

Tri-Weekly Star. RALEIGH, N. C. Thursday Morning, Sept. 19, 1855.

Granville Superior Court.

This tribunal was in session the whole of last week—Judge Caldwell presiding. The case which created most interest was that of the State vs. Bryant Allen, indicted for the murder of Zachariah Fuller.

The notorious offender Watts alias Howard alias Hamilton, having been caught at Hillsboro, was indicted on Friday for stealing the watch of Mr. Hoyster, and the pocket book, money and bonds of Dr. Lewis a few nights before, and saved the Court and Jury all trouble by pleading guilty.

Public Address.

An address will be delivered by Charles C. Rotolcan, Esq., at the Temperance Hall, on Market Square, before the Raleigh Typographical Society, at its first anniversary, on Saturday evening next, the 15th inst.

Mr. Fillmore at the French Court.

The ex-President was presented to the Emperor of the French on the 10th August, together with nineteen other Americans. Mr. Fillmore was to have been favored with a private presentation and in consequence went in a black coat, but by some unexplained accident was thrown among his countrymen, who were in uniform, and he thus presented in more than one respect the most noticeable figure in the company.

Singular Difference in Tides.

Professor Bach notices the following singular difference in the motion of the tides in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans. On our own coast, in the Atlantic, they flow from east to west; and on the coast of Great Britain from west to east; and on the Pacific their motion is circular; they sweep round by Asia, turn and flow back.

WE CALL ATTENTION TO THE ADVERTISEMENT OF THE MESSRS. TUCKER IN ANOTHER COLUMN.

SANTA ANNA.—THE HAVANA CORRESPONDENT OF THE NATIONAL INTELLIGENCER STATES THAT SANTA ANNA, late President of Mexico, retires from that position, and is about to return to his country.

FRENCH AND CONTINENTAL CROPS.

It is very difficult to obtain any reliable information with respect to the crops in France—the press only speaking as the Government may dictate or permit.

ALABAMA ACCIDENT ON THE CREEPS ON THE CONTINENT.

The news from Germany in relation to the grain crops is of a very unfavorable character. The supplies at this time of the year are usually very small and the prices are high.

A MODEL ENGINEER.

A correspondent of the Journal of Commerce who lately made a trip over the Erie Railroad relates the following incident:

CASE OF PASSMORE WILLIAMSON.

PHILADELPHIA, Sept. 8.—The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania, in session here, refused to grant the release of Passmore Williamson, who was committed for contempt by the United States District Court.

PHILADELPHIA, Sept. 8.—The following is copied from a French paper:—A young woman, who pretends to have a divine mission, has just been locked up in the Orleans prison.

THE WASHINGTON SENTINEL THINKS THE AMERICAN PARTY ARE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOUISVILLE RIOTS.

It is an interesting question, and one which has been discussed in various quarters, whether the American party are responsible for the Louisville riots.

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

THE QUESTION HAS BEEN ASKED BEFORE—IT BEARS ASKING NOW AND WILL AGAIN.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

DEFENCE OF THE PRESIDENT'S CHECK SHIRT.

The Baltimore Patriot approaches the subject in a philosophical temper, and we at once surrender to its unanswerable arguments.

From the South-Side Democrat.

Highly Important from NORFOLK. Death of Joseph Redick—Inhabitant Learning Staff—Seaboard Train and Bay Route to be Discontinued.

WELDON, N. C. Sept. 10th. The cars have arrived from Suffolk, and through the kindness of Capt. M. F. Corbett I am enabled to give you the following items of news:

Mr. Joseph Redick, son of Thomas Redick, Esq., died at 5 o'clock this morning of Yellow Fever. He was buried at daybreak, and Mr. Corbett assisted at the burial.

Mr. R. had not been to Portsmouth or Norfolk, and it would seem, therefore, that the fall destroyer had commenced his work elsewhere than among the inhabitants of these unfortunate cities.

There was a perfect stampede in Suffolk this morning. Large piles of trunks were seen lying before the doors of family residences, while wagons and carts laden with beds and other necessary furniture for house-keeping filled the streets.

It is alluded to above as the only one that has occurred in Suffolk, certain, though Mr. C. informs me that several other cases were reported there this morning.

The report about the illness of the wife and children of the late lamented Dr. Collins, is untrue. They are all well, and beyond the reach of the infection.

Dr. P. Claiborne Goode, of Richmond, died yesterday at the city Hotel in Norfolk.

The fever is undoubtedly on the increase in Norfolk, and only at a stand in Portsmouth because every body there has it.

Dr. Pette is better and likely to recover. There is still a great deal of suffering in both places, and the Seaboard train and Bay route is thought will stop running, for they are sinking money every day, and then there will relief by the distress caused by the late visit.

The polite gentleman to whom I have made allusions in the first part of this letter as the source of my information, tells me that he was in Norfolk a few days since and saw 600 cases, and in one Ward of the City Hospital he saw 88 cases, and that he saw 100 cases in the city while he was there. He saw 100 bodies piled up in one corner of the room, like so many dead dogs, awaiting burials, and among them was the late young Walter Scott, son of Robt. G. Scott, of Richmond.

It looks like a hard case that the dead should be thus huddled together, pitifully like a parcel of slaughtered swine, but it could not be helped, and the one who would sicken at the recital must think of the circumstances.

Not many, from either Portsmouth or Norfolk, have returned from the Seaboard train, and those who have accepted the use of the Seaboard train, have been generally offered, I hear of none being accepted at all.

I cannot learn the number of deaths in Portsmouth or Norfolk. This seems now to be utterly impossible. No one keeps any count of them now, nor do the newspapers.

A fearful sensation was created to-day by the appearance of the Seaboard train in mourning.

The general urbanity and uniform kindness of Dr. Collins, the late beloved and worthy President of that road, had endeared him to all with whom he had intercourse, and his death is deeply lamented.

I feel that the funds of the Old-Father Lodge in Portsmouth, and they have a considerable sum on hand, have been exhausted for several weeks, and still there are suffering widows and orphans there. A sad state of things, truly.

Your readers will no doubt feel a melancholy pleasure in being informed that I have secured you a daily correspondent in Norfolk.

Whatever I can gather further, I will give you. In haste, yours truly, WELDON, Sept. 11.

The Seaboard and Norfolk Railroad train has arrived in our city, and the passengers are being conveyed to Portsmouth or Norfolk, and the officers of the train have no heart to enquire the sad particulars.

Nothing is obtained from Norfolk, except that six physicians from the North have died. Their names could not be ascertained.

In Portsmouth yesterday, the deaths numbered seventeen.

Robert F. Scott, Esq., a member of the Council, and Keeper of the public Provision Store, died on Sunday night last.

Avery W. Williams, the nineteenth member of the Billings' Legion, died in the prevailing epidemic, last night.

The Rev. Vernon Edgerton, Chaplain in the Navy, and formerly a member of the Virginia Methodist Conference, is extremely ill.

No new cases in Suffolk.

The Washington Sentinel thinks the American party are responsible for the Louisville riots.

It is an interesting question, and one which has been discussed in various quarters, whether the American party are responsible for the Louisville riots.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

From the Richmond Whig.

MR. RAYNER'S LETTER—THE POPISH CONSPIRACY!

The subject-matter of Mr. Rayner's letter entitles it to the space which it occupies in our columns this morning. That subject-matter is one of deep interest to the people of this country; to wit: whether there exists a combination among the Romish hierarchy for influencing the elections and controlling the action of our Government.

As throwing light upon this important question, the courteous action of the Romish party in the late Presidential election, is of grave significance.

What evidence have we of that concern? With people as devout and unscrupulous as Romish Priests, and who enjoy the privilege of swearing, with mental reservations, it is extremely difficult to obtain direct and positive proof.

The only mode by which such characters are to be hampered, is by circumstantial evidence—such as courts sometimes regard as the very best kind of evidence. Of this character is the revelation made to our minister at Madrid, Mr. Barringer, by the Pope's Nuncio, respecting the formation of the Cabinet and the appointment of a Catholic, Mr. Barringer says:

"Before I had any certain news of the formation of the Cabinet, and while its constitution was still in doubt, and the subject of conjecture in the public mind, Mr. Barringer, the Pope's Nuncio, called upon me, and he said to me, 'The Pope's Nuncio said to me that he was a Catholic, which was the first information I had of it.'"

Bearing in mind the plotting, intriguing and grasping spirit of the Romish Priesthood, what does this disclosure indicate? How did it happen that the Pope's Nuncio was the first man in Spain to know who composed the President's Cabinet?

Did he receive his information by a steamer dispatched from this country to convey to him the glad intelligence? Or did he possess the information beforehand, in virtue of an understanding previous to the election? The views and interrogatories of Mr. Rayner on this point will arrest attention. But apart from this view of the matter, is not the interest manifested by the Pope's Nuncio in the composition of the American Cabinet, itself a very suspicious circumstance?

Why should he rejoice at the appointment of a Catholic? What was it to him who was in the Cabinet, unless we suppose that Mr. Barringer was thereby to derive some special benefit, or that the appointment was the successful accomplishment of some cherished plan? Do we ever hear President Pierce, or any member of the Romish Cabinet, belonging to their denomination?

But the suspicion justly excited by the fact, that the Pope's Nuncio at Madrid knew of the composition of the Cabinet before our minister, derives additional weight from another circumstance, which has been publicly stated, and never contradicted, that when the nomination of Mr. Barringer was made to the President and remonstrated against the appointment of Campbell, the President replied "it is too late!"

What was the meaning of this reply? Did it not intimate that he had justly the suspicion, that the appointment was made in violation of some previous pledge? The Papists in Europe readily know Campbell was to be in the Cabinet; and course, "it is too late!" to think about excluding him.

In this connection, that mysterious visit of the Pope's Nuncio to the States, and the martyr tortured in the dungeons of Italy, is not without significance. Immediately after the late Presidential election, for the first time in our history, His Holiness, the Pope, thought of sending a messenger to this country. But even in this, he was not without a double meaning. To conceal the real object, it was pretended, that he was on his way to Brazil, and only touched here in passing, to pay the respects of His Holiness to our illustrious President, who had so honored the faithful sons of the only true church, by promoting some of them to the first dignities of the Republic. His cause, and was treated with a consideration never before extended to any ambassador from any foreign country. A Government vessel, fully equipped at the public expense, was placed at his disposal; and the manner, who had had many miles to travel, attended by the Pope's Nuncio, New York, and then to his residence in state, up and down the North and Lakes. His magnificent and triumphal parades were only brought to a close by the rising indignation of foreigners, who, having known the wretch at home, and having through themselves testified, experienced, and remonstrated cruelly, threatened to exclude him from the country, and treatment, which he had been in the habit of meeting out to others. He skulked from the face of the day, in presence of the impending peril, and, assuming an impenetrable disguise, fled the country—not to Brazil, however, whether he does not still remain in the hands of the Romish hierarchy, and civil and religious despots, whence he came.

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it bears asking now and will again: Is this the policy of the Pope treated with this unprecedented distinction by our Government?

The question has been asked before—it