Dellarphy En THE MINERVA

TWO & WHATE DOLLINER ANN. Cayable half Yearly?

PUBLISHED (WEEKLY) WILLIAM BOYLAN.

TWO DOLLS. PER ANN Payable 12 Advance.

RALEIGH, (N. C.) MINDAY, MAY 19, 1806.

No. 527.

TUESDAY, March 10.

DEBATE,

On Mr. Gregg's Resolution, (Continued)

MR MACON, (Speaker). Mr. Chairman -1 feel myfelf b and by the call which has been made by three gentlemen from Pen fylva. nia, (Meffes, Gregg, Smille & Findley,) with woom I have long teen in the hebit of friend. thip; a friend hip on my part lincere, to flate the realons which will govern my con luct on this occasion, whether they may be fatisfactory to others or not, they are perfectly to to me That a difference of opinion fublits, between the members with regard to the meafures boft adapted to the prefent criffs of our affairs, is evident from the number of refolutions on your table. An attempt has been made to liken this refolution to one agreed to in 1793; but are they alike? I think not. That was general and operated alike on every part of the union, while this, in my opinion, is frecial, and will only operate, on one part of the union; and this partial operation will be feverely felt, by that fection of the country which I in part repielent. Befiles this clear difference in the two refolutions, will not all the three genilem-n agree, that there is a great and friking off rence in our affairs with G Britain is 1793 and now. Her motives may be as unfriendly now as then; but I fpeak of facts known to all, not of motives; the then held the western poils, the then detained an immente property belong. ing to the fouthern people, both in violation of the treaty of peace. She then infligated the Indians to war on the frontiers, and then, as at this time, impressed our failors and captured our veffeis ; belider, the United States had not then relinquished the principle, that free ships flould make tree goods; in relinquishing this principle, they in a great measure loft fight of the carrying trade, by peaceable means; but if gentlem a wish to turn to Europe, they will fird that in 1793 the treaties of Pavia and Pil-Li z were in tace. Let the facts which I have stated, he compared with those of the prefent day, and all most confess, that there is a very could terable difference. I have faid this much, to thew that there is no analogy in the facts of the prefent time, and those of 1793, and that there is no charge of opinion in me. . If, however, I am midaken, it is an houeft mittake.

This pation, it my opinion, must take her choice of two alternatives; to be happy and contented, without war, and without internal taxes; or to be warlike and glorious, abound ing with what is called honour and dignity, or in other words taxes and blood. It it be the first, the people will continue to enjoy that which they have hitherto crioyed, more privileges than "has fallen to the lot offany navion with whose history we are acquainted ;they will as they have done, live plentifully on their larms, and fuch as choose, will carry on a fair trade, by exchanging our furplus pro ductions, for fuch toreign articles as we may want. If we take the other ground, we shall I fear, puriue the fame career, which has nearly, or quire ruined, all the other nations of the globe. Look at the people of England, legally free, but half their time fighting for the hos nour and dignity of the crown, and the carry ing trade, and fee who her they have gained any thing by all their battles, for the pation, except taxes; and thefe they have in the greatest abundance. Look also, at France, before the revolution, and we thall fee a people, pof. feffing a fertile country and fine climate, having the honour to fight, and be taxed. as much as they could bear, for the glory and dignity of the grand monarque. Let us turn from thele two great nations, and view Switzerland during the fame pe iods shough not powerful like the others, we firstl fee the people free, and happy without wars, contented at home, because they had rough to live comfortably on, and not over taxed. The history of these three pations, ought to convince us, that public force and liberty, cannot dwell in the fame

id

he

mc

est

t to

out

RIP

One

ung

I mean not to impute improper motives to any ore, norto examine the journal after chang ce, though I am perfectly willing to have it thumbed over from the day I took a fear in the Hoofe to the prefent, after my name; and if on examination, it shall appear that I have changed my political principles, or have not uniformly addiened to them, I am willing to that the name of a political hypocrite. I have fore ely been on very great queftions, in very Local minorities, a minority, not fusicient to to maid the year and pays, on a molt impor the question. I will fay po more on this fub. j'est, withing can he more difagreeable this to i b at one's felf, and cothing could justify it but the cell which has been made; perhaps, There sheary Inditoo much on it, but it was im officie to (ay lefs.

" The difpute with Great Britain is moft unquestionably for the carrying trade; a trade which selels beneficial to the nation than any

our diffrates with foreign nations, and it is the only trade that requires expensive protection. Will any one contend, that this trade is half as important as the confling trade? this cannot? and will not be contended; for every one knows, that the coasting is the best trade. It not only exchanges the products of one part of the nation for those of another, but it also tends, by making us better acquainted with each other to connect us more intimately, and to make every part harmonize for the public good .-The trade which I consider the next best, for a nation to carry on, is the direct trade for home confumption, by which, the furplus produce of one country, is exchanged for that of ano. then; and in this, as in every branch of trade, this great sule will be adhered to, buy cheaps and fell dear if you can. With the coafting and direct trade, agriculture is more nearly connefled, than with any other. But a nation may be agricultural without being commercial. The Swift cratons, and Mian, were of this deficiption, and it may be remarked that Milan is the most populous country in Europe. Caina is faid to be of the fame character; but as little is known of that country, I thall not quote ic, to establish a fact, which is clearly established by the other two. A country may also be commercial, without being highly agricultural, as was the cafe with Venice, and fome other European powers. But let us purfue that iyftem, which our own experience has proved to be the belt for the United States; for fince the adoption of the present contlination, and before this day, we have had trying times. It will be remembered, that during the French revolution, we had complaints against France; her government iffued orders, of which we justly complain. ed; one of them, I bilieve, declared all the productions and manufactures of Great Britain, to be contribed of war; this if executed, would have nearly out off all communication, with a nation, with whom we carried on the greatest trade. What did we then do? We fent mipitters to France, with two of whom the refuled to have any intercourfe, but pretended to be willing to negociate with the other; all the mifuffers finally returned home, and we took half way meafures against her, which are the worst of all measures, and which produced a fort of half war, which, I believe, injured us more than her; for besiden the actual expense, which may be offimated at not lefs than 10 coo ooo of dollars, it nearly remed the appropriate preple, by reducing the price of produce ; four it reduced from twelve and fourteen dollars p : barrel, to fix -- and tobacco from ten and twelve dollars per hundred, to three-and had no doubt the fame effect on other articles of exportation. And how were we religved then from thefe evily? We again fent ministers, they were received, and made a treaty. Befides what has been before flated of the conduct of Great Britain. it will not be forgotten that the declared all France in a flate of blockade, and this order would have cut off all commercial intercourfe with her, who then wanted much of our produce. To Great Britaic alfo, a minifter was fent, and he made a treaty. I am now defirous that the fame steps should be purfued, before we adopt decifive measures. We once laid an embargo, of which we tired. This flews the necessity of acting cautiously, and of taking no measures which we cannot achere to. All the gentlemen who have supported the resolution now under confideration, have expressed doubts whether it would produce greater effecte on Britain or ourselves; this is furely doubting its policy: & if its policy be doubted by its friends, what ought to be the refult of our enquiries, especially when it is believed that its adoption will materially it jure one part of the country, and that part entirely agricultural; does the public good, about which we have heard for much, require that a measure which its friends feem to think of doubtful policy, ought to be adopted, when none can doubt but it will injuic if not facrifice the real interest of a part of the community. Examine the report of the Secre. tary of the Treafury, and it will at one glance thew from what quarter the great export is made to Britain ; cut off the import, and you will leffen the price of the export, if it shall be exported. But we are told that we are bound to protect commerce, meaning I suppose that this resolution must be adopted; then if we are real ly bound and there is no discretion, nothing of expediency, there is no occasion for this investigation. But gentlemen well know that on every question discretion may and will be exercised. But have we really done nothing for commerce and navigation ? On this full et I can coufdently appeal to those most interested. What unce the adoption of the prefent conflictation has made this the fecond pommercial nation to he world? (before that we had but little claim to the gharacter of a commercial people.) Has not the protesting du ies on the rounage of foreign vellels, and on goods imported in them, produced the effect ? They have focured to our velicle the carrying our own productions, which encourages navigation in proportion to their

buik. Let gentlemen enquire the number of

cargoes which tobacco and cotton alone furnish

the American veffels. Belides this encourage.

other, and a trade which has produced most of a ment given to navigation, has not a law been | this subject the interest of the husbandman in fled to favour the fifheries and thereby to form pilors for the use of the merchant service? It oved nor voted for that law, but no attempt is ever been made to reveal it. This is the scouragement by which, during a time of ace, we have become the tecond commercial tion in the world, and this too in the fhort ace of time fince the adoption of the prefent aditution-fay, if you pleafe, fince the 31 March, 1789. One confequence a little cu. ous is produced by this encouragement, which this - When Europe is at peace, the protect has duties prevent any competition by foreign selles to carry our productions, and when ar zer, to many of our veffels carry for the bellient paileds, that freight is nearly as high with us as it is with them; fo that what the agricultural people pay in time of peace to encourage, they lefe the benefit of whea Europe

is at war, and when it is must wanted. Among the arguments urged to show the effed of this measure on G. Britain, one is that it will cufure us a powerful aid in that country; that the British merchants, and manutacturers, whole interest will be feriously affected, will give you all their affittance .- I his argument has been completely answered by a gentleman from Georgia, (Mr. Early.) But if gentlemen really coloniate to make friends on the other fide of the water, it feems to me that a different plan would produce more effect. Cut off all intercourfe between them and us, and adhere to the plan long enough, and you will find the merchants and manuf curers of England joined by all the inhabitants of the W. Indies, to have the intercourse opened. The affembly of Jamaica have acknowledged that they cannot get Supplies in plenty except from the U. Bietes? but this plan would operate as much on beef, park, filh and flam, is on tebacco or cotton -But this would be too ilrong for them, while they are difficus to adopt a measure which will have the fame effect on cetton and tobacco .-What is this but a facrifice of a part of the agricultural interest of this country to what they believe will be a protection for the carrying trade. I should like it quite as well if the at tempt was not to be made folely at the rifle of one part of the union. The evil is felt in one past, I ut the remedy is to be applied in another -Adopt peneral measures which will operate og ally in every part of the country, and if the will then be willing to have it off as foon as puf

I am willing to acknowledge that a dollar got by this carrying trade, and made the pro. perty of the nation, is just as good as a dollar got any other way, even by the cultivation of the land. But does it follow from thence that you are to make more facisfices to get the dolfar in that way than it is worth? I think not. The adoption of the refolution, belides its unjust and partial operation, will confiderably aff et the revenue, and no ways and means are prodollars raifed on the confumption of foreign argoods had obtained, there could be no doubt on the queftion ; but I mean not to examine the right but the eff. & of the refolation; nor do I mean to deay that the trade is of fome ufe to the nation. Merchants would not fo anxiorfly purfue it, if they made up profit by it : and their profit adds to the national flock, and may affect the price of native articles offered for fale. I am also willing to acknowledge that a cargo of flour or any other native production fent to the West Indies, and there fairly ex changed for fugar, and the fugar brought home. that the fugat is as much ours as the produce of our own foil, and this fugar fo obtained we have a right to carry to those that may want it. But the question betore the committee is not a question of right, but of expediency. Is the protection which will be given to this carrying trade, by the adoption of the resolution, of that fort and of fuch certainty, as to justify the adoption of a meafure, which will operate ex. clufively hard on one part of the union, 'The right of deposit at New Orleans before we purchaled the country, was certainly as well effablished as our right to carry coffee and sugar to France and Spain, or any where elle-it was a right acknowledged by treaty; but when the deposit was refused, what did we do? we took pacific meafures and succeeded; we heard then much about homeur and dignity, and that it was our duty to enforce our right by arms; bu: notwithflanding all this, we adopted no measure like the prefent ; we then acted for the general welfare: does it follow, because I am opposed to the resolution, that I am unwilling for our citizens to own veffels-it doce not, I am wil. ling they may have as many as they pleafe, and do what they please with them, so that they do not involve the nation in war by them. On

New Hompshire and Georgia are the same.

The gentleman who introduced the resolution expressed a wish, that no party or geographical feelings, should be brought into the debate .-If there was no cause for geographical teglings, why the wish, or why anticipate them? Let the report of the fecretary of the treatury be examined, and it will be feen that there is caufe for this feeling; indeed the flatement, made from that document by a gentleman from Geogia (Mr. Early) must have convinced al' of the partial operation of the refolution. On the first page of the report it appears, that the annual exports to Great Britain and her dependencies are estimated at about 15 690.000 dul. lars, of which fum, tobacco and cotton alone make 8,860,000 dollars-it also eppears, that we exported to the dominions of Great Britain in Europe for each of the three years anding on the 30th of September, in 1802, the fun of 12,066,521 dollars; and that conton, tobacco, rice, puch, tar, and rofin, made o' that fum 8 485 762 dollars; in 1803 the fum of 16 .-459 264; and that the fame articles made of that fum, 11.912 493 dollars; in 1804, the fum of 11,787 659 dollars, and that the fame articles made of that fum, 9,443 807 dollars .--These articles are selected, be cause they are the produce of one fedion of the Union The fame part of the country products the following articles in common with other parts of the nation, but the proportion of each is not known, flour, "heat, beet, pork, flaves, heading, boards, plank, fointling, timber, flax feed, fkins, wax, hame, lacon, turpentine fgirits, lard, and ladian meal, and I may add, pickied bih ; fome of these articles are carried to the middle and perhaps to the eaftern flares, and are there exported, or co fumed, and if confirmed enable them to expert more of their own productions.

Is has been faid, that we have no complaint against any nation, except Great Britain. I wish most fracticly we had not, and that all nations would act as honefuly towards us, as we have done and are willing to do towards them; but in examining this fabject, we ought not to decrive ourselves; truth, & truth alore, should be our aim and guide. Have we no complaints against France and Spain for the conduct of fome of their people on the ocean? I mean not to fay a word about the conduct of one of them on our fouth weftern frontier. What has buch the practice at Cuba? to take our vellele, The is to pinch, let it pinch all alike, and all and fell them and their cargoes whether with or without a trial I know not. The owners I believe, have generally lon both veffel and cargo; and how have they treated your fearnen? turned them on the first there they could, and leave them to get home as they could; but it may be faid, that this is done by picaroons, and without the content of their governments, and that these governments do not justify those who ad in this paratical way. The government at Cuba muft have knowledge of thefe facts; they are committed too near it; and too frequently to remain long unknown; and we cannot have posed to meet any deficiency. On the prefent forgot that Captains Murray and Chauscey queflion we risk a revenue of ten millions of have both very lately been cruiting on our own coaft to drive thefe picaroons from it; beli les ticles in the union, to gain-what? (I fpeak all this, we have heard, that a French fleet only of revenue) -an additional fum of 850.000 | lately burnt all the American velfale it met to dollars, which additional fum you will lefe as prevent their giving information of the course foon as you depart from your neutrality. It is it was fleering. In this case they treated the afked again and again if we have not a right to crews well, at least I have not heard to the conthe trade about which fo much has been faid? trany, and promifed to pay for the property If the doctrine that free thips should make free | deflroyed . No one will contend that the fleet had a right to deftroy this property. Thefe facts are not frated to exculpate Great Britain, but to flew that gentlemen are millaken, when they fay we have no complaints except against England; against her I agree with the gentlemen we have well tounded complaints.

> The gentl, man first up, from Pennsylvania, has observed, that the contrabaud trade war generally cerried on by foreigners. (Mr. Greggexplained, and faid he had observed that he beheved this to be the cafe in many inflances )

Mr. Macon. I thank the gentleman for the explanation; as I have no with to millake what has been observed, but the difference in the flatement will not affect the quettion, that foreigners may be concerned both in illicir and contraband trade. I have no doubt but there are some facts known to all that will shew, that others besides foreigners have been concerned in bufinels not the most honorable. The petition of Nicklin and Griffichs, now before the .. House, contains the proof. that an American, with an American register, covered a veffel for a foreigner, who armed veffels to fight their way into the St. Domingo trade. I have heard it was not a foreigner, but an American. who fitted out the Leander for Mitanda, and who by this act run the rifk of committing the peace of the country. I understood that it was an American. In flating thefe facts, I mean no reflection on the merchants: they, like every other class in the community, have among them good, had and indifferent. The fame gentleman faid he was not willing to protect the wild carrying trade, I know not what this wild trade is, unless it be that which will involve the nation in war , it is not the contraband, because that no one will protect.

(Continued in last page.)