NORTH CAROLINA SPECTATOR

AND WESTERN ADVERTISER.

VOLUME II.

RUTHERFORDTON, SATURDAY EVENING, MARCH 12, 1831.

NUMBER 4.

PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY EVENING BY ROSWELL ELMER, JR.

Terms of subscription. Two dollars and fift cents, per annum, if paid in advance; or three do lars, if paid within the year:-but if delayed after the close of the year, twenty-five cents will be

No paper will be discontinued until particularly ordered and all arrearages paid, or at the discretion of the publisher.

Advertisements inserted on the usual terms .-All persons advertising will please note the number of times they wish to have them inserted, or they will be continued and taxed accordingly.

POLITICAL.

CORRESPONDENCE

subject of the course of the latter, in the mole War.

(Continued from page 11.) Mr. Calhoun to General Jackson.

Washington, 29th May, 1830. Sin: In answering your letter of the 13th instant, I wish to be distinctly understood, that however high my respect is for your personal character, and the exalted station which you occupy, I cannot recognize the right on your part to call in question my conduct on the interesting occasion to which your letter refers. I acted, on that occasion, in the discharge of a high official duty, and under the enemy, in that case, to respect the responsibility to my conscience and my spanish authority, wherever it may be country only. In replying, then, to your letter, I do not place myself in the attitude of apologising for the part I may have acted, or of palliating my conduct on the accusation of Mr. Crawford. My course, I trust, requires no apology; and if it did, I have too much self-respect -to make it to any one, in a case touching the discharge of my official conduct. I stand on very different ground. I embrace the opportunity which your letter offers, not for the purpose of making excuses, but as a suitable occasion to place my conduct in relation to an interesting public transaction in its proper light; and I am gratified that Mr. Crawford, though far from intending me a kindness, has afforded me such an opportunity.

In undertaking to place my conduct in its proper light, I deem it proper to premise that it is very far from my intention to defend mine by impeaching yours .-Where we have differed, I have no doubt that we differed honestly; and in claiming to act on honorable and patriotic motives myself, I cheerfully accord the same to you.

I know not that I correctly understood your meaning; but, after a careful perusal, I would infer from your letter that you had learned for the first time, by Mr. Crawford's letter, that you and I placed different constructions on the orders under which you acted in the Seminole war; and that you had been led to believe, previously, by my letters to yourself and Governor Bibb, that I concurred with you in thinking that your orders were intended to authorize your attack on the Spanish posts in Florida. Under these impressions, you would seem to impute to me some degree of duplicity, or at least concealment, which required on my part explanation. I hope that my conception of your meaning is erroneous; but if it be not, and your meaning be such as I suppose, I must be permitted to express my surprise at the misapprehension, which, I feel confident, it will be in my power to correct by the most decisive proof, drawn from the public documents,* and the correspondence between Mr. Monroe and yourself, growing out of the decision of the cabinet on the Seminole affair, which passed through my hands at the time, and which I now have his permission to use, as explanatory of my opinion, as well as his, and the other members of his administration. To save you the trouble of turning to the file of your correspondence, I have enclosed extracts from the letters. which clearly prove that the decision of the cabinet on the point that your orders did not authorize the occupation of St Mark's and Pensacola, was early and fulticular, concurred in the decision.

immediately after the decision of the cabinet, and from which I have given a copious extract, enters fully into the views thority to occupy those posts. I know, at large, why you conceived that the or- letter, it was my opinion that the orders

*See Appendix from A, to F, inclusive, being an extract, from a private correspondence between Mr. Monroe and General Jackson in the Seminole campaign

after expressing his regret that you had the President's message of the 25th March, placed a construction on your orders dif- 1818, communicated but a few weeks beferent from what was intended, he invi- fore to the House of Representatives (alted you to open a correspondence with me, ready referred to,) and which gives a dithat your conception of the meaning of rectly opposite construction to your orders. your orders, and that of the administra- In fact, the letter, on its face, proves that both sides, on the files of the War De- to occupy the Spanish posts. By refervember, in answer, agrees to the corres- the Governor a copy of my orders to Gepondence as proposed, but declinees com- neral Gaines, of the 16th December, 1817, mencing it; to which Mr. Monroe replied authorizing him to cross the Spanish line, by a letter of the 21st December, stating and to attack the Indians within the lim-Between General Andrew Jackson and his reasons for suggesting the correspon-JOHN C. CALHOUN, President and Vice dence, and why he thought that it ought ter under a Spanish post, in which event, After announcing your surprise at the President of the United States, on the to commence with you. To these, I have deliberations of the Cabinet of Mr. 7th December, approving of Mr. Monroe's Monroe, on the occurrences of the Sem- message at the opening of Congress, which, though not constituting a part of the correspondence from which I have extracted so copiously, is infimately connected with the subject under consideration.

> But it was not by private correspondence only, that the view which the Executive took of your orders was made known. In his message to the House of Representatives of the 25th March, 1818, long before information of the result of your operation in Florida was received, Mr. Monroe states, that "orders had been given to the General in command not to enter Florida, unless it be in pursuit of maintained; and he will be instructed to withdraw his forces from the province as soon as he has reduced that tribe (the Seminoles) to order, and secured our fellowcitizens in that quarter, by satisfactory arrangements, against its unprovoked and savage hostilities in future." In his annual message at the opening of Congress, in November of the same year, the President, speaking of your entering Florida. says: "On authorizing Major General Jackson to enter Florida, in pursuit of the Séminoles, care was taken not to encroach on the rights of Spain." Again: "In entering Florida to suppress this combination, no idea was entertained of hostility to Spain; and, however justifiable the commanding General was, in consequence of the misconduct of the Spanish officers, in entering St. Mark's and Pensacola, to terminate it, by proving to the savages, & their associates, that they could not be protected, even there, yet the amicable relation between the U. States and Spain could not be altered by that act alone. By ordering the restitution of those posts, those relations were preserved. To a change of them the power of the Executive is deemed incompetent. It is vested in Congress alone." The view taken of this subject met your entire approbation, as appears from the extract of your letter, of the 7th December, 1818, above referred to.

After such full and decisive proof as it seems to me, of the view of the Executive, I had a right as I supposed, to conclude that you long since knew that the administration, and myself in particular, were of the opinion that the orders under which you acted did not authorize you to occufrom your letter, to which this is in answer, that such conclusion was erroneous, and that you were of the impression, till you received Mr. Crawford's letter, that I concurred in the opposite construction, which you gave to your orders, that they were intended to authorize you to occupy as I understand you, on certain general of Alabama, of the 13th of May, 1818, in which I stated that "General Jackson is in the manner be shall judge best," and also in my letter of the 6th February, 1818, in answer to yours of the 20th January of the same year, in which I acquainted you "with the entire approbation of the President of all the measures you had adopted to terminate the rupture with the Seminole Indians."

Seminole documents,) could give you auremote from my intention in writing the lic conduct:" to which I added, in my let- with the conduct of Spain and her officers, ness.

its of Florida, unless they should take shelhe was directed to report immediately to added an extract from your letter of the the Department, which order Governor Bibb was directed to consider as his authority for carrying the wir into Florida, thus clearly establishing the fact that the seriously to affect me was moved and susorder was considered stillin force, and not tained by you in cabinet council, when, superseded by that to you, directing you to assume the command in the Seminole

Nor can my letter of the 6th of Febru

ary be, by any sound rule of construction

interpreted into an authority to occupy the Spanish posts, or as countenancing, on my part, such an interpretation of the orders previously given to you. Yourletter of the 20th January, to which mine is an answer, dated at Nashville, before you set out on the expedition, and consists of a narrative of the measures adopted by you, in order to bring your forces into the field, where they were directed to rendezyous, the time intended for marching, the orders for supplies given to the contractors, with other details of the same kind, without the slightest indication of your intention to act against the Spanish posts; and the approbation of the President of the measures you had adopted could be intended to apply to those detailed in your letter. I do not think that your letter of the 13th instant presents the question, whether the Executive or yourself placed the true construction, considered as a military question, on the orders under which you acted. But I must be permitted to say, that the construction of the former is in strict conformity with my intention in drawing up the orders; and that, if they be susceptible of a different construction, it was far from being my intention they should be. I did not then suppose, nor have I ever, that it was in the power of the President, under the Constitution, to order the occupation of the posts of a nation with whom we were not at war; (whatever might be the right of the General, under the law of nations, to attack an enemy sheltered under the posts of a neutral power;) and had I been directed by the President to issue such order, I should mature deliberation. Meeting in this spihave been restrained from complying by rit, the first object is a free exchange of the higher authority of the Constitution, I discuss the question, whether the order to General Gaines, inhibiting him from attacking the Spanish posts, (a copy of which was sent to you,) was in fact, and according to military usage, an order to you, and of course obligatory until rescinded. Such, certainly was my opinion. I know py the Spanish posts; but I now infer that yours was different. You acted on your construction, believing it to be right; and, in pursuing the course which I have done, I claim an equal right to act on the construction which I conceived to be correct, knowing it to conform to my intentions in issuing the orders. But, in waiving now the true construction of the orthe posts. You rely for this impression, ders, I wish it however to be understood, her, with the other European powers—a it is only because I do not think it presenexpressions in my letter to Governor Bibb, ted by your letter, and not because I have plication and difficulty. These questions now, or ever had, the least doubt of the had all to be carefully examined and weighcorrectness of the opinion which I entervested with full powers to conduct the war tain. I have always been prepared to dis- fore a final opinion could be wisely for-I will not reason the point, that a letter to Major Lee, I stated, that, "as you re- whether you had transcended your orders, to Governor Bibb, which was not commu- fer to the public documents only for the and, if so, what course ought to be purly made known to you, and that I, in par- nicated to you, which bears date long af- construction which the Executive gave to sued. I was of the impression that you ter you had occupied St. Mark's, and sub- the orders, I infer that on this subject you had exceeded your orders, and had acted Mr. Monroe's letter of the 19th July, sequent to the time you had determined have not had access to the General's on your own responsibility; but I neither 1818, the first of the series, and written to occupy Pensacola, (see your letter of (Jackson's) private papers; but if I be in questioned your patriotism nor your mo-June 2d, 1818, to me, published with the an error, and if the construction which the tives. Believing that where orders were taken by the Executive of the whole sub- that, in quoting the letters, you could not then President's correspondence with him, to the Government and the officer, unless ject. In your reply of the 19th of Au- intend such absurdity, to authorize such I will cheerfully give, as one of the memgust, 1818, you object to the construction an inference; and I must therefore conclude bers of the administration, my own views came to the meeting under the impression which the administration had placed on that it was your intention by the extract fully in relation to the orders, if it be de- that the usual course ought to be pursued your orders, and you assign your reasons to show, that, at the time of writing the sired by General Jackson; but it is only in this case, which I supported by presenunder which you did act were intended to existing circumstances, I should feel my- that occurred to me. They were met by authorize the occupation of the Spanish self justified in corresponding on this or other arguments, graving out of a more

received your letter of the 13th instant. Connected with the subject of your or-

ders, there are certain expressions in your letter, which, though I am at a loss to understand, I cannot pass over in silence .contents of Mr. Crawford's letter, you ask whether the information be correct, "under all of the circumstances, of which you and I are both informed, that any attempt as is known to you, I was executing the wishes of the Government." If by wishes. which you have underscored, it be meant that there was any intimation given by myself, directly or indirectly, of the desire of the Government that you should occupy the Spanish posts, so far from being 'informed," I had not the slightest knowledge of any such intimation, nor did l ever hear a whisper of any such before. But I cannot imagine that it is your intention to make a distinction between the wishes and the public orders of the Government, as I find no such distinction in your correspondence with the President, nor in any of the public documents; but, on the contrary, it is strongly rebutted by your relying for your justification constantly and exclusively on your public orders. Taking, then, the "wishes of the Government" to be but another expression for its orders, I must refer to the proof already offered, to show that the wishes of the Government, in relation to the Spanish posts, were not such as you assume them to be.

Having, I trust, satisfactorily established that there has not been the least disguise as to the construction of your orders, I will now proceed to state the part which I took in the deliberations of the cabinet. My statement will be confined strictly to myself, as I do not feel myself justified to speak of the course of the other members of the administration; and, in fact, only of my own in self-defence, under the extraordinary circumstances connected with

this correspondence. And here I must premise that the object of a cabinet council is not to bring together opinions already formed, but to form opinions on the course which the Government ought to pursue, after full and sentiment, in which doubts and objections to present their doubts and objections, and to support them by offering fully all of the arguments in their power, but at the same time to take care not to form an opinion till all the facts and views are fully brought out, and every doubt and objection carefully weighed .. In this spirit I came into the meeting. The questions involved were numerous and important: whether you had transcended your orders; if so, what course ought to be adopted; what was the conduct of Spain and her officers in Florida; what was the state of our relations with Spain, and, through question, at that time, of uncommon comed, both separately and in connexion, becuss it on friendly terms with you, as ap- med; and never did I see a deliberation pears by the extracts from Mr. Monroe's in which every point was more carefully correspondence, and more recently by my examined, or a greater solicitude displayletter to you of the 30th April, 1828, cov- ed to arrive at a correct decision. I was ering a copy of a letter of Major H. Lee, the junior member of the cabinet, and had in which I decline a correspondence that been but a few months in the administrahe had requested on the subject of the tion. As Secretary of War, I was more construction of your orders. In my letter immediately connected with the questions administration gave to the orders be not transcended, investigation, as a matter of stated with sufficient distinctness in the course, ought to follow, as due in justice there be strong reasons to the contrary, I with him, and at his desire, that, under ting fully and freely all the arguments posts. Nothing could have been more any other subject connected with his pub- enlarged view of the subject, as connected

ders under which you acted authorized letter. It would have been in opposition ter to you, covering a copy of the letter and the course of policy which honor and your operations in Florida. Mr. Monroe to the views which I have always taken of from which the above is an extract, "with interest dictated to be pursued towards replied on the 20th October, 1818; and, your orders, and in direct contradiction to you I cannot have the slightest objection her, with which some of the members of to correspond on this subject, if addition- the cabinet were more familiar than myal information be desirable." You ex- self, and whose duty it was to present that pressed no desire for further information, aspect of the subject, as it was mine to and I took it for granted that Mr. Mon- present that more immediately connected roe's correspondence with you, and the with the military operations. After delibpublic documents, furnished you a full erately weighing every question, when the tion, might be placed, with the reasons on it was not the intention of the Government and clear conception of the construction members of the cabinet came to form their which the Executive gave to your orders: final opinion, on a view of the whole partment. Your letter of the 15th No- ing to it, you will see that I euclosed to under which impression I remained till I ground, it was unanimously determined,* as I understood, in favor of the course adopted, and which was fully made known to you by Mr. Monroe's letter of the 19th of July, 1818. I gave it my assent and support, as being that which, under all the circumstancess, the public interest required to be adopted.

I shall now turn to the examination of the version which Mr. Crawford has given of my course in this important deliberation, beginning with his "apology for having disclosed what took place in a cabinet meeting." He says: "In the summer after the meeting, an extract of a letter from Washington was published in a Nashville paper, in which it was stated that I (Mr. Crawford) had proposed to arrest General Jackson, but that he was triumphantly defended by Mr. Calhoun and Mr. Adams. This letter, I always believed was written by Mr. Calhoun, or by his direction. It had the desired effect; General Jackson became inimical to me, and friendly to Mr. Calhoun."

I am not at all surprised that Mr. Crawford should feel that he stands in need of an apology for betraying the deliberations of the cabinet. It is, I believe, not only the first instance in our country, but one of a very few instances to be found in any country, or any age, that an individual has felt absolved from the high obligation which honor and duty impose on one situated as he was. It is not, however, my intention to comment on the morality of his disclosurs; that more immediately concerns himself; and I leave him undisturbed to establish his own rules of honor and fidelity, in order to proceed to the examination of a question in which I am more immediately concerned—the truth of his apology.

I desire not to speak harshly of Mr. Crawford. I sincerely commiserate his misfortune. I may be warm in political contests, but it is not in me to retain enmity, particularly towards the unsuccessful. In the political contest which ended in 1825, Mr. Crawford and myself took opposite sides, but whatever feelings of unkindness it gave rise to have long since passed away on my part. The contest ended in an entire change of the political clements of the country; and, in the new state of things which followed, I found myself acting with many of the friends of Mr. Crawford, to whom I had been recently opposed, and opposed to many of my friends, with whom I had, till then, which I had sworn to support. Nor will are freely presented and discussed. It is, been associated. In this new state of I conceive, the duty of the members thus things, my inclination, my regard for his friends who were acting with me, and the success of the cause for which we were jointly contending,-all contributed to remove from my bosom every feeling towards him, save that of pity for his misfortune. I would not speak a harsh word, if I could avoid it; and it is a cause of pain to me that the extraordinary position in which he has placed me, compels me, in self-defence, to say any thing which must, in its consequence, bear on his char-

I speak in this spirit when I assert, as do, that his apology has no foundation in truth. He offers no reason for charging me with so dishonorable an act as that of betraving the proceedings of the cabinet, and that for the purpose of injuring one of my associates in the administration. The charge rests wholly on his suspicion, to which I oppose my positive assertion that it is wholly unfounded. 1 had no knowledge of the letter, or connexion with it; nor do I recollect that I ever saw the extract. But why charge me, and not Mr. Adams ?! I nad then been but a few months in the administration, and Mr. Crawford and myself were on the best terms, without a feeling, certainly on my part, of rivalry or jealousy .-In assigning the motive that he does for the letters, he forgets the relation which existed then between you and myself .-He says it had the desired effect; that you became friendly to me, and extremely inimical to him. He does not remember that your hostility to him long preceded this period, and had a very different origin. He certainly could not have anticipated that a copy of his letter would be

[&]quot;Acquiesced would probably be more correct, at least as applicable to one member of the cub;-

⁺ I wish not to be understood as intimeting that Mr. Adams had the least connexion with the affair. I believe him to be utterly incapable of such hasi-