# JANUARY 8, 1829.

APTENDAR OF BELLEVILLE

## PUBLISHED WEEKLY BY JOHN I. PASTEUR. At three Dollars per annum-payable in advance.

ADVERTISEMENTS inserted on the usual terms. Letters addressed to the publisher, must be post paid.

From the National Intelligencer. LETTERS OF MR. MADISON.

The history of the two Letters which we are about to publish is briefly as follows :

These Letters were not originally written for the Press, but are now authorized to be published, on the earnest representations of some of the friends of Mr. MADISON, to whom the publication appeared to be of great interest, and of deep importance to the Nation.

In the present state of our country these papers cannot but be highly acceptable to the pub-lic. The opinions of the distinguished author, one of the framers of the Constitution, if not the father of it, cannot but carry with them great weight. They are of the greater authority, from his having been appealed to by those who sustain doctrines opposite to those which he avows and defends. He stands, in this respect, as the arbiter between contending parties; and it is hoped that his lucid expositions will go far to convince many who have heretofore seriously questioned the power of Congress which he maintains.

In the calm philosophy of his retirement from the turmoil of the world, the judgment which he has deliberately formed, and now argumentatively sustains, cannot be suspected of being influenced by any political bias or casual excitement. His is the wisdom of age-the fruit of experience, plucked from the tree of knowledge.

## LETTER I.

MONTPELIER, Sept. 18, 1828.

DEAR SIR: Your late letter reminds me of our conversation on the constitutionality of the power in Congress to impose a tariff for the encouragement of manufactures; and of my promise to sketch the grounds of the confident opinion I had expressed, that it was among the powers vested in that body. I had not forgotten my promise, and had even begun the task of fulfilling it; but frequent interruptions, from other causes, being followed by a bilious indisposition, I have not been able sooner to comply with your and researches.

The Constitution vests in Congress, expressly, "the power to lay and collect taxes, duties, imposts, and excises;" and "the power to regulate trade."

That the former power, if not particularly ex-

duties, restrictions and prohibitions, the manu- an incidental power. factures and products of the country ? And the 8. That the encouragement of manufactures affirmative must be inferred from the following was an object of the power to regulate trade, is considerations :

1. The meaning of the phrase "to regulate trade," must be sought in the general use of it; under the Constitution; when among the memin other words, in the objects to which the bers present were so many who had been mempower was generally understood to be applicable, bers of the Federal Convention which framed when the phrase was inserted in the Constitu- the Constitution, and of the State Conventions tion.

2. The power has been understood and used also of members who had opposed and who had by all commercial and manufacturing nations, as embracing the object of encouraging manufac- It does not appear from the printed proceedings tures. It is believed that not a single exception of Congress on that occasion, that the power can be named.

S. This has been particularly the case with marked, that Members from Virginia, in particu-Great Britain, whose commercial vocabulary is lar, as well of the anti-federal as the federal the parent of ours. A primary object of her party, the names then distinguishing those who commercial regulations is well known to have had opposed and those who had approved the been the protection and encouragement of her manufactures.

4. Such was understood to be a proper use of the power by the States most prepared for manufacturing industry, whilst retaining the power over their foreign trade.

States, in transferring the power over trade from of sound policy. [See Lloyd's Debates.] themselves to the Government of the United States. This was emphatically the case in the tional power to protect and foster manufactures Eastern, the more manufacturing Members of by regulations of trade, an evidence that ought, the Confederacy. Hear the language held in of itself, to settle the question, is the uniform the Convention of Massachusetts. and practical sanction given to the power, by the

By Mr. Dawes, an advocate for the Constitu- General Government, for nearly forty years; tion, it was observed, "Our manufactures are with a concurrence or acquiescence of every "another great subject which has received no State Government, throughout the same period; "encouragement by national duties on foreign and, it may be added, through all the vicissitudes " manufactures, and they never can by any au- of party which marked the period. No novel "thority in the old Confederation." Again, construction, however ingeniously devised, or "If we wish to encourage our own manufactures, however respectable and patriotic its patrons, " the powers in question."

make their fortunes directly, if the Constitu- that stability in Government, and in Laws, which to contribute, &c." tion goes down."

The Convention of Massachusetts was the only one in New England whose debates have populous and manufacturing State of Pennsyl- needed, the very best keys to the true object and the British West Indics, she deliberated, pressed, would have been included in the latter vania, a partial account only of the debates having been published, nothing certain is known late trade, is not necessarily impugned by its of what passed in her Convention on this point. judgment of all men of experience and of intellibeing so expressed. Examples of this sort can- But ample evidence may be found elsewhere, gence, to decide, which is most to be relied on not sometimes be easily avoided, and are to be that regulations of trade, for the encouragement for a sound and safe test of the meaning of a seen elsewhere in the Constitution. Thus the of manufactures, were considered as within the Constitution, a uniform interpretation by all the power "to define and punish offences against the power to be granted to the new Congress, as successive authorities under it, commencing with law of nations," includes the power, afterwards well as within the scope of the national policy. its birth, and continued for a long period, through particularly expressed, "to make rules concern- Of the States South of Pennsylvania, the only the varied state of political contests; or the ing captures, &c. from offending neutrals." So two in whose Conventions the debates have been opinion of every new Legislature, heated as it also a power "to coin money" would doubtless preserved, are Virginia and North Carolina, and may be by the strife of parties-or warped, as include that of "regulating its value," had not from these no adverse inferences can be drawn. often happens, by the eager pursuit of some fa-Nor is there the slightest indication that either of the two States farthest South, whose debates another clause it is said, "no tax or duties shall public, viewed the encouragement of manufac- fluenced by the same misleading causes? If the be laid on exports, &c." Here the two terms are tures, as not within the general power over trade latter test is to prevail, every new legislative 6. If Congress have not the power, it is annipromiscuous use of terms and phrases, differing in any other nation, and not within the reason tempt has been made, in a highly respectable of man himself. In this view of the subject, it ries, from their freights, and from commerce at tion, which says: "No State shall, without the was quite natural, however certainly the genecal large, in some of its branches altogether external consent of Congress, lay any imposts or duties delphia in 1787, and the Constitution which was power to regulate trade might include a power to to the United States ; the profits from all which, on imports or exports, except what may be abenumerating the several modes of revenue, au- tax, on exports. A tax on imports on the other laws; and the nett produce of all duties, and thorised by the Constitution. In few cases could hand, being a tax on consumption, which is in imposts, laid by any State on imports and exthe "ex majori cautela" occur with more claim proportion to the ability of the consumers, ports, shall be for the use of the Treasury of the whencesoever derived, was free from that inequality. 7. If revenue be the sole object of a legitiversy with Great Britain, between a power to tic articles be not within the power of regulating clause was intended merely to provide for exregulate trade with the Colonies, and a power to trade, it would tollow that no monopolizing or penses incurred by particular States, in their Indifferent parts of the Empire, was confessedly counteracted; that neither the staple articles of might choose to make in their harbours and ri-Parliament, and asserted to be necessarily inhe- of commerce, the usual and most convenient Georgia-how could it ever be imagined that rent in the Colonial Legislatures, as sufficient mode of providing for both ; and that the Ame- any State would wish to tax its own trade for the power. So difficult was it, nevertheless, to fence, of a cheapening competition in carrying the authority, or could, in fact, do so, if wish-To assume a power to protect our navigation, that the main and admitted object of the Parlia- and the cultivation and fabrication of all articles war power, would be a more latitudinary construction of the text of the Constitution, than to to any State, would have an air of mockery, when But the present question is unconnected with consider it as embraced by the specified power its experienced impracticability is taken into view. the former relations between Great Britain and to regulate trade; a power which has been exer- No one, who recollects or recurs to the period her colonies, which were of a peculiar, a com- cised by all nations for those purposes, and which when the power over commerce was in the indiplicated, and, in several respects, of an undefined effects those purposes with less of interference vidual States, and separate attemps were made to character. It is a simple question under the with the authority and conveniency of the States, tax, or otherwise regulate it, need he told that Constitution of the United States, whether "the than might result from internal and direct modes the attempts were not only abortive, but, by depower to regulate trade with foreign nations" as of encouraging the articles, any of which modes monstrating the necessity of general and uni-

"OUR COUNTRY, AND OUR COUNTRY'S GOOD."

LITERARY JOURNAL.

NEWBERN SPECTATOR,

powers embraces the object of encouraging by | sary and proper," by considering the power as | constitutional reform which provided for such regulations.

To refer a State, therefore, to the exercise of a power, as reserved to her by the Constitution, proved by the use made of the power for that the impossibility of exercising which was an inobject, in the first session of the First Congress ducement to adopt the Constitution, is, of all remedial devices, the last that ought to be brought forward. And what renders it the more extraordinary, is, that, as the tax on commerce, as far as it could be separately collected, instead of belonging to the Treasury of the State, as previous which ratified it; each of these classes consisting to the Constitution, would be a tribute to the United States, the State would be in a worse condiespoused, the Constitution in its actual form .--tion, after the adoption of the Constitution, than before, in reference to an important interest, the improvement of which was a particular object in was denied by any of them. And it may be readopting the Constitution.

Were Congress to make the proposed declaration of consent to state tariffs in favour of State manufactures, and the permitted attempts did not Constitution, did not hesitate to propose duties defeat themselves, what would be the situation and to suggest even prohibitions in favour of of States deriving their foreign supplies through several articles of her production. By one a the ports of other States? It is evident that duty was proposed on mineral coal, in favour of they might be compelled to pay, in their conthe Virginia coal pits; by another, a duty on sumption of particular articles imported, a tax hemp was proposed, to encourage the growth of for the common treasury, not common to all the 5. Such a use of the power, by Congress, ac- that article; and by a third, a prohibition even States, without having any manufacture or procords with the intention and expectation of the of foreign beef was suggested, as a measure duct of their own, to partake of the contemplated benefit. A further evidence in support of the constitu-

Of the impracticability of separate regulations of trade, and the resulting necessity of general regulations, no State was more sensible than Virginia. She was accordingly among the most earnest for granting to Congress a power adequate to the object. On more occasions than one in the proceedings of her Legislative councils it was recited " that the relative situation of the States had been found, on trial, to require uniformity in their commercial regulations as the only effectual policy for obtaining in the ports of foreign nations a stipulation of privileges reciprocal to those "to preserve our own commerce, to raise the can withstand the weight of such authorities, or enjoyed by the subjects of such nations in the "value of our own lands, we must give Congress the unbroken current of so prolonging and uni- ports of the United States; for preventing aniversal a practice. And well it is that this canmosities which cannot fail to arise among the By Mr. Widgery, an opponent : "All we hear not be done, without the intervention of the several States from the interference of partial and is, that the merchant and farmer will flourish, same authority which made the Constitution. If separate regulations; and for deriving from comand that the mechanic and tradesman are to it could be so done, there would be an end to merce such aids to the public revenue as it ought

is essential to good government and good laws, a During the delays and discouragements experienced in the attempts to invest Congress with stability, the want of which is the imputation which has at all times been levelled against Re- the necessary powers, the State of Virginia made been preserved. But it cannot be doubted that publicanism, with most effect, by its most dex- various trials of what could be done by her indirequest. The subjoined view of the subject the sentiment there expressed was common to trous adversaries. The imputation ought never, vidual laws. She ventured on duties and immight have been advantageously expanded; but the other States in that quarter, more especially therefore, to be countenanced, by innovating posts as a source of revenue: Resolutions were I leave that improvement to your own reflections to Connecticut and Rhode Island, the most constructions, without any plea of a precipitan- passed at one time to encourage and protect her thickly peopled of all the States, and having, of cy, or a paucity of the constructive precedents own navigation and ship building; and in consecourse, their thoughts most turned to the subject they oppose ; without any appeal to material quence of complaints and petitions from Nortolk, of manufactures. A like inference may be con- facts newly brought to light; and without any Alexandria, and other places, against the monofidently applied to New Jersey, whose debates claim to a better knowledge of the original evils polizing navigation laws of Great Britain, partiin Convention have not been preserved. In the and inconveniences, for which remedies were cularly in the trade between the United States

ed to direct taxes on land or other property, to arbitrary assessments on invisible funds, and to the odious tax on persons.

VOL. I.-NO. 22.

You will observe that I have confined myself, in what has been said, to the constitutionality and expediency of the power in Congress to encourage domestic products by regulations of commerce. In the exercise of the power, they are responsible to their constituents; whose right and duty it is, in that as in all other cases, to bring their measures to the test of justice and of the general good.

> With great esteem and cordial regard, JAMES MADISON.

Jos. C. CABELL, Esq.

#### -0310 LONDON POLICE.

The annexed affecting instance of abject poverty and distress, we find in the London Courier of the 30th October.

Yesterday Mary Saunders, a young creature about three and twenty years of age, whose emaciated countenance presented a miserable personification of poverty and distress, was charged, little before the close of the office, before Mr. Chambers, the sitting magistrate, under the following circumstances :---

Mr. Dennett, foreman to Mr. Kirkham, pawnbroker, Newington causeway, stated, that about half an hour before, the prisoner seized a new pair of black trowsers, exposed at the shop for sale, and attempted to make off with them. She was seen to take them, and pursued. When overtaken she made no resistance, but surrendered herself at once to the officer.

Mr. Chambers-Are you a married woman? Prisoner-I am.

Mr. Chambers-Where is your husband ? Prisoner-I don't know.

Mr. Chambers-Does he know you are here 2 Prisoner-Oh, no; he knows nothing of it. Mr. Chambers-Why did you attempt this fe-

ony? Prisoner-I was starving. I had nothing to eat, nor was my husband able to assist me; he was equally distressed with myself.

The constable asked her what sort of a man her husband was? on which she betrayed a considerable degree of alarm, and, after hesitating for some time, replied, that he was a tall man, dressed in a short jacket of a light color.

The constable then stated that his reason for sking the prisoner this question, was, that he observed a man outside the office crying very bitterly, and whom he suspected to be her husband, but he did not, by any means, answer the description given of him by the prisoner.

Mr. Chambers ordered him to be brought in. His appearance was equally miserable with that of the female, but, as the constable observed, the description given of her husband by the prisoner, was wholly inapplicable to this person, being short and wearing a long blue coat. The moment the prisoner saw him enter she uttered a most piercing shriek, and sinking upon her knees, exclaimed earnestly, "Oh, that is not my husband ! indeed it is not !" Mr. Chambers asked him did he know her ? to which he replied in the affirmative; when she again exclaimed, " Oh, yes! he does know me ; he's my brother."

as one of the objects of a general power to reguthe latter power been expressly inserted. The term taxes, if standing alone, would certainly have included duties, imposts, and excises. In in Convention, if preserved, have not been made used as synonymous. And in a another clause, to be ransferred to the Government of the Uniwhere it is said "no State shall lay any imposts, ted States. or duties, &c." the terms imposts and duties are synonymous. Pleonasms, tautologies, and the hilated for the nation; a policy without example in their shades of meaning, (always to be ex- of the solitary one in our own. The example pounded with reference to the context and under alluded to, is the prohibition of a tax on exports, the control of the general character and manifest which resulted from the apparent impossibility scope of the instrument in which they are found) of raising, in that mode, a revenue from the are to be ascribed, sometimes to the purpose of States, proportioned to the ability to pay it-the greater caution ; sometimes to the imperfections ability of some being derived, in a great meaof language, and sometimes to the imperfection sure, not from their exports, but from their fisheimpose duties on it, not to omit it in a clause being invisible and intangible, would escape a to respect.

Nor can it be inferred, that a power to regulate trade does not involve a power to tax it, from the distinction made in the original contro- mate impost, and the encouragement of domestax them. A power to regulate trade between unequal regulations of foreign nations could be necessary; and was admitted to lie, as far as subsistence, nor the essential implements for the that was the case, in the British Parliament; the public safety, could, under any circumstances, taxing part being at the same time denied to the be insured or fostered at home, by regulations and the only safe depositories of the taxing rican navigation, though the source of naval demaintain the distinction in practice, that the in- our valuable and bulky articles to market, and gredient of revenue was occasionally overlooked of an independent carriage of them during foor disregarded in the British regulations, as in reign wars, when a foreign navigation might be the duty on sugar and molasses imported into the withdrawn, must be at once abandoned, or spee-Colonies. And it was fortunate that the attempt dily destroyed: it being evident that a tonnage at an internal and direct tax, in the case of duty in foreign ports against our vessels, and an the Stamp Act, produced a radical examination exemption from such a duty in our ports, in favor of the subject before a regulation of trade of foreign vessels, must have the inevitable efwith a view to revenue had grown into an estab- fect of banishing ours from the ocean. lished authority. One thing at least is certain, mentary regulations of trade with the Colonies, requisite for the public safety, as incident to the was the encouragement of manufactures in Great Britain.

and meaning of all laws and constitutions.

vourite object-or carried away, possibly, by the powerful eloquence or captivating address of a few popular statesmen, themselves, perhaps, inopinion might make a new Constitution, as the foot of every new Chancellor would make a new standard of measure.

It is seen, with no little surprise, that an atquarter, and at length reduced to a resolution, formally proposed in Congress, to substitute, for the power of Congress to regulate trade so as to encourage manufactures, a power in the several States to do so, with the consent of that body;

and this expedient is derived from a clause in the teath section of article first of the Constitusolutely necessary for executing its inspection United States; and all such laws shall be subject to the revision and controul of the Congress.'

To say nothing of the clear indications in the Journal of the Convention of 1787, that the spection laws, and in such improvements as they vers, with the sanction of Congress-objects to which the reserved power has been applied, in several instances, at the request of Virginia and encouragement of manufactures, if possessed of ing it?

A tax on imports would be a tax on its own consumption; and the nett proceeds going, acof the Gallies. cording to the clause, not into its own Treasury,

but into the Treasury of the United States, the State would tax itself separately for the equal gain of all the other States; and as far as the manufactures, so encouraged, might succeed in ultimately increasing the stock in market, and lowering the price by competition, this advantage, also, procured at the sole expense of the State. would be common to all the others.

But the very suggestion of such an expedient would defeat every attempt at revenue in the easy and indirect modes of impost and excise; so that whilst their expenditures would be necessarily and vastly increased by their new situaa distinct and substantive item in the enumerated would be authorized, as far as deemed "neces- form regulations, gave the original impulse to the tion, they would, in providing for them, be limit-

with a purpose controlled only by the inefficacy And may it not be fairly left to the unbiassed of separate measures, on the experiment of forcing a reciprocity by prohibitory regulations of her own. [See Journal of House of Delegates in 1785.]

> The effect of her separate attempts to raise revenue by duties on imports, soon appeared in representations from her merchants, that the commerce of the State was banished by them into other channels, especially of Maryland, where imports were less burdened than in Virginia. [See Do. for 1786.]

Such a tendency of separate regulations was indeed too manifest to escape anticipation. Among the projects prompted by the want of a Federal authority over commerce, was that of a concert first proposed on the part of Maryland for a uniformity of regulations between the two States, and Commissioners were appointed for that purpose. It was soon perceived, however, that the concur-

rence of Pennsylvania was as necessary to Maryland as of Maryland to Virginia, and the concur rence of Pennsylvania was accordingly invited. But Pennsylvania could no more concur without New York than Maryland without Pennsylvania, nor New York without the concurrence of Boston, &c.

These projects were superseded for the moment by that of the Convention at Annapolis in 1786, and forever by the Convention at Philathe fruit of it.

There is a passage in Mr. Necker's work on the finances of France which affords a signal illustration of the difficulty of collecting, in contiguous communities, indirect taxes, when not the same in all, by the violent means resorted to against smuggling from one to another of them. Previous to the late Revolutionary war in that country, the taxes were of very different rates in salt, which was high in the interior provinces and low in the maritime, and the tax on tobacco, which was very high in general, whilst in some of the provinces the use of the article was altogether free. The consequence was, that the standing army of patrols against smuggling had swoln to the number of twenty-three thousand ; the annual arrest of men, women, and children, engaged in smuggling, to five thousand five hundred and fifty; and the number annually arrested on account of salt and tobacco alone to seventeen or

eighteen hundred, more than three hundred of whom were consigned to the terrible punishment

May it not be regarded as among the providential blessings to these States, that their geographical relations, multiplied as they will be by artificial channels of intercourse, give such additional force to the many obligations to cherish that union which alone secures their peace, their safety, and their prosperity ! Apart from the more obvious and awful consequences of their entire separation into independent sovereignties. it is worthy of special consideration, that, divided from each other as they must be by narrow waters and territorial lines merely, the facility of surreptitious introductions of contraband articles, Mr. Chambers-What relation are you to her ? Saunders-I am her husband, sir.

Prisoner-Oh no, he is not my husband ; nor was he with me when I took the property.

Saunders-I was with her, and am equally guilty; but we were starving. I am a watchcap manufacturer, and have been out of employment several months. I did all I could to earn what would support us, but failed. I applied to the parish officers of Cripplegate and elsewhere. but they told us we were young and strong, and treated us like dogs; and at last we have been driven to this. We had not even a place to lie in at night, but were forced to walk about the streets.

Mr. Chambers-You seem to have a lodging, for a key of a room door was found upon your wife.

Saunders-This morning her sister gave her that key, belonging to an empty room in a house at Clerkenwell, belonging to her, that we might shelter ourselves there at night; also a ticket for a sheet to cover us, to release which I expected to get a shilling from my uncle, but being disappointed, she attempted to take the trowsers to obtain the money.

The husband wept bitterly during his examination, and so melancholy a case of distress on the pert of both, and so singular an instance of affection on the part of the prisoner, as displayed in her the different Provinces; particularly the tax on unxiety to screen her husband from any implication in her guilt, excited the sympathy of every one present; and Mr. Dennett the prosecuter, expressed himself unwilling to press the charge. Mr. Chambers readily assented to this humane proceeding, and directing Saunders to occupy the empty room in Clerkenwell to-night, and apply for parochial relief to-morrow, discharged the prisoner, a sum of six shillings being given them, half a crown of which was advanced by Mr. Dennett, the prosecutor.

### SALE-JANUARY 15TH, 1829.

ON the 15th January, 1829, I shall offer for sale, all my residence in Onslow, all the perishable property of my late wife, not previously disposed of-consisting of Horses, Cattle, Sheep, 50 head of fat Hogs, a number of Sows and Pigs, of the improved breeds, &c. &c. on a credit of six months.

At the same time, will be sold on a credit of 12 months. about 400 Acres of valuable Piney Land. Notes with approved security will be required.

MINOR HUNTINGTON, Ex'r. Dec. 12-20 vt\*

# R. HALSEY. CRAVEN-STREET, NEWBERN.

AS just received per the Schooner Trent, a fresh assortment of CLOTHS-such as handsome Drabs, suitable for Gentlemen's Over Coats.-Likewise, fashionable Drab Cassimere, superfine Blue and Steel Mixt Cloths, Genoa Velvet, &c. of which the subscriber will be happy to furnish his customers, on the most literal terms, made up in the latest London Fashions Dec. 20-20