

The Chatham Record

THURSDAY, JANUARY 20, 1898.

H. A. LONDON, Editor.

It may seem strange to say, but it is none the less true, that a custom or ceremony of a heathen nation may sometimes be commanded to a Christian people for initiation. For instance, the ceremony of naming a ship is much more appropriately observed by the heathen people of Japan than by the cultured, civilized and Christian people of the United States.

In this Christian country when a ship is named, or "christened," the ceremony consists of a lady breaking a bottle of wine or whisky over its prow. In heathen Japan, however, this ceremony consists of a lady releasing a white pigeon from a silk bag. As ships are popularly called the "white winged birds of commerce," this name-ceremony of ceremony would seem much more appropriate than our Christian custom.

Today at Cramp's shipyard, at Philadelphia, a warship built for Japan is to be launched and the ceremony of naming will be conducted according to the Japanese custom by the daughter of the Secretary of the Navy. We command this ceremony in the brave naming of all American ships.

The Remnant of ex-Confederate soldiers at Charlotte on the 1st of May, promises to be a most notable and pleasant occasion. On that day a monument is to be dedicated to the signers of the Moral Union Declaration of Independence, and it is peculiarly appropriate that the heroes of the great Civil War should thus uniformly honoring the memory of those Revolutionary heroes.

At the meeting of the North Carolina ex-Confederates, who attended the great reunion at Nashville last June, it was decided that the proposed reunion at Charlotte should be held, and all the old confederates of this State were cordially invited and earnestly urged to attend it. And the ex-Confederates of Charlotte are making all necessary arrangements for the comical pleasure of their visitors. Committees have been appointed and we doubt not that every possible preparation will be made for insuring a good time for the veterans of the "Last Cause."

The County Alliance of Mecklenburg county adopted a resolution, last week, urging the managers and editors of their State organ, the *Progressive Farmer*, to use its platform in political discussions and devote more space to anti-slavery Aborigine principles.

It might not be impudent for other county alliances to adopt similarly timely and sensible resolutions. While several newspapers in this State pretend to be organs of the Alliance, yet in fact they are simply the organs of certain office-holders. Nearly all the editors of these organs are office-holders themselves, and some of them are not content with one office but grab all they can get. And all of them are intensely partisan in politics, more bitter, abusive and partisan than any purely political paper heretofore published in this State. And yet they call themselves the "reform press."

An important conference or convention of the Tom Watson wing of the Populist party was held last week at St. Louis, at which forty states were represented. This section is opposed to the policy adopted in the last campaign by Chairman Marion Butler and the national committee. This conference or convention issued an address, in which an appeal is made to the members of the Populist party to prevent the disruption of their party. The address says that "Under present conditions our beloved organization is slowly but surely disintegrating."

RALEIGH is said to be swarming with a horde of applicants for office under the new Marshal and Internal Revenue Collector of this district. These two officers will take charge in a few days, and will be besieged by day and night by the ravenous office-seekers who have already been kept waiting much longer than they had expected. We hope that Chatham will get her full share and that many of our county men will get choice pieces of the political pie.

The civil service now is being most bitterly denounced by disgruntled Republicans, who are envious for the places filled by Democrats and protected by this obnoxious. It must be mighty tantalizing to hungry Republicans, standing on the outside, to see a Democrat inside the government earn \$10,000.

Wilson on Butler.

Not only has Tom Watson, the Populist candidate for Vice-President, published Butler as a liar and a traitor, but even his old and intimate associate, Otto Wilson, has pulled some equally hard things about him. In his last week's issue of the *Hoosier*, Otto Wilson published an open letter to Marion Butler, and closed it as follows:

"Your political history is full of treachery and falsehood, misrepresentations of all your friends, and allies who refused to be dominated by you."

This State and its citizens, New England and New Yorkers, Bostonians, but our patriotic friends, not one of them, your equal or inferior, as they have gone or will ever follow, and may an honest man take you place. The day of lies here and a clause in all the people was and you will know when I am speaking.

When this is flatly,

treacherous and untrue.

Next after reading the above, here comes another. The most wretchedly vicious and unscrupulous, and probably the most ignorant, of all the world, is the ex-Senator Edwards, president of the standard newspaper, of every word, not alone those who are not heard, but who are not even heard. We do not suppose whom we had to except. We also say to the world that we consider the ceremony of naming a ship as appropriate as ceremony of naming a ship.

In the opinion of the *Standard*, the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Edwards is right.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Chattanooga Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.

Opposite to the *Times*, the *Standard* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Standard*.

Opposite to the *Standard*, the *Times* has published a long article, in which it is asserted that the ceremony of naming a ship is not appropriate, and it is not appropriate to name a ship.

Editorial in *Times*.