

ern Railway lights were the leaders. sought-for sinister, selfish or dishonorable ends - to compel the State to pay par for certain Western North Carolina railroad bonds which the State had hon-

Where do the Southern Railway specuorably adjusted, and compromised more than twenty-five years ago. Having set- lating big men in league with Russell, Butler & Co., come in? They doubtless tled the State debt upon an honest basis. all the creditors, except a few New York hold some of the bonds-perhaps most of bankers who held the W. N. C. R. R. them-and are behind Schaeffer Brothers bonds for speculation or to give trouble, partly for that reason. The chief motive accepted the settlement upon the basis has been hinted at. They hope to see prescribed by the Legislature. These New the State lose the suit. If it loses the York bankers for twenty-five years have State must pay in the neighborhood of held these bonds "for such a day as this." \$750,000. It has not a dollar in the State Treasury but a deficit. How will it pay? They may have held them during all these years on their own speculative account, The Southern Railroad magnates, behind or they may have held them as agents of this whole litigation, do believe that, incertain railroad manipulators who wished stead of issuing new bonds, they have to hold them to force their own terms enough power to influence the Legislature upon the State. However that may be, to sell all the State's stock in the North those who really own these bonds have Carolina Railroad. They already control known all these years that they could most of the private stock or could put not in any legal or honorable way en- their hands on the bulk of it in short orforce their payment except by accepting der. If put up for sale, they know that new bonds at the rate of twenty-five cents having secured for the Southern Railway in the dollar. After Russell, in spite of a 99-year lease, no other railroad folks all his rant and bluster, confirmed the would bid upon the State's stock. Capilease of the North Carolina Railroad, talists would not bid high because they certain Southern Railway folks, in posses- know the Southern Railway management, sion of the 99-year lease at an absurdly having control of the road, could manipulow rental, wished to get the ownership late the stock at will. Therefore they of the North Carolina Railroad, in order feel sure they could buy it at from 150 to issue stock upon it for three or four to 200. They could at once stock it for times its market value. It would be easy from 350 to 400, and pocket the difference. to float \$20,000,000 stock on the road upon The Governor says the State would not which only a seven per cent rental on \$4,- sell this stock, but, if the State should 000,000 is paid. If certain promoters con- lose the suit, he would call the Legislanected with the Southern Railway could ture together in the last extremity and by a lease so as to prevent bidding by per cent bonds to pay the debt. Very any other railroad, they saw a profit va- well. The Governor would do that, but riously estimated anywhere from five he has no veto power. The Legislature is to twelve million dollars in the transac- supreme. Every power the Southern Railtion. At one time-so Governor Russell way manipulators and speculators could swears-it was their purpose to bring a exert would be brought to bear to concase before the North Carolina Supreme vince the people that it would be better Court for the payment of the bonds upon to sell the stock at nearly or quite twice government on November 8, of this year, which South Dakota is now suing the its par value, retire with the big profit, State of North Carolina. Governor Rus- than to hold on to it and issue threesell testifies that this plan was aban- quarter of a million dollars of new bonds. doned just before the Legislature of 1901 The plausible argument, contained in met. Is their no significance in this tes- Governor Russell's message to the Legistimony? Mr. Fab Busbee, Southern Rail- lature printed today, and freshly printed way lawyer, was the attorney when yesterday in the Raleigh organ of the the Supreme Court ordered the Southern Railway, is the plausible argupayment of money out of the State Treas- ment that certain Southern Railway ury WHICH THE LEGISLATURE SAID folks, who want to buy the State's stock SHOULD NOT BE PAID, thereby violat- have been making for some time. Of ing the Constitution of North Carolina. If course some officials will be loud in that illegal and unconstitutional payment denying that the Southern Railway is behad been acquiesced in, could not the hind this gigantic attempt to hold up the

dholders would have abandon porary interment will be in the tomb intention of asking the Supreme Court of the Army of Tennessee in Metairic cemetery, New Orleans. to do for them exactly what the court had done for White?

Hearing in the Gambling Cases.

(By the Associated Press.) New York, Dec. 17 .-- The hearing of being manager of a gambling house at 5

pleaded not guilty and declined to make reply to the question "What is your business?' said to have gathered evidence against the house, was the first witness. He told how he obtained entrance to the house and played faro and roulette there. Bucklin, he said, received the men who games. At the examination of Samuel G.

Smith, the alleged door-keeper at 33 West Thirty-third street, Farrell's alhaving gained access to the place and having gambled there.

The Blind Pool Hard Hit.

(By the Associated Press.) Chicago, Dec. 17 .- Bulls and bears of the Chicago Board of Trade had a sharp

and decisive struggle over December corn today. W. W. McCleary & Co. failed to respond to margin calls and the so-called "blind pool," headed by Thos. A. Cleage, of St. Louis, suffered a severe setback. December corn receded almost six cents during the day and showed a break of about eleven cents since earlier in the month.

Mr. McCleary declared that he could pay dollar for dollar and that he probably will be ready for business tomorrow. secure the North Carolina road, bound up recommend the issue of new 3, 31/2 or 4 He said that his order to have all his trades closed was forced because of ex. cessive calls upon him for margins.

French Demand on Peru.

(By the Associated Press.)

Lima, Peru, Dec. 17 .- The French Legation here, presented to the Peruvian a claim for \$16,071,940, in favor of the Dreyfus Brothers, of Paris, in accordance with the finding of the Lausanne Court of Arbitration. Up to the present time the government has made no presentation of the claim, and it is probable that the French Legation tomorrow will reiterate its request for a settlement of this claim.

The Trust Buyers to Sbut Up

(By the Associated Press.)

have been working to put in readiness steel plant, formerly the property of the same Supreme Court have overridden the State. But some of the men high up in Troy Steel Company, have been notified acts of the Legislature, forbidding the the councils are behind it, either on their that their services are no longer needed. vides that the act shall not prohibit payment of the bonds upon which the personal account or for the railroad. If It is announced tonight that the plant a workman from engaging in other work State of South Dakota is now suing? If unfortunately the State should lose, Steel corporation for \$1,500,00, and that, ing on government work for eight hours. the Investigating Committee appointed by everybody will see that certain Southern in all probability, the works will not be The bill probably will be reported to the an essential element of government, and the Legislature, headed by Judge Michael Railway bosses will move heaven and operated.

in the resolution Mr. Hitt replied that he was advised that the correspondence was similar in

each case. The recommendations of the committee were agreed to. The House thn went into committee of the whole and took up the consideration of the bill reported by the Ways and Means Committee yesterday, to reduce the duty on ar-

the charge against David Bucklin as ticles, the growth and product of the Fhilippine Archipelago, from 75 per cent East Forty-fourth street, the Canfield of the Dingley rates (the present tariff) house, was commenced today. Bucklin to 25 per cent. Mr. Payne explained the bill. The

Philippine government, he said, needed revenue and for that reason it was im-Joseph Jacobs, the detective who is practicable to totally abolish the duties. Mr. Richardson (Tenn.), said he believed any duty was unjust, unconstitutional and un-American, but he favored any reduction He gave notice that he wold vote to re-commit the bill with incame in and watched the different structions to report it back amended so / court in this matter.

as to provide for free trade with the islands. Mr. McClellan (N. Y.), recalled the fact that General Wright, vice-Governor of

leged place, Detective Jacobs testified to the Philippines, in a hearing before the Ways and Means Committee, expressed the opinion that the free importation of Philippine products would best subserve

the interests of the islands. Mr. Swanson (Va.), also argued in favor of free trade with the Philippines.

The bill was further discussed by Mr DeArmond (Mo.), Mr. Ball (Tex.), Mr. Crumpacker (Ind.), and Mr. Greene (Po). All amendments were voted down in committee of the whole. In the House, Mr. Richardson moved to re-commit the bill with instructions to the committee to report it back amended so as to provide for free trade between the United States and the islands. The motion was defeated.

The Pure Food bill was then taken up. Mr. Adamson (Ga.), opposed it in an extended speech, taking the ground that the States could adequately deal with the situation. He said he believed thoroughly in the protection of the public against fraud, but he did not believe in imposing the task upon the Federal government. No one could contend, he said that a State could not punish common cheats and swindlers if they deceived in that they sold one thing and delivered another.

The Eight Hour Bill.

Washington, Dec. 18 .- The Senate Committee on Education and Labor today agreed on a favorable report of the House Eight hour bill. The agreement was reached after many hearings and three days of executive sessions. Many

amendments have been made. The principal changes in the bill relate to transportation of contract work and the purchase in the open market of goods wanted by the government. The

bill makes it specified that all purchases Albany, N. Y., Dec. 17 .- Laborers who whether articles of materials, shall be shall be exempt. The language relating tion involving the liability of the offor resumption the great Breaker Island to the transportation of goods is made more plain and definite than in the House bill. Another amendment prohas been purchased by the United States for an additional two hours after work-Senate on Saturday.

REQUEST FOR OPINION.

"The members of this court have heretofore been of opinion that their salaries were not subject to taxation, and for that reason have not listed them for that purpose. But the Corporation Commission has decided that they are, and has directed the county commissioners to collect the same. And as all the members of this court, and also all the judges of the Superior courts, are interested in the question, which would make it embarrassing, if not incompetent for them to sit upon its hearing, therefore, as you are the legally constitute adviser of the government, the court has decided to ask your opinion upon this important question. And for that purpose the court have requested me to write you this let. ter, and whatever your opinion may be it will be filed for the guidance of the

"Hoping you will favor the court with such opinion, at as early a date as it may suit your convenience, the court respectfully awaits the same.

Very respectfully, etc., D. M. FURCHES, Chief Justice Supreme Court N. C.

OPINION IS RENDERED.

Under date of December 16, Tuesday of this week, the Attorney General submitted his opinion to the court. Yesterday. December 18, the opinion was hand ed down, accompanied by the following letter, addressed to Col. Thos. S. Kenan, Clerk of the Supreme Court:

Dear Sir: I herewith hand you the correspondence between Attorney General Gilmer and myself with regard to the right of the Legislature to tax the salaries of the judges. And in doing so, I wish to say that it is a full, able and indeed an exhaustive discussion of the subject involved, and in my opinion a correct decision of the question.

It has been read to the court sitting in conference and approved without a dissenting voice. It was then ordered by the court that the Attorney General's opinion, together with my letter to him and this letter to you, be filed and preserved among the records of your office and be published in the 131st volume of the Supreme Court Reports.

It was then resolved that the cour would consider this opinion of the Attorney General as settling the matter therein discussed, to the same extent as if it were the opinion of this court.

Clifford, Circuit Justice, held that "the Very respectfully, salary of a judge of the Court of Record, D. M. FURCHES, avable out of the Treasury of a State Chief Justice. is not legally taxable as income under the internal revenue laws of the United

FULL TEXT OF OPINION.

The opinion written by Attorney General Gilmer is dated December 16, 1902. and addressed to Chief Justice Furches, reads as follows:

"I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your favor of recent date in which my opinion is asked upon a quesfical salaries of the Chief Justice and the Associate Justces of the Supreme Court of this State to taxation. In dis charge of the duty imposed upon me by section 3363, sub-section 4 of The Code, 1 have the honor to submit the following: The doctrine that the power to tax is that the Legislature, in its exercise is

land, 4 Wheaton, p 316-207, his argument Justice Marshall, who delivered the that the internal revenue acts of the Federal government requiring stamps on processes of State courts are unconstitutional interfefences with their proceedings. Smith vs. Short, 40 Ala., 385; Craig vs. Dimock, 47 Ill, 308; Warren vs. Paul, 33 In., 276; Fifield vs. Close, 15 Mich., 505; Walton vs. Bryenth, Howards Practice Reports, 357; Jones vs. Keep, 19 Wis., 390; Bumpass vs, Taggart, 26 Ark.

398; Forcherner vs. Holly, 14 Fla., 279;

Latham vs. Smith, 45 Ill., 29: Wallace

vs. Craven, 34 Ind., 534; Pargond vs.

Richardson, 30 La. An., 1286; Sarrow vs.

Elfler, 48 Tenn., 633; Carpenter vs. Snell-

ton, Federal Cases, Book 7, case 3675.

States." This ruling was affirmed by the

15 Mass., 499.

State officials.

U. C., 113.

judge.'

interest in the Venezuelan trouble. The is sustained by the reasoning of Chief French government has, through its Ambassador and charges and Ministers, opinion of the court in that case: "that served notice upon the governments of the power to tax involves the power to Great Britain, Germany and Italy, and destroy." This doctrine is emplified in as information has told Secretary Hay many cases decided by the Supreme that any provision made for the settle-Courts of other jurisdictions, declaring ment of the claims against Venezuela must recognize the pre-eminence of the French claims. The French government. received assurance from the other governments named any arrangements would provide for the security of the French interests in the Venezuelan customs.

WILL NOT GO TU VENEZUELA.

No Concentration of a Large American Naval Force at] a Guaira.

(By the Associated Press.) Washington, Dec. 18 .- The Navy Department contributed the most import-

ing, 97 Mass., 452; Davis vs. Richardson, ant development in the Venezuelan situation over night in the form of a cable-The principle announced in McCulloch grom from Admiral Dewey, announcing vs, the State of Maryland, supra, has the proposed itinerary of the vessels of been affirmed by the Supreme Court of his fleet during the Christmas holidays. this State. In King vs. Hunter, 65 N. C., It is clearly seen that the plan provides at pp. 612-613, Reade, J., says: "It has for no undue concentration of ships along been considered how far an office or an the Venezuelan coast and so prudently officer, may be taxed. And it has been and advisedly have its details been drawn considered as settled that the State has up that Secretary Moody, today cabled no power to tax an officer of the United to the Admiral the Department's ap-States, or vice versa, because 'the power proval of his orders. This will set at to tax includes the power to destroy' as rest the rumors that the United States was said by Chief Justice Marshall in contemplate complicating the Venezue-McCulloch vs. State of Maryland, 4 lan situation by dispatching a large naval Wheaton, p. 207. And if a State were force to La Guaira as an offset to the alowwed to tax a United States officer allied fleet.

one dollar, it might tax him to the full Admiral Dewey's cablegram, dated San amount of his salary, and thus 'arrest Juan, December 14, follows:

alsl the measures of the government." "Proposed itinerary of vessels for And so the United States cannot tax Christmas holidays: Kearsarge, Alabama, Massachusetts, Iowa, Scorpion, to a State officer for the same reason." Upon a similar principle the Federal Trinidad; Illinois, Indiana, Hist, to St. courts have held that the United States Thomas; Texas, to Pointe-a-Pitre; Chigovernment cannot tax the income of cago, Newary, Eagle, to Curacao; San Francisco, Albany, Wasp, to Mayaguez;

The case of the United States vs. Cincinnati, Atlanta, Prairie, tugs and torpedo boats to San Juan; Culgoa, to Ritchie, Federal cases, Book 27, case 16.163, involved the right of the Federal Mayaguez and San Juan; Olympia, Nashgovernment to tax the income of the ville and Machias to St. Kitts; Detroit, State's attorney for the County of Fred- to Antigua; Mayflower and Vixen to rick, in the State of Maryland. The Porto Rican waters and vicinity, and the court held that "the United States has Dolphin to Antigua and vicinity. no more right to tax these agencies than In reply Secretary Moody sent the fol-

lowing cablegram: the State government has to tax, the means and agencies to carry on the Fed-"Carry out proposed itinerary. Merry eral government." In Day vs. Buffing-Christmas."

GERMANY DEMANDS APOLOGY.

Unwilling to Arbitrate, Her Refasal May be Accompanied by Fresh Suggestions.

(By the Associated Press.)

Supreme Court of the United States. 78 Berlin, Dec. 18 .- Aside from the financial claims mentioned in her ultimatum, In Freedman vs. Seigle, Federal Cases, Germany expects some form of apology Book 9, case 5080, it was held that "the from Venezuela for the diplomatic insults which the Foreign Office says are more serious and more gross than those of which Great Britain complains. The Foreign Office is again calling attention

lest Germany effect a landing in Vene-County, 16 Peters', at page 450, Mr. Juszuela. It says a refutation of such sustice Wayne, speaking for the Supreme picions ought to be perceived in the Court of the United States, says: "Does slender forces at the disposition of Gernot a tax by a State upon the office, many. There are scarcely three hundred diminishing the recompense, conflict with men who could be spared from the (Continued on Page Five.)

(Continued on Page Five.)

United States Court impose a tax on the salary of a judge of a Superior Court of the City of New York by imposing a tax upon such salary as the income of such to the suspicions in the United States In Dobbins vs. Commissioners of Erie