



NATIONAL REPUBLICAN TICKET.

FOR PRESIDENT, JAMES A. GARFIELD OF OHIO.

FOR VICE-PRESIDENT, CHESTER A. ARTHUR OF NEW YORK.

REPUBLICAN ELECTORAL TICKET.

For Electors of President and Vice-President.

OLIVER H. DOCKEY Of the Sixth Congressional District.

GEORGE B. EVERITT Of the Seventh Congressional District.

JOHN B. REPASS Of the First Congressional District.

WILLIAM S. O'B. ROBINSON Of the Second Congressional District.

SAMUEL W. WATTS Of the Third Congressional District.

WILLIAM A. SMITH Of the Fourth Congressional District.

G. W. PATTERSON Of the Sixth Congressional District.

WILLIAM R. TRULL Of the Eighth Congressional District.

REPUBLICAN STATE TICKET.

FOR GOVERNOR, RALPH P. BUXTON OF CUMBERLAND.

FOR LIEUT.-GOVERNOR, RUFUS BARRINGER OF MECKLENBURG.

For Secretary of State, RICHARD M. NORMENT OF ROBESON.

For Treasurer, AARON D. JENKINS OF GASTON.

For Auditor, RILEY H. CANNON OF JACKSON.

For Attorney-General, AUGUSTUS M. MOORE OF CHOWAN.

For Superintendent of Public Instruction, ARCHIE R. BLACK OF NEW HANOVER.

REPUBLICAN CONGRESSIONAL TICKET.

For Congress—First District, CYRUS W. GRANDY OF HERTFORD.

For Congress—Second District, ORLANDO HUBBS OF CRAVEN.

For Congress—Third District, WILLIAM P. CANADAY OF NEW HANOVER.

For Congress—Fourth District, MOSSES A. BLEDSOE OF WAKE.

For Congress—Fifth District, THOMAS B. KEOGH OF GUILFORD.

For Congress—Sixth District, WILLIAM E. MYERS OF MECKLENBURG.

For Congress—Seventh District, D. M. FURCHES OF IREDELL.

REPUBLICAN JUDICIAL TICKET.

For Judge—Fifth District, JAMES H. HEADEN OF CHATHAM.

This "oppressed" and "abused" and "degraded" part of the country, the south, pays only one 17th per cent of the whole tax, or less than one fifth if Hancock were to get in the south would only pay one per cent, and the north 90 per cent. This would suit the north without doubt.

They have invited Wade Hampton to deliver the address at the "Dixie Fair" at Wadesboro, to be held October 26th, 27th, 28th and 29th. If Wade happens to tell the truth about turnips, cabbage, tobacco and so forth, he will certainly go away and swear he never said so.

The Larrinburg Enterprise is greatly distressed because Judge Dutton supports Garfield. "We trust both of them will be able to endure it."

CONKLING'S GREAT SPEECH.

It is rare that so august a presence, such waves of enthusiasm, such eloquence and logic, such profound disquisition upon affairs from a source so authoritative, has arrested the attention of the American people, as that which gathered at the Academy of Music on Friday evening, week. Not only was the orator there looking out boldly on the sea of faces, but high functionaries, with their garments yet fresh from great diplomatic circles, men venerable with honors and age, scholars famed for learning, scientists skilled in abstruse theories, and brawny men of the world, and fair ladies, all combined to make up for that amphitheatre a scene more magnificent and imposing than any forum within ancient arches around the Egean or the Tiber, or within Westminster Hall.

Hamilton Fish introduced Mr. Conkling in a brief speech, when the event of the occasion was inaugurated. It is rare that either an orator of such powers, or an audience of such comprehension, meet together on an occasion so triumphant in its surroundings.

MORE AND SWEETER TIDBITS. In the last issue of the Post we presented to our readers a few bits of sweet and charming specimens of the English language, and regaled their ears with the delicious morsels. Having permitted the authors of these morsels to exhibit in our columns in their charming and ravishing language what they think of Gen. Garfield, we now show what they think of Mr. John Sherman, the Secretary of the Treasury.

It is something to some people that this gentleman has been 25 years in Congress, always occupying prominent places on committees and the very highest places in debates, and achieving acts and bringing about measures which have placed him in the very highest positions as a statesman and financier. It is something that he has placed himself in the very highest rank of the greatest financial ministers in the whole world, so that no one of them living has ever surpassed him. It is something that it can be said with perfect truth that no great foreign minister has ever dealt with public credits so vast and with such triumphant success, so that our government stocks are at a premium in gold in every financial market in the world. It is something that his personal character is absolutely unblemished, that no stain rests upon it, and that he has never at any instant swerved from the principles of the Republican party, but has from the time when these great agitations commenced stood like a colossus, as a statesman and a man, defying criticism or impeachment. These things, and much more, are much more, are a good deal, to some people—to some decent people—in fact to the great bulk of the American people, than—that has been witnessed in connection with any living man.

Now let us hear what the Wilmington Star says of him and thinks of him, and the choice language in which it speaks of this great American. Read this and reflect what sort of a man John Sherman may be, as compared with John Sherman who has proper respect for himself.

This was said on Sept. 9, 1880. On the same day it was announced by the same authority:

We knew all along that Sherman was mean and corrupt, but we were not prepared for such unblushing effrontery and such conspicuous lying.

This appeared in the Star of Sept. 7, 1880, and the italics are our own in order that our readers may get the force of the choice and delightful English:

We publish elsewhere the brief and yet very conclusive reply of Speaker Randall to the *Wilmington, lying* speaker of John Sherman. It meets that unscrupulous and mendacious political demagogue in a way that offers no chance of reply—by a direct appeal to the public records of the country. It shows how low down in the present Administration when one of its chief officers can descend to the depths of positive misrepresentation and falsehood to make plausible a slanderous charge against its opponents. But need the country be surprised at anything that John Sherman may do or say? His record is almost as corrupt as Garfield's or Robeson's.

On the same date the Star fulminated in the following beautiful language:

They know that the thirty-five millions of the north would never agree to pay one cent of any such claim. So such talk is wicked and slanderous, and when John Sherman, in his speech, made the statements he did, he knew he was lying from beginning to end.

Then the Star said:

Sherman was the chief manager in the Louisiana rilly of 1876. After that you may be prepared for any subsequent revelations of political crime and debauchery.

We are now prepared to judge what the Star may think of itself. It does not wait for us to find out of ourselves but states in plain English that no people, under the circumstances, ever acted as unscrupulously and grossly, &c. But we give the whole paragraph:

No people, under the circumstances ever acted as unscrupulously and as grossly as the southern people have since the year 1868. Proscribed, abused, slandered, robbed, insulted, they have borne themselves in a way to excite the admiration of every generous heart.

This information will be especially valuable to the southern people under the light of the following "magnanimous" and "grand" squib, which is copied at random from the date of the 21st of our contemporary:

The Richmond Dispatch, a moderate paper ordinarily, is stirred to say: "Senator Conkling's speech in New York on Friday night marks him as the champion liar of the age." The attack he made upon the people of the south was simply diabolical. A devil incarnate could not have been more malignant. "Champion liar of the age." We accept the amount and call for the previous question.

From this it will appear that there are "grand," "magnanimous" persons all over the south who "excite the admiration of every generous heart," inasmuch that our contemporary is compelled in an increasing largeness of "grandness" to exclaim:

Grant, Conkling, Garfield, Arthur! What a quartet! Machine follows all over. Conkling is the only one of the three whose character is not smirched with personal dishonesty. But then there is a husband in Rhode Island and a wife who lives elsewhere who have no cause to remember Conkling save with execration. And this is the case of who say the Democrats may not be trusted.

After this we shall not for sometime attempt to enlighten our readers as to what sort of statesmen exist in the north or south, but may be we will at some future time print what some of them may say, and then leave to the average southern man the syllogistic problem whether Garfield, Grant, Sherman and Conkling, use as pure English as is copied above.

CONKLING.

His Great Address.

THE ACADEMY OF MUSIC OVERFLOWING.

Wealth—Learning—Eminence—Honor and Integrity—The Masses of the People—All Classes of the Community—The Great Business Interests of the Nation—The Aspiration for Liberty and Preservation of Our Republic—All Breathing in the Same Impulse.

THE SPEECH.

The following is the full text of the Senator's address:

FELLOW-CITIZENS—Whoever is present greeting and audience in such a presence ought indeed to have something worthy, something fit, and something to say. Inadequate in all say only grateful and respectful appreciation must be my return. We are citizens of a republic. We govern ourselves here. No pomp of eagle array in the chambers of royalty awaits the birth of the boy or girl to wield an hereditary scepter. Whenever death or revolution pours on the oil of coronation we know no scepter except a majority's consent will. We seek to be equal in equal share in the duty and right, and the birthright, of every citizen. The supreme, the final, the only successful arbiter here is the ballot-box, and it is that return should be gathered from it, should be secretly recorded, the conscience, the judgment, the intelligence of all. The right of free self-government has been in all ages the bright dream of oppressed humanity. The desire for privilege to which thrones, dynasties, and powers have so long blocked the way. France seeks it by forced marches and daring strides. Mr. Forster, Secretary for Ireland, tells the peerage of England it must take heed lest it fall and Westminster and England land ring with dread echoes of applause. In the United States, the Republic of America is alone on the earth, alone in its promises and possibilities, and, therefore, alone in the devotion due from its citizens. The time has come when law, duty, and interest require the nation to determine for itself its policy in many things. Two parties exist—parties should always in a government of the majority, and to support and strengthen the party which most nearly holds his views among the most laudable, meritorious, and patriotic citizens of the country. This is whether he be in official or in private station. Two parties contend for the management of national affairs. One or the other of these two contestants is sure to manage the nation's concerns for some time to come. The question is, is it not a question of candor? It is not a question of candidate. The candidate, if he be an honest, genuine man, will not seek and accept a party nomination to the Presidency Vice-Presidency, or Congress, and after he is elected become more despicable than first to secure elevation at the hands of a party, and then, in hopes of winning pretensions, go-patriotic applause, to affect superior sanctity and meekly to imply that those whose support and confidence were eagerly and deliberately sought are wanting in purity, patriotism and respect. The higher obligations among men are not set down in writing and signed and sealed. The identity of a nation belongs to the exalted class, and, therefore, a candidate of a party is but the creature of a party. The object of political discussion and action is to settle principles, policies, and issues. It is a party interest of election affecting fifty millions of people that decides for an occasion the aspirations of individual men.

Let us see how much national control is now in the hands of the south. Upwards of thirty members sit in the House of Representatives, in the electoral colleges, by reason of counting the whole colored population as citizens with full political rights, equal in all things with the whites. This is just the extent to which the freedmen are hindered or defrauded of their vote and their voice. To what extent this true election returns clearly show. This representation, based on stolen rights, is a plain violation of the Constitution of common honesty. But there it is, and there it votes and speaks in the nation's councils. The sixteen lately slave states, including Delaware, Maryland, Kentucky, and Missouri, which did not secede, have twenty-two Senators; a majority of the Senate. There are twenty-eight committees, and committees not only prepare, but virtually control legislation in both Houses, and this must be so more and more as the houses and the business grow larger. The south has the Chairmanship of seventeen, and the control of all the southern Chairmanships are of important committees. Delaware, with 40,000 people, about as many as the city of Cleveland, Ohio, or a single county in New York, has the Chairmanship of Revision of Laws, a committee whose business was finished years ago. Virginia has the Chairmanship of the Committee on Pensions; Georgia of Commerce; Texas of Postoffice and Post Road; Missouri of Claims; North Carolina of Railroads; and so on. The south has the control of all the working committees. This is true in this way: On every committee there is a majority of Democrats, and of these a majority in all cases consists of southern Senators. The same condition prevails in the House. The chairmen of twenty-two are from the south. All the committees are so constituted that the majority is Democratic, and of the majority more than half is southern. During the two years while his absolute power in both houses has been so largely in the possession of the south, and the approach of the Presidential canvass has suggested urgent reasons for "going slow," many expected bills have not been introduced; many that have been introduced have not been passed; some that have been passed have been again sent to the opposition as a security present post-pone or some modification. But whenever the hour strikes that the veto power is in Democratic hands, put there by southern votes, whatever "solid" caucus decrees will be written. That caucus will be controlled by those who represent the forty-one-seventh of the people of the Union.

Thus it shows that the south represented not more than one-fifth of the business and property of the country.

Looking into this matter of the country's business, we see impartially and respectfully reflected:

RESPECTIVE PROPORTIONS.

By analysis and graphs we see that the Democratic party own certain lands, the size of lots with which offices and accommodations were filled off here the other day.

THE PERIL OF DEMOCRATIC ASCENDANCY.

But we are told Gen. Hancock would watch them. An angel might watch a tiger; a child might attempt to divide a beefsteak with a bloodhound; a lamb might be slain with a lion; a lamb would be inside. The peril of Democratic ascendancy in all the branches of the government is deeper rooted than any measure within the scope of existing public questions. Statesmen abroad talk of "the balance of power" and of "changing the map of Europe." These sayings mean not much more than might easily occur here.

It then alludes to the admission of Texas, showing how poor old Van Buren even then staggered back at the power of the Democratic king-caucus; how the southern members of Congress denounced the U. S. Courts "as mere drivels" and their decisions as "plausibly sophistry;" how they were hostile to the army; how they opposed the entire class of legislation and measures and amendments since the days of Lincoln; how southern claims are being piled up to an amount more than the national debt ever was; how they were pressing the re-payment of the direct-cotton tax amounting to \$170,180,220, besides the payment for all the losses to the south from every conceivable cause; showed the fallacy of the Democratic assumption of economy; he showed the details of the great struggle for resumption and how the Democratic leaders opposed it with few exceptions. I declared that on the solid basis of gold metallic currency the achievement was made, and how Sherman opposed it; then he showed up the funding acts and closed his splendid argument on that subject as follows:

THE FUTURE OF REDEMPTION.

It was not long before this advice found the form of law. A committee, composed wholly of Republican Senators, of whom I myself was one, prepared the bill now known as the resumption act. It was not the work of any one Senator, nor did it express liberally, and in full perhaps the views of any single member of the committee. It was a compromise of somewhat conflicting opinions. It was submitted to every Republican member of the Senate, and every one, after consideration, determined to vote for it. It was brought forward in the Senate, and every Republican Senator did so for its sake, and every Democratic Senator voted against it. It went to the House, and there encountered:

SOLID DEMOCRATIC OPPOSITION.

but it was carried by Republican votes. President Grant promptly signed it. It fixed the 1st of January, 1875, for the resumption of specie payments, and when the day came, as so solemnly and solemnly as might mention in any day, specie payments were resumed. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and a sham, and was called many wild and unprincipled names. Senator Thurman, Senator Heywood, and other Democratic Senators vehemently opposed it. It was in January, 1875. Immediately the Democracy of all shades, including the Greenbacker, the country over, opened fire upon the act. Next year the Democratic party met in national convention at St. Louis. There the Republican party was re-elected. The adoption of the bill for resumption is called today as it was done. The Democracy rose as one man in both houses against it. It was denounced as an absurdity and