opinions heretofore expressed, that the debts would not be extinguished, and that the clause alluded to, was inserted from abundant caution.

at and notice gentlemen's opinions, only as they were made public property. He had stated, that the bill recognized the correctness of the opinions pronounced by the gentleman from Newbern. for it expressly provides that the debts shall not be extinguished. The gentleman from Granville says, this clause was inserted from abundant caution-caution sir, -and yet we have been told on this bank had already derived from their stock more than the law allows-that all over is unjust-and that they ought not only to lose what is now due them, but be made to disgorge their iniquitous gains. But, sir, to return to this bill-its friends tell us they have two objects in view, one is the ponishment of those interested in the State Bank for the improprieties of their conduct, and the other to give relief to the people. Will it effectuate either of their objects? I think it can be clearly shown it will do neither. The first object is the punishment of the banks. Let us see how far, and in what way it works this end .- We have been told officially on this floor, that the bank is solvent, and only so through the solvency of its debtors; and the ability of its debtors to meet their engagements at the bank, rests upon the indulgence which may be extended to them. And we have heard, day after day, that there Sir, this adium and clamour does exist, and the State Bank officers connot be ignorant of it. By a public report, they have proclaimed

his own money—that it is due to themselves and to their debtors, to begin to wind up their affiairs, and they have given public notice that they will call in their debts at one-tenth of the whole, State to be unwilling to trust the commissioner whom your Judge every ninety days. This resolution, sir, I cannot but think, injudicious and ill-timed. It has increased the fears and discontents them unwilling to trust the pledged faith of the State to make good of the community, and a general inability to comply with it, is felt and expressed; and we have been repeatedly told, it is our duty to interfere and to prevent the execution of this destructive measure. And, sir, what does this bill propose? Monstrous as it may appear, it actually compels the State either to collect the whole of the debts due this institution immediately, and to distribute them among the stockholders, and thereby ruin, the community, or to give indulgence to the debtors, and guarantee their solvency to the bank. Is this the fact, sir, or is it not? I heg gentlemen to take op the hill, and accompany me while I read the 2d commence an immediate collection; or they may divide among section. (Mr. Mish here read the 2d section.) By this section. upon a judgment of forfriture being rendered, and I am taking it in his individual name. What is to prevent it? Does the present for granted, sir. that the gentlemen reporting this bill are too bill? Suppose an action brought by the Bank, under those circum profoundly versed in the constitution and laws of their country, and with the evidence before them, not to know what will be the judgment of the Court—commissioners are to be appointed, whose duty it shall be to proceed to collect the debts due the institution, and after paying the claims upon it, to distribute the proceeds repeal it? It would be, that as long as the charter was unrepealed with a due attention to the rights of all the parties concerned .-The commissioners must proceed to collect—there is no alternative, no provision in the bill for the renewal of notes, nor made pointed out by which it is to be done. Let it be recollected, sir, that although this Assembly is a political body, your courts of justice are not. Pass this bill. institute this prosecution as you that by the 3d section of the bill, the Bank is restrained from trans legally may-place these individuals at the bar of justice, and their ferring its evidences of debt. You would be told that no man, no rights at once become sacred. The idle declamation to which we set of men, in this country, can be legally punished for offences until have this session been compelled so often to listen, will pass by them as the idle wind, and though passion and interest may clamour without, the adder's ear will not be more deaf than will be innocent; and that it is not the province of this Legislature to those of your Judges in listening to any thing but the law and the adjudge the cause, or inflict the punishment. In every point of evidence. Looking to their warrant, the act we pass, they will there find themselves directed to proceed with a due regard to the that it in any respect answers the objects of its advocates. Tomy rights of all parties. What will be those rights? That the debtor apprehension, it is but a compound of absurdity. shall pay when the time agreed on has expired. Sir, I have assumed that your Judges are honest men-that having sworn to execute the law without favor or affection, they will obey this law as far as it is constitutional so to do. Are the people able to pay immediately what they owe the State Bank? Sir, it is well known these the only individuals, or the only interests, to be affected by they are not. The people, according to official statements on your table, owe this institution \$2,500,000. It has in circulation some- the gentlemen who have preceded me in this debate, the subject thing under \$1,000,000. Suppose the whole of this sum in this has been discussed, as if these institutions and their creditors were State, and to be applied to the extinguishment pro tunto of the debt, there then would be left a debt of \$1,500,000 to be discharg- State of North-Carolina nothing at stake-of character or intered. And how is this to be done? It cannot be done. What est? Have those of her citizens who have no connection with this then, sir, is the consequence ?- that in twelve months after the Bank, no interest in the question we are discussing? These are passage of this bill into a law, the real property now owned by important enquiries, -which it becomes us to make with all delithe debtors of this Institution, together with their slaves and other beration-and which, as guardians of the public weal, we are not personal property, will have passed into the hands of these lordly permitted to disregard. Let it be recollected, that the State of Stockholders, as they are termed. This must be the case, for they North-Carolina is a stockholder to a larger amount than any other will be the only bidders there will be none others in market .- in this Bank; that she has derived large profits from it; that her But, Sir, suppose your Judges not to be honest men-suppose them Treasurer is ex officio a member of the Board of Directors; that regardless of their official duty, and to grant indulgence, either by the charter, be has a right to an inspection of the books every by not collecting the notes due the Institution, or by erecting themselves into a Banking Concern, and renewing the notes so due .- gislature. If these nefarious transactions have taken place, why What, in that case, would be the result? The State of North- have they been permitted to sleep until now? If usurious gains Carolina, by every principle of honor and justice, would be bound have been made, into whose coffers have they flowed? If oppresto guarantee to the State Bank the solvency of its debtors. Let sion has been practised, to whose benefit has it been done. If a it be recollected that the State Bank is no party to our act-her straffic in their own notes has been indulged in to the disgrace of consent is neither asked for nor permitted. Your Judges and Com. the institution, and to the swelling of the coffers of the stockholdmissioners are your agents, over whose acts, the Bank has no con- ers, -who, I ask you, of those stockholders have had their coffers trol. If, then, by their improper conduct, either with or without | the most richly filled? Sir, the citizens of this State do not conyour sanction, a loss accrues, upon whom is that loss to fall? By stitute the only tribunal who will sit in judgment upon the transthe clearest principles of justice and of law, upon the party ap- actions of this day. The people of the United States are lookers pointing. We will suppose a case. A. and B. are partners in on, and they will be impartial judges-and if there be disgrace, trade, and by the articles of agreement it is provided, that upon a much, I fear, it will not all be found adhering to the skirts of the dissolution of the copartnership, A shall wind up and settle the Bank. To the people at large, this bill is deeply important. The business. A dissolution does take place, and A. appoints C. his revenue of the State is about \$120,000; half this sum is derived agent to collect the debts, and by his negligence or improper con- from the Banks, either in the shape of taxes or dividends accruing duct, debts are lost-upon whom is the loss to fall? Shall B. who to the State as a stockholder. In the State Bank we have stock had no agency in appointing him, and to whom he is not account- to the amount of \$320,900, and in the other Banks to the amount able, be burthened with a share of the loss, or shall A. bear the of \$391,600. The bill before us, we are told, is the commencewhole? Most clearly, A. shall bear the whole. And will it be ment of a system which proposes the destruction of all-for the pretended that the State is not bound by the same principles of report of the minority of the Bank committee assumes, that all justice which bind her citizens? Will she take by violence, and have sinned, and by their resolution they propose the same punwith the strong hand, from one of her citizens, the management of ishment for all. The destruction of the Banks, or of either of them, his own business, entrust it to an agent of her own appointment, involves necessarily the loss of that portion of the revenue derivaand then refuse to make good any loss that may, through his ne- ble from that particular source—and of course we must, in order gligence, be sustained? And if she would not do this iniquity to to supply the deficiency, resort either to loans or taxes and ultia single one, will she do it to many? This, then, Sir, is the mode | mately the taxes must be raised. What is lost to the revenue by of punishment which is proposed by these gentlemen for the State | the destruction of the Banks, must be paid by the people out of Bank. You relieve them from all the additional odium which the their own pockets. Are we prepared to throw this additional burthe collection of their debts will accumulate upon them, and kind- then upon them, and are the people prepared to meet and bear it? ly take it upon your own shoulders. They are willing to give their | Pass this bill and you destroy at a stroke \$712,500 of productive debtors two and a half years to pay. You undertake to collect for property belonging to the people. Have we a right to do it? And them what is due immediately, or to stand between them and all we strike from the revenue from 50,000 to \$40,000, which must be loss from delay in collecting. Is this Legislature prepared to a drawn from the pockets of the farmers of the country. They must dopt it? True, by one mode of procedure, you do effectually ruin pay it, and yet we are told the object of this bill is to relieve the the Bank as an Institution, but in a mode that makes the heart sick people-we relieve them by doubling their taxes. Where is your to contemplate—the first victims must be its debtors. By the literary fund—your fund for internal improvement? All invested other, you pledge to the Bank the faith of the State to make good in the stock of these institutions-your University-all-all to be its debts. I ask again, Sir, are gentlemen prepared to take either sacrificed to a blind fury against Individuals, whom you cannot stand? I declare, if I were a Stockholder in this Bank, and could reach but at an expense fearful to look at-upon whom you can close my eyes to the merciless ruin which this measure, if adopted, not inflict one blow, without inflicting upon yourself a dozen .will bring upon the community, I would immediately propose that But, Sir, again, it is not above through their public property and the President, as soon as the process was served upon him, should taxation that this measure is to reach the people and be felt by go into Court and surrender the charter. You should have the them. The history of every civilized nation has taught us, that it pleasure, which some among us seem so eagerly to covet, the plea- is dangerous to tamper with the circulating medium, and all vio-Mr. N. resumed, by observing, he had nothing to do with the sure of laying in the dust the happiness of the country. I think, lent measures with respect to it, operate to the injury of the comprivate opinions of gentlemen, nor had he made any allusion to Sir, I have shewn to the House that this bill either does not pun- munity at large, and particularly of that portion least capable of them. Nor would it be proper for him so to do-he could look ish the Bank; or if it does, it is effected in a mode which I am bearing it-I mean the poor. I have already mentioned that the certain a majority of this douse cannot, will not sanction.

Let us now see what relief it provides for the people. The people of North-Carolina owe the State Bank a debt of \$2,500,000 : the Newbern and Cape-Fear Banks one of \$2,000,000; and to the pass this bill, and you at once strike out of existence, as to any Branch Bank of the United States at Fayetteville, a debt of useful purpose, the whole mass of the State Bank paper, leaving \$1,000,000 - making an aggregate of Bank debt of \$5,500,000 .this floor repeatedly by that gentleman, that the stockholders in We will not enquire, Sir, whether they were compelled to go in with which to make payment to the Banks, and answer the ording debt to the Banks, or whether, as freemen, they contracted them nary expenses to which every man is liable. At the meeting of because they chose so to do; or whether, as honest men, they ought to pay them, but will confine our enquiry, for the present, cent.; since the commencement of this discussion, it has sank to to the sources from which they are to derive the means of making ten. The pledge contained in this bill on the part of the State to payment. The Branch of the United States Bank at Fayetteville make it good, cannot support it-the faith of the State has been throws very few of its notes into circulation-what is their amount I know not. The State Bank has in circulation something under \$1,000,000, the Newbern and Cape-Fear Banks about \$530,000. Suppose the whole of these sums now within the State (a very improbable supposition) and the people have a circulating medium of was by a public act of the Legislature scaled at that rate. Sir, about \$1.500,000 with which to meet a debt of \$5,500,000. It is the paper of the State Bank will, from the passage of this bill, then evident, that time to them is all important-time, Sir, time to them is life, liberty, independence. Press them, and you destroy them-they cannot pay this immense debt if immediately callis in the country one general clamour against this institution in ed in. Does this bill give them time? Does it postpone the day particular-that it is odious, and sir, we have found that no de- of payment? Does it provide for the renewal of the notes of debtvotion to public service, no brilliancy of talent or purity of life ors? Does it provide who shall renew them-to whom they shall and morals, are sufficient to protect the individuals connected with be made payable, and where? Does it increase the means of pay- compel it, and thus, Sir, we shall present the singular and alarmat? If so, in what part of the bill is the provision to be found? ing spectacle of a people vastly indebted, and without any thing loss of public confidence, or shield them from gross insinuation .- If then it neither increases the means by which the people are to be enabled to pay their debts, nor prolongs the day of payment, I tims -their foreign creditors seeing the situation of public credit, beseech gentlemen to point out in what the relief consists. There will become alarmed for their debts. Every cent that is due then to the world, that their stock yields them little or no profit, that is. Sir, nothing in the bill carrying relief to the people; on the will be demanded, and payment will be corred, not in the paper it would be much better for each one to have the management of contrary, it carries swift destruction.

One more remark upon this part of the subject, and I will leave it. Suppose this bill to pass, and suppose the stockholders of a may appoint—his reponsibilities will be immense. Or suppose his defalcations, or the solvency of their debtors. The faith of State has before this, sir, been put at hazard by political quarke And suppose the judicial enquiry to pass through the several in bunals to whose jurisdiction it may be subjected-a considerable length of time must clapse before a final decision can be had. Wh course can or will the Bank pursue, in the mean time? It is in possible for me to say what course they will pursue-but I can readily perceive what course they can pursue, sir. They can she up their doors and refuse to renew the paper of their debtors, and themselves their stock of notes, and each take his share, and an stances where would the debtor go for relief? To a Chancellor What would be the anwser of a Chancellon to an application for an injunction, upon the ground that the Bank had forfeited in charter, and that process had issued, and was then pending the corporation could not be disturbed in the enjoyment of the la gal rights existing under it, except for equities growing out a the contract-and that if the charter were vacated, the Bank was working no injury to its debtor, for the State would be bound to collect for the benefit of the stockholders but suppose it alleged. the existence of the offence is legally ascertained—that until the judge ment of the Court pronounced them guilty, the law deemed them view, then, sir, in which I can consider this bill, I cannot perceive

I have thus far, sir, confined my remarks upon the bill before you, to the effects which it is calculated to have on the State Bank, and those immediately connected with it, as debtwis. Is this the only, or the most important view which is to be taken of it? Are this bill if past into a law? Far, very far from it. By nearly all the persons alone to be affected by our measures. Sir, has the three months, and that annually reports are laid before the Le-

people are indebted to these institutions to the amount of \$5,500,000, and that they have in circulation about \$1,500,000, which constitutes nearly the whole of the circulating medium of the countryto the people but about \$530,000 of Newbern and Cape-Fear notes, the Legislature, State Bank paper was at a discount but of 1 1-2 per always pledged for its redemption, and yet it has at times sunk to ten and fifteen per cent, before this. In five years after the paper money of '77 was emitted, for the redemption of which the faith of the State was pledged, it sunk 800 for 1; and in the year '82, it cease to be of any value except in paying debts to that institution. But, Sir, this is not all the evil-the shock to public credit will be

such, that the paper of the other Banks will crase to act as a cir. culating medium, and the little that is now affoat, will by those Banks be immediately withdrawn from circulation—they will be like a circulating medium. Your merchants will be the first vic-

of the State, but in gold and silver and United States money.