

THE MINERVA.

PUBLISHED (WEEKLY) BY WILLIAM BOYLAN.

TWO DOLLS. PER ANN.
Payable in Advance.

RALEIGH, (N. C.) TUESDAY, JUNE 23, 1807.

[No. 585]

TRIAL OF Col. Aaron Burr.

CONTINUED.

FROM RICHMOND, JUNE 13.
FEDERAL COURT.

Debate on the motion for a Subpoena
Duces Tecum.

WEDNESDAY, June 10.

An affidavit was drawn up by Mr. Burr, stating that certain papers in the possession of the President might be material to his defence; and that affidavit is in the following terms:

AARON BURR, }
of the U. S. 5th Circuit and }
District of Virginia.

AARON BURR maketh oath, that he hath believed that a letter from Gen. Wilkinson to the President of the U. States, dated 21st October, 1806, as mentioned in the President's Message of the 22nd January, 1807, and such other papers, together with the documents accompanying the same letter, and copy of the answer of said Thomas Jefferson, were material in his defence in the prosecution against him—and further, that he hath reason to believe the military and naval orders given by the President of the United States, through the departments of war and of the navy, to the officers of the army and navy, at or near the New Orleans stations, touching or concerning said Burr, or his property, will also be material in his defence.

AARON BURR.

sworn to in open Court
the 10th June 1807.

W. MARSHALL, CLK.

This affidavit being read,

Mr. Hay begged leave to notice to the court the opposite counsel, that in conformity to the intimation which he had yesterday given, he had addressed a letter to the President; mentioning the motion which was to be made this day, and suggesting the propriety of sending to the papers required, but reserving to himself the right of keeping these papers by him, until the court should see and determine their materiality. He hoped that in 5 days at least the papers would be in his possession; he should however object to the affidavit produced, and went to the right of Col. Burr to make any such motions at the present time. That this was a preliminary question, which he would wish to be first determined; whether any man standing in Col. B's situation had a right to make such a motion.—The fact was, if these papers should ever come to hand, they would never go out of the hands of the court; for he was satisfied that they could not be material in the present case, from the substance of one of these very papers, which was already in his possession. He wished not to waste the time of the court: there were however several preliminary points which he should be obliged to submit to their consideration; and before this discussion could be ended, the papers would be here. He confessed that he was extremely unwilling to enter into any discussion on these papers. Gentlemen might take it for granted, if they pleased, that he felt a disinclination to furnish them with these papers; there was none such: These gentlemen ought themselves to have applied for them; for he was satisfied from the character of the government, that every necessary paper would have been cheerfully supplied; he had no doubt the court and even the opposite counsel, would individually acquiesce in the same opinion. He trusted that the present motion was not made to show the talents of gentlemen; he assured them, that if general W. should come, they would enjoy such a splendid opportunity to their hearts content: he intended to call no reflections upon the counsel personally; but requested them once more to deliberate upon his propositions.

Mr. Martin would assure the gentleman that there was no need for further deliberation. It is strange that this gentleman should so much complain of the consumption of time, at the very moment when he speaks of the long period which he should require for this discussion, and the great many preliminary points which he should have to settle. The gentleman said Mr. M. has warmly spoken too of certain impressions; and even of our own: But I trust that he will leave it to ourselves to declare our own impressions: It is impossible for that gentleman to search our hearts; and sure, I am that nothing has ever yet fallen from us to justify the elevated eulogies upon the government, which he has been kind enough to attribute to us.

Mr. Wickham observed, that Mr. Hay had promised the appearance of these papers; and for what is this subpoena *Duces Tecum* required? not to bring the President here; he is not wanting, but to obtain certain papers, which he has in his possession. What then is the effect of this process, but to produce the very result which Mr. Hay promises? As to the objection that a part of these papers is confidential, would it not be easy to make an endorsement on such, as the President would not wish to go out of the court? Mr. H's promises, however may be unavailing; at Washington they may entertain views very different from his own.—As to the opportunity of displaying talents, nothing would be better calculated to defeat that object, than for the attorney for the U. S. to give his consent, that process should issue.

Mr. Hay observed, that he had not distinctly heard the gentleman: He thought, however, that he had heard the word "consent;" but he would assure that gentleman that he had not consented, and never would consent to such a proposition.

Mr. Martin then rose to open the motion; when some desultory discussion ensued upon the order of proceeding. Mr. Hay contended that this question was premature; that the preliminary question ought first to be settled, whether Col. Burr did stand in such a situation, as to entitle him to make this motion. If the court pleased, he would state the grounds on which he himself denied the existence of such a right.

The Chief Justice decided that Mr. Hay might state his objections. Mr. H. then proceeded,

The motion now made by Mr. Burr, as far as he could understand it, was to obtain a subpoena from the court (or rather from the Judges sitting there) to the President of the U. States, to attend this court with an original letter from General W. to the President of the U. States, and afterwards referred to by him in his communication to Congress of January 27th. He contended that this motion was premature.—Col. Burr was not authorized by any legal precedents or by the statutes of this or any other country, to demand legal process for obtaining witnesses, whilst he remained in his present situation. What was that situation? He had been committed for a misdemeanor, and recognized to appear before this court: And in consequence of this recognition he is now present. The court would recollect, that no bill had been found; that no bill had been sent up to the Grand Jury. And all that can be said, is, that Col. B. is present from a previous recognition for a misdemeanor. In such a situation, Col. Burr applies to the court for compulsory process or rather a subpoena *Duces Tecum* to the President of the U. States commanding him to attend with certain papers; that if he does not attend; or the papers are not produced, the court may then issue an attachment against him. Now I contend, said Mr. Hay that no individual charged with a crime, has any right to legal process, until the existence of his trial, that is, until the Grand Jury have found a bill, and the prosecutor has announced his intention to proceed. Gentlemen will please to point out in the constitution, in the laws of Congress, or in the common law, the smallest right for making this motion. They will in vain search for a precedent in the complicated and various materials of the common law. The acts of Congress supply them with no authority; and there is nothing in the constitution, which in the least relates to this subject; except the 8th amendment, which most obviously refers to a very different stage of the prosecution from this: "In all criminal prosecutions, the accused shall enjoy the right to a speedy and public trial, &c. &c. to have compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor, and to have the assistance of counsel for his defence." Will gentlemen contend, that this clause relates to any of the preliminary steps of the prosecution, before the prosecution is itself commenced by the finding of a bill? This clause was never intended for any of the preliminary steps; for the arrest, the transportation, or the examination of the accused. Its object was to secure to every man not on the examination before the examining magistrate, but on the trial, and the trial itself is always held before the Petit Jury. When the trial commences, it is then that the accused is to be confronted with the witnesses against him; it is then that he is entitled to compulsory process for obtaining witnesses in his favor; it is then that he is to have counsel for his defence. It is true, Sir, that in this first stage (this incipient stage as it is called in fashionable

phrase) it is true, that A. Burr has already not one counsel but four; and not only counsel in this district, but celebrated counsel from other states. It is true that the clerk of this court has already issued subpoenas; but these subpoenas were gratuitous; and had they been refused, there would have been no law to compel him to grant them: But what do all these circumstances prove? That A. Burr has any authority at this stage of the business to make his present application to the court? And even let us suppose that they have obtained what they require. Let us suppose that this subpoena has been issued; that the President himself is here; that he is to be called before this court from Washington, where national concerns of such deep weight and importance are entrusted to his guidance.

Mr. Wickham begged leave to interrupt the gentleman. This was not in fact a subpoena for the President himself, but only for certain papers.

Mr. Hay. Even that supposition does not remove the prematurity of the present motion.—I was about to ask, Sir, what is to be done with these papers, if the President himself should be here with these papers in his pocket. I will say nothing of the manifest and many inconveniences which would attend his absence from the seat of government. What would be done with these papers? The gentlemen cannot answer this question. I only am competent to answer it. And why? Because no kind of use can be made of this evidence, until the Grand Jury have found their indictment; until I have laid my bills before them.—Will gentlemen however, go on upon such calculations; that the bills will be sent up; and that they will be certainly found true Bills? If general W. comes, and that he will, I can entertain no doubt from the intelligence which I have heard this morning the prosecution will certainly progress; and in that case only, can these papers be wanted.

There is another little difficulty in this case.—When is this process to be made returnable? Some day must be named. But can the court possibly name any day, when the witnesses or the papers shall be wanted? Do the records of this court indicate any particular day, when the trial is to commence? Sir, such a nomination would be completely arbitrary. Let an indictment be first found; let a day be first set for the trial; and on that day might this process be returnable. But, Sir, even if a day could be fixed on, it does not appear, that this testimony would be wanted during this term. It depends upon the arrival of gen. Wilkinson: It literally depends upon the winds and the waves. The very language of the process confirms this argument. How could the evidence be heard, before the accused is put upon his trial?—Perhaps it may be said that this evidence may be wanted in case we repeat the motion for committing Aaron Burr for High Treason; and which we shall certainly attempt if gen. Wilkinson does not make his appearance. On this point, two remarks only are necessary to be made. The first is, that no such motion is actually before the court; and further, if any such motion was made, the court would have no right to issue Process, before the commencement of the trial. The court has no more right for this purpose, than an individual magistrate would have; and in fact it was only a few days past, that the court did actually consider themselves placed in this very situation. Now if such an application had been made to your honour out of doors; is there any law in America or in any part of the civilized world, to postpone the examination, until a subpoena has been granted? It is true that evidence on both sides has been some times produced; but this took place when the evidence happened to be present; and there exists not a simple precedent in all the annals of jurisprudence, where the course of an examination has been suspended, by an application for subpoenas, and the waiting for witnesses.—The present motion therefore is manifestly premature.—Mr. Hay confessed that his object was to save time; he had no doubt that the documents would be forwarded in a much shorter time than they could possibly obtain them by this process. Why were they not sooner applied for? True it is that there has been some correspondence between Mr. Randolph and Mr. Smith, about an order from the navy department; but never before yesterday, was the materiality of gen. Wilkinson's letter suggested, altho' that letter had been publicly known to exist, as long ago as the 27th of January. The accused knew

this; his counsel knew it; and yet have they made no attempts to obtain it; nor have they ever stated its materiality.

Mr. Wickham would not enquire, whether it was the object of the gentlemen to save or to obtain time; though probably the last; as gentlemen seemed very solicitous to send on a messenger to Washington to obtain instructions directing them how to act; but if the saving of time was an object with the court, the course which he recommended was best calculated to obtain it. It was the shorter way to resort at once to that expedient, which must be at last employed, if the expectations of the attorney for the United States, should turn out to be fallacious, and his application at Washington should prove to be unavailing.—The clerk himself, if called upon for subpoenas, must issue them absolutely. It was the practice; and it was the law; but instead of applying to the clerk, they deemed it necessary in a case of such importance, to make their application directly to the court. They were more willing too to prefer this course; as they did not wish the presence of the President; but only of certain papers; and it was not therefore their wish to obtain a common subpoena for his person, but a subpoena *Duces Tecum* for those papers.

This is the first time I have heard, since the Declaration of American Independence, that an accused man is not to obtain witness in his behalf. What has the gentleman himself done? Are there not witnesses present, whom he has summoned; under the authority of this court and at his own special instance? And will he at last admit, that there is to be no kind of equality between the accused and the prosecution; and that we are to remain here perfectly mute and bound hand and foot, to wait the decision of his own witnesses?

But at what time are we to be entitled to these privileges? At the period of Col. B's transportation? That is a most unwarrantable proceeding; there is no such case recognized by the constitution; and therefore there could be nothing in that constitution to give us the right of founding any judicial proceeding on such a step. But, Sir, such an illegal transaction entitles us to still more, it entitles to the protection of every citizen in the county; as well as of this court.—Suppose that Col. Burr was now put on his examination; would he not have a right to examine any witnesses, who were beyond the bar; and of course to subpoena every man, who would be brought before you during the term of examination? This practice is every day pursued by magistrates. Why not in the present case?

It has been said, that there is nothing in this country to justify such an application, that there are no precedents. But I will quote, Sir, another trial, which was similar, in its proceedings, and similar, in its results.—I refer to the cases of Smith and Ogden before the Circuit Court of New-York. Subpoenas were actually taken out, before the Trial, for Messrs. Madison and Davborn; and even the expenses of their travelling were tendered to them. But the proceedings did not even stop here. For a motion for an attachment was made before the court, founded upon the proof of serving these Subpoenas; and the proof of offered compensation. The argument at length closed on this motion for attachment. But no man doubted the right of the court to issue Subpoenas. The only question was, whether at that time an attachment ought to issue.—The court was unanimous about the right of Subpoenas; but on the attachment, they were divided: Judge Patterson being for it; and Judge Talmage against it.

We are however asked, Sir, for what purpose do we wish to procure this evidence? It is at their opinion to say, whether bills shall be laid before the Grand Jury or not." Granted, Sir. It is in the power of the attorney for the United States to send up his bills or not. But should these bills be found true, and the trial come on, may we not be ruled to trial, in *fastanter*; and without the aid of our witnesses? But what was done the other day, may be hereafter repeated. Witnesses were introduced on behalf of the United States, and others perhaps would have been; on the motion for a commitment. This motion is for the present only suspended. But if that be the case, may not the testimony now required be relevant to our defence?—The attorney for the United States triumphantly declares, that he must do as he pleases; and that we know not what he intends to do. That is true, Sir, but may not we too do something? May not