

An American Policy for an American People.

POETRY.

LIFE LESSONS.

Of when love-light shines the brightest, And my heart is beating lightest...

'Twas not ever thus: I mind me, When an opening blossom charmed me...

Song of bird, or streamlet glancing, Sent such thrills of pleasure dancing...

But since then, so oft hath pleasure Faded in pain—earth's richest treasure...

Once a little bud I cherished, In its early fragrance perished...

Thus my sunlight still is shaded By the thought of beauty faded...

'And again that fresh young feeling, Sweetly o'er my senses stealing...

Whispering still of thornless roses— Skies where no dark cloud reposes—...

From the Greenboro' Patriot.

RAIL ROAD MEETING. The Stockholders of the North Carolina Rail Road Company assembled in annual meeting...

Reported Murder of a Soldier. BALTIMORE July 12.—It was stated yesterday that an old soldier, named LOUIS LOUP...

Swindling Operation. CHARLOTTE.—We clip the following bit of interesting information from the August Constitutionalist and Republic...

JEFFERSON AND IMMIGRATION. Every species of government has its specific principles; ours, perhaps, are more peculiar than those of any other in the universe...

RELIGIOUS PERSECUTION.

In these days we hear a great deal of silly chat upon the profane theme. The North Carolina Standard and other print of that ilk have raised the howl of religious persecution against the American people...

This is the merest sophistry; yet it seems to have imposed on some—indeed upon many. One need only examine the argument to see that it has not a leg to stand on...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It has never been held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

THE HIGHER LAW.

Much has been said about the "higher law" doctrine of the abolitionists of the North, which at one fell swoop, immolates Laws, Constitutions and Treaties...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

It is never held, either under the new Constitution or the old, that Roman Catholics are excluded from office or that they are to be treated as a separate class...

THE LAW OF NEWSPAPERS.

1. Subscribers who do not give express notice to the contrary are considered wishing to continue their subscription...

2. If the subscriber order the discontinuance of their papers, the publishers may continue to send them till all cash charges are paid...

3. If subscribers neglect or refuse to take their papers from the office to which they are directed, they held responsible until they have settled their bill, and ordered their paper discontinued...

4. If subscribers remove to other places without informing the publisher, and the paper is sent to the former direction, they are held responsible...

5. The courts have decided that refusing to take a paper or periodical from the office of removing and leaving it uncollected for, is "prima facie" evidence of intentional fraud...

6. If subscribers neglect or refuse to take their papers from the office to which they are directed, they held responsible until they have settled their bill, and ordered their paper discontinued...

7. If subscribers remove to other places without informing the publisher, and the paper is sent to the former direction, they are held responsible...

8. The courts have decided that refusing to take a paper or periodical from the office of removing and leaving it uncollected for, is "prima facie" evidence of intentional fraud...

9. If subscribers neglect or refuse to take their papers from the office to which they are directed, they held responsible until they have settled their bill, and ordered their paper discontinued...

10. If subscribers remove to other places without informing the publisher, and the paper is sent to the former direction, they are held responsible...

11. The courts have decided that refusing to take a paper or periodical from the office of removing and leaving it uncollected for, is "prima facie" evidence of intentional fraud...

12. If subscribers neglect or refuse to take their papers from the office to which they are directed, they held responsible until they have settled their bill, and ordered their paper discontinued...

13. If subscribers remove to other places without informing the publisher, and the paper is sent to the former direction, they are held responsible...

14. The courts have decided that refusing to take a paper or periodical from the office of removing and leaving it uncollected for, is "prima facie" evidence of intentional fraud...

15. If subscribers neglect or refuse to take their papers from the office to which they are directed, they held responsible until they have settled their bill, and ordered their paper discontinued...

16. If subscribers remove to other places without informing the publisher, and the paper is sent to the former direction, they are held responsible...

17. The courts have decided that refusing to take a paper or periodical from the office of removing and leaving it uncollected for, is "prima facie" evidence of intentional fraud...

18. If subscribers neglect or refuse to take their papers from the office to which they are directed, they held responsible until they have settled their bill, and ordered their paper discontinued...

19. If subscribers remove to other places without informing the publisher, and the paper is sent to the former direction, they are held responsible...

20. The courts have decided that refusing to take a paper or periodical from the office of removing and leaving it uncollected for, is "prima facie" evidence of intentional fraud...

21. If subscribers neglect or refuse to take their papers from the office to which they are directed, they held responsible until they have settled their bill, and ordered their paper discontinued...

22. If subscribers remove to other places without informing the publisher, and the paper is sent to the former direction, they are held responsible...

FROM THE AMERICAN ORGAN.

My attention was called last evening to an article published in the Washington Union, denunciating of the following extract, copied from an oration delivered by me on the 4th inst., to-wit:

"The Pope's Nuncio to Spain, before the Cabinet of the President was known to be public here, declared in Madrid that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce."

"The Washington Union is pleased to speak of this extract as 'a distinct perversion of a current allusion,' and says that the Baltimore Republican is right in denouncing this allegation (contained in the extract) as a stupid and senseless falsehood."

"We know of our own knowledge, and from sources which put the question beyond the possibility of controversy, that the above allegation (contained in the extract) is not only false in substance, false in general, and false in detail."

"How the editors of the Union know anything on this subject, 'their own knowledge,' they have not thought fit to explain."

"I saw, a few days since, the article referred to by the Washington Union, and published in the Baltimore Republican, and the Baltimore press indulged in its accustomed backguardism, and declared the author of the allegation 'to bring forward the least particle of proof to sustain him in his charge;'"

"I acknowledge my responsibility to the country, to state the grounds on which I made the allegation. In relation to the first branch of the allegation, I maintain that the Pope's Nuncio in Spain, declared in Madrid, before the Cabinet of the President was known to the public here, that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic, would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce."

"I have to say, that the Hon. Kenneth Rayner, of North Carolina, declared substantially and upon authority, that the Hon. Mr. Barringer, late United States Minister to Spain, which is contained in this branch of the extract. Mr. Barringer is the witness to prove the substance of this allegation, and his response will settle that point."

"The publication of this card, will I trust, produce that response from Mr. Barringer. Mr. Rayner, I know, will not retract his declaration, made publicly and especially in this city, and with respect, than by me."

"In relation to the second branch of the allegation, which was 'that there is other and abundant proof to confirm the opinion, that this appointment (of the Postmaster General) was secured to the Romish hierarchy before the Cabinet of the President was known to the public here, that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic, would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce,'" I have to say, that the Hon. Kenneth Rayner, of North Carolina, declared substantially and upon authority, that the Hon. Mr. Barringer, late United States Minister to Spain, which is contained in this branch of the extract. Mr. Barringer is the witness to prove the substance of this allegation, and his response will settle that point."

"The publication of this card, will I trust, produce that response from Mr. Barringer. Mr. Rayner, I know, will not retract his declaration, made publicly and especially in this city, and with respect, than by me."

"In relation to the second branch of the allegation, which was 'that there is other and abundant proof to confirm the opinion, that this appointment (of the Postmaster General) was secured to the Romish hierarchy before the Cabinet of the President was known to the public here, that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic, would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce,'" I have to say, that the Hon. Kenneth Rayner, of North Carolina, declared substantially and upon authority, that the Hon. Mr. Barringer, late United States Minister to Spain, which is contained in this branch of the extract. Mr. Barringer is the witness to prove the substance of this allegation, and his response will settle that point."

"The publication of this card, will I trust, produce that response from Mr. Barringer. Mr. Rayner, I know, will not retract his declaration, made publicly and especially in this city, and with respect, than by me."

"In relation to the second branch of the allegation, which was 'that there is other and abundant proof to confirm the opinion, that this appointment (of the Postmaster General) was secured to the Romish hierarchy before the Cabinet of the President was known to the public here, that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic, would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce,'" I have to say, that the Hon. Kenneth Rayner, of North Carolina, declared substantially and upon authority, that the Hon. Mr. Barringer, late United States Minister to Spain, which is contained in this branch of the extract. Mr. Barringer is the witness to prove the substance of this allegation, and his response will settle that point."

"The publication of this card, will I trust, produce that response from Mr. Barringer. Mr. Rayner, I know, will not retract his declaration, made publicly and especially in this city, and with respect, than by me."

"In relation to the second branch of the allegation, which was 'that there is other and abundant proof to confirm the opinion, that this appointment (of the Postmaster General) was secured to the Romish hierarchy before the Cabinet of the President was known to the public here, that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic, would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce,'" I have to say, that the Hon. Kenneth Rayner, of North Carolina, declared substantially and upon authority, that the Hon. Mr. Barringer, late United States Minister to Spain, which is contained in this branch of the extract. Mr. Barringer is the witness to prove the substance of this allegation, and his response will settle that point."

"The publication of this card, will I trust, produce that response from Mr. Barringer. Mr. Rayner, I know, will not retract his declaration, made publicly and especially in this city, and with respect, than by me."

"In relation to the second branch of the allegation, which was 'that there is other and abundant proof to confirm the opinion, that this appointment (of the Postmaster General) was secured to the Romish hierarchy before the Cabinet of the President was known to the public here, that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic, would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce,'" I have to say, that the Hon. Kenneth Rayner, of North Carolina, declared substantially and upon authority, that the Hon. Mr. Barringer, late United States Minister to Spain, which is contained in this branch of the extract. Mr. Barringer is the witness to prove the substance of this allegation, and his response will settle that point."

"The publication of this card, will I trust, produce that response from Mr. Barringer. Mr. Rayner, I know, will not retract his declaration, made publicly and especially in this city, and with respect, than by me."

"In relation to the second branch of the allegation, which was 'that there is other and abundant proof to confirm the opinion, that this appointment (of the Postmaster General) was secured to the Romish hierarchy before the Cabinet of the President was known to the public here, that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic, would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce,'" I have to say, that the Hon. Kenneth Rayner, of North Carolina, declared substantially and upon authority, that the Hon. Mr. Barringer, late United States Minister to Spain, which is contained in this branch of the extract. Mr. Barringer is the witness to prove the substance of this allegation, and his response will settle that point."

"The publication of this card, will I trust, produce that response from Mr. Barringer. Mr. Rayner, I know, will not retract his declaration, made publicly and especially in this city, and with respect, than by me."

"In relation to the second branch of the allegation, which was 'that there is other and abundant proof to confirm the opinion, that this appointment (of the Postmaster General) was secured to the Romish hierarchy before the Cabinet of the President was known to the public here, that the present Postmaster General, a Catholic, would be a member of the Cabinet of General Pierce,'" I have to say, that the Hon. Kenneth Rayner, of North Carolina, declared substantially and upon authority, that the Hon. Mr. Barringer, late United States Minister to Spain, which is contained in this branch of the extract. Mr. Barringer is the witness to prove the substance of this allegation, and his response will settle that point."