|  | the democratic party and the proviso. |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| ariaph of the Soutiern Address, |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| charge, and states further that the approbation ofthat measure by President Polk was sanctioned by his entire Cabinet. It would seem that the |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| leaders of the Southern Democracy, who are not |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { of the Calhoun stamp, are generilly acquiescing } \\ & \text { in the eonstitutionality of the Provio; and is this } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| The Hon. Andrew Ewing, recently elceted to Congress from the Nashille district, in Tennessee, makes the following graceful surrender of his constitutional scruples. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| That if the question of the constitutionality of the Wilmot Proviso was res integru and now to be deci- ded merely on the words of the constitution, I should <br> ded merely on the words of the coasty of opinion that there was no |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Ewing might be induced to vote for the Proviso, since he considers the question settled by prece- |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| dent. That we are oot strinining the inference, evident from the fact, that immediately followingthe abore remars, he adds: "This view of the the abore remarks, he adds: "This view of themater is measurably the same with that ocupied |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| it |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| party in Tennessee. The "Standard", has repp-diate Mr Menton (has it) for maintainiog thesame doctine, and denounced Mr. Bacger, as a traitor to the South, for admiting the power in |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Mr. Ewing. His next Bull of excommunication |  |
|  |  |
| Cave Johnson, John Y. Mason, and Robert J. Walker, all of whom are equal sinners agains |  |
|  |  |
| Wouthern rights. No doubt Gen. McKay is implicated likewise, |  |
|  |  |
| Address. Recent derelopments show that a concerted opposition was made by the late administration to the Calhoun doctrines, because those |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| part <br> the Presidential and Congressional elections for |  |
|  |  |
| the purpose of injuring the Whigs, but now that the elections are past, it is discovered that the |  |
|  |  |
| whole Cabinet of Mr. Polk and nearly all the old Southern leaders of his party repudiate the principles upon which they endeayored to elect Gen |  |
|  |  |
| ciples upon which they endeavored to elect Gen Cass. As to Mr. Walker, it is publicly stated, |  |
| and not contradiced, that he entirely approves thecourse of Mr. Benon. We have reenly sen it |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| Proviso to California. It is said that he is for ad- |  |
|  |  |
| that he so expresses himself, but one thing is cer-taint-he voted for the Proviso in the Oregon Bill, and his particular friend, Mr |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| to the assertion of the power of the Federal Cov- ernment over the question. At the next session, |  |
| we shall expect to see Gen. Houston voting again for the Proviso, and alleging in excuse the neces- | water,spirits. $\qquad$ |
|  |  |
|  | Correspondence of the Wastivgton Reprubic. |
|  |  |
| co- The subscriptions to the Railroad stock havebeen increased to upwards of fifty thousand dollars in Guilford county. |  |
|  | thinks itself most secure. It charges upon Gen. Taylor the utterance of the expression "be them |
|  | his grammar. Whether he ever uttered the |
| and Liverpool is talket of. Mr. Duvis, of Carolina, has just returned from Europe, bringing <br> a direct proposition therefor from a wealthy and <br> influential company in the latter city |  |
|  |  |
|  | Iy it may fall on the ears of Usase, is strictly and olicially grammatical. The forn is far more jus ons |
|  |  the evident intention being to say, "let them beWhe Whigs or let them be Democrats, and no "whe |
|  |  |
| water in the channel of the Ohio, and falling | in the country, that the expression attributed to |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| SUPREME COURT The arguments in the Supreme Court closed |  |
|  |  |
| The arguments in the Supreme Coort closed een delivered since our last : | infraction or the laws part of his life on the tentedhas passed the better |
|  |  |
| inder |  |
|  |  |  |
| Rutherford.-Bill diemiseed, with cosis. J. E.Patton v. Bencini and others, in Equiry from Bun- |  |
|  |  |
|  | pases agai |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| from Haywood. Judgment affirmed. Bradhursi v. J. H. Pearson, from Burke. Judgnent afGrmed. |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
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