

THE WILMINGTON POST.

W. P. CANADAY, Ed. & Prop. WILMINGTON, N. C. SUNDAY MORNING, JULY 4, 1880.

FOR PRESIDENT, JAMES A. GARFIELD OF OHIO.

FOR VICE-PRESIDENT, CHESTER A. ARTHUR OF NEW YORK.

Hon. John C. New of Indiana, one of the most sagacious and level-headed Republicans of that state, is in Washington, and gives it as his opinion that the selecting of W. H. English as candidate for Vice-President, as against Hendricks and Senator Wallace, will lose the Democrats the state in the October election.

Thick and fast come the hot shots at the career of Gen. Hancock in Louisiana—the Fifth Military District. He was sent there by order of Andrew Johnson to relieve Gen. Phil Sheridan, who was carrying out the preliminary acts of the Reconstruction law of March 1867, which act of Congress, over the veto of Johnson, had created five military districts in the seceding states, in which the military law was supreme. Having been coached at Washington, on the very day of assuming command in the Fifth Military District he issued order No. 49, which we print in another column, in absolute defiance of the law of Congress. This and subsequent acts of Gen. Hancock are the cause of his selection by the Democrats as their Candidate for President of the United States.

At New Haven, the seat of Yale College, on last Sunday, the President attended the old Centre Church, where the Rev. Mr. Seldon of Manchester, New Hampshire, preached, and the Rev. Dr. Leonard Bacon, distinguished as a theologian and a man of learning, now bearing the weight of 76 years, and in his 53th year as Pastor of the Centre Church, made an address in which he alluded to the presence of the President, and spoke of the fact that a new Connecticut had been formed in Vermont, and subsequently another south of Lake Erie, by people who went from here, and that the ancestors of the visitor were among those going first to Vermont and then to Ohio. In the afternoon President Hayes visited the Centre Church crypt, where his ancestors are buried.

The Secretary of the Treasury will probably discontinue the purchase of bonds this week. The disbursements for the present month, inclusive of interest on bonds, have about \$18,000,000. Of this amount nearly \$9,000,000 was of 1872 of their widow, and the other class of pensions for which appropriations were made in the Deficiency Pension Appropriation bill, approved May 31. The disbursements for July will be heavier still, as the money called for by the general appropriation bills for the fiscal year of 1880—which aggregate \$486,405,000—will become available as required by the demands of the service. The Navy and War Departments will need several millions each immediately. There will also be a few millions more to pay pensions. It will, therefore, be readily perceived that the Treasury will not be in a condition for some time to make its weekly purchases of bonds.

WHAT IS SAID OF HANCOCK. The Norfolk Day Book, a Readjuster organ, thus expresses its opinion of Hancock:

The masses of the people of Virginia know as much about the nature and extent of Hancock's operations on her sacred soil probably as he of the Landmark. It having been impressed on their memories by painful evidences during the war. The question then is, can that paper's soothing now wipe out these painful evidences, simply because its editor wants to flip his "blondie shirt" on the other side of the question? We suspect the great body of the Democrats of Virginia are not likely to partake of this craze gotten up for their especial stultification by the northern Democrats, and urged upon them by southern Democratic leaders because they have itching palms. Like in the Greeley campaign, these people will refuse to follow their leaders, and the peans sung in praise of Hancock by the Bourbon minstrel will fall on listless ears.

A Dishonest and Disbelieved Witness.

Just now it thinks that Oakes Ames is a good witness to call against James A. Garfield! Oakes Ames is the man who, upon the unanimous report of the congressional committee appointed to investigate the Credit Mobilier charges, was expelled from the house. Garfield is the man who, upon the unanimous report of the same committee, was completely exonerated from the charge. And yet, because Ames' testimony was at variance with that of Garfield, the Democrats would have their readers believe that the discrepancies are fatal, not to Ames but to Garfield! It seeks to blacken the character of an unimpeached man by showing that a man who was scourged from his congressional seat for corrupt practices gave some testimony which bore against him. It makes no difference that Garfield's testimony plainly contradicts that of the other. For the purpose of this campaign our neighbors evidently propose to act on the injunction: Let Oakes Ames be true and every other man a liar.—Albany Journal.

THE CINCINNATI PLATFORM.

We make at this early period of the canvass certain statements regarding the platform, as it is called, of the Democratic National Convention recently held in Cincinnati. We will try to make a statement so intelligible that it cannot help being understood.

The Democrats of the United States in convention assembled declare: I. We pledge ourselves anew to the constitutional doctrines and traditions of the Democratic party, as illustrated by the teachings and example of a long line of Democratic statesmen and patriots, and embodied in the platform of the last National Convention of the party.

It will be noticed that this platform about to be put forth redopted the platform of the Democratic Convention which nominated Tilden at St. Louis in 1876. This platform commenced by declaring for the perpetuity of the "Federal Union"; the acceptance of the amendments made to the constitution which were engendered by the civil war; the absolute will of the majority; the supremacy of the civil over the military power; religious freedom; the equality of citizens before the law; &c., &c. [Anybody who wishes to see the whole Democratic platform of 1876 can find it by inquiring at any book store.]

The platform went on to declare against centralism, to denounce the failure of the Republicans to bring about specie resumption [which they have now done], to denounce stringent currency, [thus bidding for Greenback votes], to declare for a practical abolition of our tariff so that there would be no money to meet the expenses of the government, denouncing the profligate waste of public lands, and other more trivial things. Of course a great deal that was in these preliminary declarations was false, but at all events they have re-adopted it.

At this point the platform was numbered into two sections, 1st, that there should be "no sectarian strife in regard to public schools," and 2nd, there should be no more "sectional hate" between the north and south which might prevent an indivisible Republic. The rest of the platform of 1876, consisted of an incoherent conglomeration of alleged wrongs on the part of the Republicans which contained neither truth nor common sense. Yet this miserable mass of misrepresentation the late Cincinnati convention have re-adopted, and it is to be crammed down the throat of every Democrat before he can vote.

Now let us see what they have adopted this year besides what has been mentioned above. The 2nd section denounces "centralization" (which includes the power to suppress rebellion as the government were occupied by a state in the United States, and never was); the 3rd section declares in favor of home rule, [which means the right back to a state to defy the power of the United States, or in other words a legalization of rebellion]; the 4th section and the 5th we copy entire:

IV. The subordination of the military to the civil power, and a general and thorough reform of the civil service.

V. The right to a free ballot is the right preservative of all rights, and must and shall be maintained in every part of the United States.

"The right to a free ballot" which they say "is the right preservative of all rights," is not known much in the south. This is one of the things put in to tickle the fancies and deceive the northern Democrats.

Now comes the 6th section, as follows: VI. The existing Administration is the representative of conspiracy only, and its claim of right to surround the ballot-boxes with troops and Deputy Marshals, to intimidate and obstruct the electors, and the unprecedented use of the veto to maintain its corrupt and despotic power, insults the people and imperils their institutions.

Just let every southern man read that. Whether heard of marshals or "troops" doing anything but keeping the peace at the polls? Everybody knows that right in North Carolina, in at least thirty counties, in the year 1876, Republicans were driven away from the polls by force. It is true that we never have had many marshals in the south, but there are many places where they ought to be stationed to prevent drunken ruffians from driving negroes or timid whites from the polls.

The rest of the platform is mere stump speaking denouncing the coming out of that old fraud Tilden, who never was elected, although he sent his agents all round through the south with the "bar" of money to buy them up. And after saying something in the 10th, 11th, 12th and 13th Resolutions about free ships, repeal of the Chinese treaty, public money to protect the public credit, which is exactly what the Republicans have been doing for ten years. Last of all a resolution denounces the commane, which is not numerous enough in this country to be of any account, and then winds up by a grand flourish, boasting that the Democratic majority in Congress have diminished the public expenditures, when everybody knows that they have increased all the appropriations and that the

Garfield vs. Hancock.

We condense from observation of a correspondent of the N. Y. Times, who was present both at Chicago and Cincinnati, items relating to Garfield and Hancock—or rather contrasting the two men, with details as to their character and the reception of their respective nominations by the public. The statement bears in itself the evidence of fairness and truth, and is conclusive evidence to the respective standing of the two men before the American people.

When it was announced that James A. Garfield and Chester A. Arthur had been nominated at Chicago, the overwhelming shout of applause which came from the 10,000 people assembled in the convention building seemed to wake a responsive echo in every town and hamlet throughout the north and west. The cheer for the Republican nominees was so unanimous at Chicago spread fast as the telegraph could carry the news through all Illinois, even to "Egypt." Then Indiana took it up, it spread to Ohio, and the warmth of the applause was so great that no words of description could do it justice. So, for across the border in New York the cry "hurrah for Garfield and Arthur" was heard on every hand.

No such greeting has been given to the announcement of the result at Cincinnati. The ticket nominated by the Democratic convention has fallen like a wave of cold water on the rank and file of the party. Hancock is, of course, a respectable figure-head, no one disputes that, but he has never been seriously thought of in connection with the Presidency or with a great popular movement. He may be an estimable gentleman, and all that sort of thing, but he is not a man who in any sense appeals to the sympathies of the voters who contribute to Democratic majorities. He is not a Democrat. All his tendencies are aristocratic and more exclusive. The peculiar and not altogether agreeable air of the West Point graduate still clings to him.

It would be marked and clearly defined that which is presented by the Republican and Democratic nominees, who contribute to Democratic majorities. He is not a Democrat. All his tendencies are aristocratic and more exclusive. The peculiar and not altogether agreeable air of the West Point graduate still clings to him.

Garfield's History. It would be marked and clearly defined that which is presented by the Republican and Democratic nominees, who contribute to Democratic majorities. He is not a Democrat. All his tendencies are aristocratic and more exclusive. The peculiar and not altogether agreeable air of the West Point graduate still clings to him.

Hancock's History. On the other hand, what is General Hancock? A soldier; no less, but certainly nothing more. A favorite of fortune, he was appointed to the National Free Academy, the most aristocratic school in the country. Ever since he has been fed and housed at the public expense. To fight during the war was in the line of his duty, and he did his duty. He has done nothing else. To use the pet phrase of the Democrats, "he never came an honest dollar in his life." He has no record on any public question. He knows nothing of state affairs. What he would do were he in the White House, no man can or will predict. There is only a very slender, and under the circumstances, a very suspicious, suspicion that he would naturally become the tool of the south and of Tammany Hall, the two influences to which he owes his nomination.

It is then said that Gen. Chester A. Arthur first came into public life as chief of staff of that noble old Roman, Gov. E. D. Morgan, that he was then as now a Republican and a patriot, always serving his party and the country; while W. H. English is the most unpopular man in Indiana, who has grown up by oppression of the poor, was sent into retirement years ago by the Democrats themselves on account of his unpopularity, was a copperhead during the war and connected with the treasonable "Knights of the Golden Circle."

The correspondent was on the train with the returning delegates to Chicago, and also with that of the Democrats to Cincinnati, and says that while the journey of the Republican delegates from Chicago, with Garfield and Arthur on board, as far as Cleveland, and Arthur as far as New York, was one grand ovation all the way, there were not 50 men saluting the delegates from Cincinnati at any single station. He adds that:

The train for the east, which left Cincinnati Friday evening, bore in one car some of the most prominent of the regular Democracy of New York, including Mayor Cooper, Andrew H. Green and John Fox. It was not a scene of party and animosity, which was presented to the writer who passed through the car on a tour of inspection. The car was full of funeral gloom, and reminded one of a hospital ward. The representatives of the great Empire State, whose influence in the convention would have been just as strong if they had remained at home, were stretched out upon the seats with very sour faces. Conversation did not enliven the tiresome journey, but all seemed to have yielded to the most doleful influences and to be sorrowfully chewing the end of bitter memories.

THINGS SAID AMONG THE PEOPLE.

THE REPUBLICAN TICKET CONTRASTED WITH THE DEMOCRATIC TICKET. The Rev. Henry Ward Beecher has a vivid way of grouping opinions as he did with the plain man who has charge of the hall of Cooper's Institute where Beecher had spoken and waited to go out after the audience. Of the two candidates he said:

He has never done anything to make a record, either good or bad. His military record is a good one, and he fought on the right side, but nobody knows what kind of a President he would make. It is not a choice between Hancock and Gen. Garfield, but between Republicanism and Democracy. We are all acquainted with the Republican party. It has governed the country successfully for many years, and brought it safely through a great crisis. But what is the record of the Democratic party? What has it ever done for good that we should trust the Republic in its hands? We are prosperous and at peace, and there is no reason why the government should be taken out of the hands of the Republican party and put in the hands of a party that not only has no good record to point to, but has a bad one. Nothing but very serious complaints against the administration should induce us to change it; and there are no such complaints. We are doing very well as we are.

"Do you think Gen. Garfield will be elected?" "I think he will," Mr. Beecher replied as the sexton turned the key in the big front door. The Chicago Inter-Ocean, one of the ablest Republican journals of America writes how the copperheads in the state tried to deceive the people by McClure in 1861, by Horatio Seymour in 1858, by Greeley in 1872, and by Tilden, under the shadow of "reform" in 1876, and failed each time, and then adds:

Hancock is simply a new experiment of a party that has tried many schemes for fooling the people. He may carry the south, simply because the old Confederate leaders will it. But he will lose Indiana and New York, because as against the legislative and other governmental experience of General Garfield, he has only his military record to present to men who have suffered for sixteen years to be violently opposed to all military men.

On one side is General Garfield, thoroughly identified with the principles and measures of the Republican party. On the other side is the figure-head Hancock, making vain endeavor to divert attention from the measures and principles of his party. It is a fight for principle, and men of principle will fight it to the end. Garfield is just the man to put Republican principles to the front in a way to attract attention, while Hancock is not the man to draw the public attention away from Democratic policy.

Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune correspondent telegraphs to that paper: "Your correspondent is authorized by General Grant to state that there is no foundation whatsoever for the report that Gen. Grant has pronounced in favor of the Republican ticket. He is not in favor of Hancock. The Herald Tribune