

THURSDAY, December 1, 1873.

H. A. LONDON, Jr., Editor.

THE MUD-CUT BOOM.

Quite a stir has recently been made among the politicians of this State by the publication of an anonymous article against the further extension of the Western N. C. Railroad, and in order that our readers may understand this "boom" (as it is called) we will endeavor to explain it.

When the N. C. R. R., in which the State had a controlling interest, was completed to Charlotte, it was determined to extend the road from Salisbury via Morganton and Asheville to some point or points in Tennessee, connecting there with other roads, and thus making a road direct from the great cities of the West through the centre of North Carolina, to Morehead City on the Atlantic coast. The State subscribed liberally to this road, which was called the W. N. C. R. R., or more generally the "Western Extension," and when the late war commenced the road was in running order for some distance from Salisbury. As soon as possible after the war was resumed, the Constitutional convention of 1865 voted to spend \$100,000 in bonds to build a continuation (most of which was never spent) from Littleton, and the road was finished to Old Fort, about 2 miles east of Asheville. The state conventions of both political parties adopted resolutions in favor of no further extension of the road, & the pursuance of their political dictators when they came to power proceeded to extend the road. Under the mismanagement of others a rule the road had been largely involved, had been misappropriated, and was about to be sold, and that the State would lose its stock. The democrats when the sale took place had the road bought in彷徨, thus preserving her her right to the road thus becoming hereditary property, and has since been under her exclusive control. When this had been done, the question arose, whether the State should expend more money in extending the road, or in the terminus remaining at Old Fort. It was generally concluded, however, less of party, that the road should be extended as originally designed, and so work was resumed, a large force of convicts being sent from the Penitentiary, and iron was brought to the track as fast as it was needed. The great tunnel at the summit of the Blue Ridge, which was a stupendous undertaking, is about finished, and the cars will be running to Asheville in a few weeks, and now the question, again, is to appear, urging the legislature to further work and expense, stating that under democratic control a two millions of dollars of State money have been expended in building the road from Old Fort towards Asheville and that the taxpayers of the State cannot stand so heavy a burden upon them. If this statement be true, it is natural that all taxpayers should begin to discuss the propriety of spending any more money on this road; but in contradiction of this statement, Hale's Weekly says (and Dr. Worth has privately informed us) that the records in the State Treasurer's office show that the exact amount expended is \$101,000.00, of this sum \$121,000.00 have been expended for the support of the convicts while building the road, and who would have cost just as much, or more, if they were confined in the Penitentiary.

There are several other specious statements made in this anonymous article, to which we will not refer. We deplore the publication of such an article, for it cannot do any good just now, as the work on the road must continue under existing laws, at least until the assembling of the next legislature in January 1874—and it may do much harm by exciting a discussion, and it may be a division, in the Democratic party at a time when there should be perfect harmony. Yet it is upon the eve of an important campaign as next year's will be, involving issues of such moment, that it is deeply to be deplored that such a falsehood should be thrown into the ranks. The attempt to array the East against the West—to excite sectional prejudices—is as impudent, as it is impolitic in a certain sense. This question, indeed, is made a painful one, for both parties have repeatedly committed themselves

CORRESPONDENCE.

to a wide range of interests, and the result is that the State is compelled to extend the road, and the people are compelled to pay for it.

MS. B. 2. N. C. Nov. 20, 1873.

Mr. President, I am sorry to say that your correspondent does not know a single fact concerning the history of the railroad, such as its cost, its original purpose, its construction, its management, &c. &c. &c. He seems to have no idea of what you will call the "boom" in that connection, but I will tell him with a short interval, & he will see that his statement is well founded.

As far as politics go, I suppose the "boom" is to be accounted for in this way:

The first is also used to the present day, Congress having passed a bill to prohibit the use of convict labor on public works, and the second is that the legislature of the State of North Carolina, in 1865, voted to spend \$100,000 in bonds to build a continuation of the road from Old Fort to the Atlantic coast.

We will speak of the first, as it is used as a reason for the "boom" in the second.

The first is that the State conventions of both political parties adopted resolutions in favor of no further extension of the road, & the pursuance of their political dictators when they came to power proceeded to extend the road. Under the mismanagement of others a rule the road had been largely involved, had been misappropriated, and was about to be sold, and that the State would lose its stock. The democrats when the sale took place had the road bought in彷徨, thus preserving her her right to the road thus becoming hereditary property, and has since been under her exclusive control. When this had been done, the question arose, whether the State should expend more money in extending the road, or in the terminus remaining at Old Fort. It was generally concluded, however, less of party, that the road should be extended as originally designed, and so work was resumed, a large force of convicts being sent from the Penitentiary, and iron was brought to the track as fast as it was needed. The great tunnel at the summit of the Blue Ridge, which was a stupendous undertaking, is about finished, and the cars will be running to Asheville in a few weeks, and now the question, again, is to appear, urging the legislature to further work and expense, stating that under democratic control a two millions of dollars of State money have been expended in building the road from Old Fort towards Asheville and that the taxpayers of the State cannot stand so heavy a burden upon them. If this statement be true, it is natural that all taxpayers should begin to discuss the propriety of spending any more money on this road; but in contradiction of this statement, Hale's Weekly says (and Dr. Worth has privately informed us) that the records in the State Treasurer's office show that the exact amount expended is \$101,000.00, of this sum \$121,000.00 have been expended for the support of the convicts while building the road, and who would have cost just as much, or more, if they were confined in the Penitentiary.

There are several other specious statements made in this anonymous article, to which we will not refer. We deplore the publication of such an article, for it cannot do any good just now, as the work on the road must continue under existing laws, at least until the assembling of the next legislature in January 1874—and it may do much harm by exciting a discussion, and it may be a division, in the Democratic party at a time when there should be perfect harmony. Yet it is upon the eve of an important campaign as next year's will be, involving issues of such moment, that it is deeply to be deplored that such a falsehood should be thrown into the ranks. The attempt to array the East against the West—to excite sectional prejudices—is as impudent, as it is impolitic in a certain sense. This question, indeed, is made a painful one, for both parties have repeatedly committed themselves

to a wide range of interests, and the result is that the State is compelled to extend the road, and the people are compelled to pay for it.

MS. B. 2. N. C. Nov. 20, 1873.

We were greatly interested in your article, & I am sure it will be of great interest to all the people of North Carolina. You are quite correct in your statement that the State has lost \$100,000 in bonds to build a continuation of the road from Old Fort to the Atlantic coast.

As far as politics go, I suppose the "boom" is to be accounted for in this way:

The first is also used to the present day, Congress having passed a bill to prohibit the use of convict labor on public works, and the second is that the legislature of the State of North Carolina, in 1865, voted to spend \$100,000 in bonds to build a continuation of the road from Old Fort to the Atlantic coast.

We will speak of the first, as it is used as a reason for the "boom" in the second.

The first is that the State conventions of both political parties adopted resolutions in favor of no further extension of the road, & the pursuance of their political dictators when they came to power proceeded to extend the road. Under the mismanagement of others a rule the road had been largely involved, had been misappropriated, and was about to be sold, and that the State would lose its stock. The democrats when the sale took place had the road bought in彷徨, thus preserving her her right to the road thus becoming hereditary property, and has since been under her exclusive control. When this had been done, the question arose, whether the State should expend more money in extending the road, or in the terminus remaining at Old Fort. It was generally concluded, however, less of party, that the road should be extended as originally designed, and so work was resumed, a large force of convicts being sent from the Penitentiary, and iron was brought to the track as fast as it was needed. The great tunnel at the summit of the Blue Ridge, which was a stupendous undertaking, is about finished, and the cars will be running to Asheville in a few weeks, and now the question, again, is to appear, urging the legislature to further work and expense, stating that under democratic control a two millions of dollars of State money have been expended in building the road from Old Fort towards Asheville and that the taxpayers of the State cannot stand so heavy a burden upon them. If this statement be true, it is natural that all taxpayers should begin to discuss the propriety of spending any more money on this road; but in contradiction of this statement, Hale's Weekly says (and Dr. Worth has privately informed us) that the records in the State Treasurer's office show that the exact amount expended is \$101,000.00, of this sum \$121,000.00 have been expended for the support of the convicts while building the road, and who would have cost just as much, or more, if they were confined in the Penitentiary.

There are several other specious statements made in this anonymous article, to which we will not refer. We deplore the publication of such an article, for it cannot do any good just now, as the work on the road must continue under existing laws, at least until the assembling of the next legislature in January 1874—and it may do much harm by exciting a discussion, and it may be a division, in the Democratic party at a time when there should be perfect harmony. Yet it is upon the eve of an important campaign as next year's will be, involving issues of such moment, that it is deeply to be deplored that such a falsehood should be thrown into the ranks. The attempt to array the East against the West—to excite sectional prejudices—is as impudent, as it is impolitic in a certain sense. This question, indeed, is made a painful one, for both parties have repeatedly committed themselves

ADVERTISEMENTS.

ADVERTISEMENTS.

PRINTERS & PUBLISHERS,

WILSON, PETTY & CO.,

RALPH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

TOTAL STOCK OF

STANDARD MERCHANDISE

AND

LATEST NOVELTIES

—OPEN—

FOREIGN

—AND—

DOMESTIC MANUFACTURE!

—OPEN—

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.

PRINTERS, PUBLISHING AND AGENT FOR THE STATE,

WALTER & HARRIS, RALEIGH, N. C.</