NORTH CAROLINA SEECTATOR

AND WESTERN ADVERTISER.

VOLUME II.

RUTHERFORDTON, SATURDAY EVENING, APRIL 2, 1831.

NUMBER 7

ROSWELL ELMER, JR.

Terms of subscription. Two dollars and fifty cents, per annum, if paid in advance; or three dollars, if paid within the year :- but if delayed after the close of the year, twenty-five cents will be

No paper will be discontinued until particularly ordered and all arrearages paid, or at the discre-

tion of the publisher.

Advertisements inserted on the usual terms .-All persons advertising will please note the number of times they wish to have them inserted, or they will be continued and taxed accordingly.

POLITICAL.

CORESPONDENCE, &c, (Continued from page 21.)

Mr. Crawford to Mr. Calhoun. Woodlawn, Oct. 2, 1830.

Sir-Since the adjournment of Congress, the copy of a letter from you to the President, containing 11 sheets, has been placed in my hands. The object of this labored essay is to prove, that a statement contained in a tetter from to the Hon. John Forsyth, of the Senate of the United States, is incorrect. If there was no evidence but that which is contained in that essay, I should not be afraid of convincing every rational and unprejudiced mind, that the statement to Mr. Forsyth is essentially correct.

In the brief comment which I intend to make upon your essay of 11 sheets, I purpose to avoid the example you have set me in three things, viz: I shall not begin by depreciating the official dignity and weight of character of the person I address; when I meet with a fact that I cannot frankly and distinctly deny, I will not attempt to prove a negative by argument; and I shall not falsely and hypocritically profess a forebearance which I do not

I first notice your observations upon the disclosures of the secrets of the Cabinet, which you say is the first that occurred, at least in this country. Do you really believe this assertion Mr. Calhoun ?-How did the written opinions Messrs. Jefferson and Hamilton, on the first bank bill, ever ≈e the light? How were the facts and circumstances which preceded and accompanied the removal of Edmund Randolph from the State Department, by Gen. Washington, disclosed and made known to the public? If your assertion be true, those facts and circumstances would, at this moment, be burried in Egyptian darkness. While a Cabinet is in existence, and its usefulness liable to be impaired, reason and common sense point out the propriety of keeping its proceedings secret. But after the Cabinet no longer exists, when its usefulness cannot be impaired by disclosures of its proceedings, neither reason, common sense, nor patriotism, requires that those proceedings, should be shrouded in impenetrable darkness. The acts of such a Cabinet become history, and the nation has the same right to a knowledge of them, that it has to any other historical fact. It is presumed that all nations have entertained this opinion, and have acted upon it .-Whence the secret history of Cabinets the most despotic in Europe. Hence the history of the house of Stuart by Charles James Fox, which discloses the most secret intercourse between Charles the II. and the French Minister, by which it was proven that Charles was a pensioner of Louis the XIV., King of France, and had secretly engaged to re-establish Popery in England. Yet in the face of all these facts, you dare to presume upon the ignorance of the distinguished person you were addressing, so far as to insinuate that such disclosures had never been

this republic. The next thing which I shall observe is, the manner in which you attempt to obtain evidence to controvert my statement to Mr. Forsyth. That 'statement contained coed from the same fact that made you tween them and you, and in fact much charge of having any concern with the whole of Mr. M'Duffie's statement is a give it the most unqualified denial. The

made in any country, but certainly not in

PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY EVENING BY | avoid interrogating them upon the princi- less between Mr. Adams and myself than | Nashville letter, and fix that charge upon | mistake. I say nothing of the motives of pal fact. When you make the inquiry between him and jou, at least before the you in the estimation of reasonable me, Mr. M'Duffie in making the statement, of Mr. Wirt, you enclose him such an ex- coalition between him and Clay. In fact, your denial to the contrary notwithstal- because I do not know them; but this I tract from my letter as informs him of the before that event, my impression was that ding. nature of the evidence you are in search from the time your name was put down was a principal actor. It was therefore deemed unsafe to submit them to him. The excitement produced upon the Presiof Mr. Wirt; you therefore believed it unsafe to interrogate him as to your propo- that letter. sition, personally affecting Gen. Jackson. the President Monroe? I do recollect of yourself in the same unprecedented namer | too was a particular friend of mine. a conversation about a private letter while your name was permitted to e up, which Mr. Calhour, I believe, asked for, were put down by the State of Pennsylvaand the President said he had not got it, nia, upon which you affected to ray for but upon examination found he had it. success. My name was put up by my This letter contained information and friends for the same office, and by them the Floridas; but the particulars I cannot afflictions, till the election was consumnow undertake to say, or state correctly. mated in the House of Representatives in I remember, I think, your stating that the February 1825. No man in the nation circumstances there spoken of, did fully was better pleased at my exclusion than I explain Gen. Jackson's conduct during was; for I then verily believed, and I do the campaign. I remember, too, that Mr. now believe, that had I been elected, my Calhoun was severe npon the conduct of the remains would now be reposing in the General, but the words particularly spo- national burying ground, near the eastern ken, have slipped my recollection."- branch of the Potemac. I was therefore Now, sir, what do you think of the nega- far from considering myself unforunate in tive statement of Mr. Wirt? Do you the result of the election in the House think it now so very certain that let- of Representatives ter was not produced and read in the Cabinet upon which your memory is so distinct? Do you not, on the contrary, feel convinced of having attempted to pass off a falsehood upon the President of the United States?

> The main fact contained in my state- feel ment, is not denied directly or indirectly in your elaborate essay. But a negative is attempted by argument. And what kind of an argument is offered? Why, that "it would be to rate his (your) understanding very low to suppose that an officer under our laws could be punished without arrest and trial." Sir, I rate any the idea of being exposed and stripped of man's understanding very low, who acts with a total disregard to principle. It is the President's wings, by means of false- with uttering opinions I never entertained, as the facts contained in my letter to M true, that in addition to the argument you add, that to say you did not propose to arpunished or reprehended in some form or other, is absurd on its face. What need is there for arrest and trial preparatory to reprimand? But is it indeed true that a military officer cannot be punished without arrest and trial? Was not the disapprobation in the case of the Seminole war a punishment? I think Gen. Jackson placing the government in the right as to events of the Seminole war.

backed by your distinct recollection.

that hereafter, if you should have occa- feelings and character. sion to write or speak of me, you would not again feign a forbearance you do not

You affect to lament that my friends did not interfere and prevent my med- and place, that when I am charged, after dling with this matter. I make no doubt any lapse of time, with having uttered othat you would have been very glad to have pinions or made statements of facts, I do been spared the trouble of making so el- not hesitate to admit such opinions were aborate a comment upon a letter of three uttered, or statement of fagts made, if the pages. I make no doubt that you dislike opinions correspond with those I enter- Mr. M'Duffie's recollection, although it the covert you have been enjoying under then possessed; but when I am charged make the same impression upon the mind hood and misrepresentation. You assert that my suspicion that you wrote or sistent with my knowledge of them at the tion of a very rest General Jackson, but thathe should be caused to be written, the letter which was time they are alleged to have been made, hap and during the next session of Conpublished in a Nashville Gazette, is without or under circumstances not rendering the cess. I have now a letter before me, dafoundation. A man who knows as well disclosure proper, I have as little hesitation ted in October, 1821, in which I state to as I do, the small weight to which any as- in declaring the charge false. Applying you, that you had a short time before in-You ask why not charge Mr. Adams with In my letter to Mr. For yth I state that, of twelve years, you rely upon your memcr you made the proposition ascribed to eral Jackson personally, but what was coroborate the inference deducible from clearly in the wrong, and I did not hesitpresumptive evidence that you believed his will obtain the necessary explanation ring the whole of the Presidential canvass At the time I visited Georgia, I have no you do venture to interrogate them, pro- between those gentlemen and me as be-

of, because, I presume, you believed that for the Presidency you favored the cause of gentlemen of Congress, whom you a- injure and asperse me. It is somewhat extract would not tend to refresh his mem- of Mr. Adams. And the fact that all 'his sert to be my friends. This is what might doubtful for what purpose Mr. M'Duffie's ory, or relied implicitly upon Mr. Wirt's electors voted for you as Vice President, be expected from a man of your love statement was obtained, as his statement disposition to give such evidence as you de- and that you suffeed his printer to be- principles, or rather no principles. Ny has no direct bearing upon the facts stated sired from him. But you were apprehen- come proprietor of the press you had es- friends in Congress were men who would in my letter to Mr. Forsyth. It appears sive that the same extract sent to Mr. tablished in Washington for the express have been insulted, had any man, hower- to me, on reflection, that the principal ob-Monroe, might refresh his memory and en- purpose of vilifying my character and er elevated, approched them in the lan- ject in obtaining it, was to impeach my and circumstances in which Mr. Monroe now done with your argumentative denial, was acting under the obligations of an oath, Oliver, read the enclosed extract of a letis a rule of evidence, that one affirmative right; but, thank God, those affictions General Jackson's right to put Ambrister out his consent. witness outweighs many negatives; but are past; and I am now, and have been to death. Mr. Macon, I believe, was conalthough you were at the bar several years, for more than three years, in the enjoy- vinced; but I am not certain that Mr. C. it is possible your law-learning never as- ment of vigorous, uninterrupted health. was That gentleman acted in concert lieve to be untrue, and I believe you knew cended so high. I might safely rest the case But if by unfortunate, you mean that I with Mr. Clay in the part he acted in the it to be untrue at the time you wrote it .here; but I will produce one affirmative was not elected President in \$24-5, I discussion upon the Seminole war. Mr. My reasons are the following:-The cabwitness in support of the accuracy of my must beg leave to dissent from the truth Forsyth and Mr. Lacock were men of high inet deliberations commenced on Tuesday statement, opposed as it is by Mr. Wirt's of that assertion. I am conscious of leng character and experience, and leaut upon morning, and on Friday evening, all the negative statement. The Hon. Benja- less unfortunate than you were. You, ai- no person. Mr. Eppes made a speech in questions which had been discussed were, min W. Crowninshield, in a letter dated ter obtruding your name upon the ration favor of the report which was intended to I thought, decided, and Mr. Adams direct-25h July, 1830, says-"You ask if I re- as a candidate for the Presidency in a be made, and was, in consequence, placed ed to draft a note to the Spanish minister, colect; while in the councils of the Cabi- manner until then unknown, and I trust on the committee in place of Mr. Forsyth. conformable to those decisions. I intendnet of a letter written by Gen. Jackson to will never be repeated, and condicted I wonder you had not discovered that he ed to set off for Georgia on Sunday morn-

You say that, as it appears from Mr. M'Duffie's letter, I had no scrupies about disclosing the secrets of the cabinet, I had it in my power to change the opinions of my friends, by disclosing the contents of opinions respecting Spain and her colony, was kept up, notwithstanding my bodily the confidential letter. No person who had a proper regard for the feelings or character of Mr. Monroe, could make use of that letter; for it was manifestly written under the impression that Mr. Monroe was capable of that duplicity which would connive at the execution of a measure, and disavow it after it was executed. I must confess, had I been President, I should not have been flattered by its reception. If I had, as you represent me, been little scrupulous about disclosing the secrets of it is fairly inferable, that Mr. Adams did the cabinet, which is positively denied, Your forbearance towards me has been notwithstanding Mr M'Duffie's statement, affected, because you believed you could I should have made no use of that letter, more effectually injure me. I request, and this from respect to Mr. Monroe's

> In the whole course of my life, I have been as much in the habit of uttering my opinions and stating facts, as they were known to me, when made proper by time

will say, that Mr. M'Duffie has, upon a You place great stress upon the conduct former occasion, shown a willingness to able him to give such an answer as would lauding yours, without stipulating that it guage of entreaty and persuasion. I never veracity. If that was the object, I have not suit your views. The extract of my should not be wielded against Gen. Jack- did, and never would, if I were to live a no fear of the result, where he and I are letter sent to Mr. Wirt, described facts son, go far to establish the fact. I have thousand years, interfere with a man who known. To give you a Rowland for your and the negative evidence of Mr. Wirt, to persuade or entreat him to act contrary ter of Captain Ross. I know nothing of to the convictions of his own judgment; the correctness of his statement, farther I shall now take some nonce of your at- and if I were such member, and any man, than that he made the statement to me in dent was so manifest, that you did not be- tacks upon me, which, with the excep- however elevated he might be, were to in- substance, before he left Washington, and lieve it could have escaped the attention tion of Mr. M'Duffie's letter, are all argu- terfere with me by way of entreaty or per- further added, that he communicated his mentative, and principally founded upon suasion, I should feel myself insulted, and impression to a military officer residing in should certainly insult the person so inter- Washington, and attached to the War For the present, I shall say nothing fering. The only conversation I recollect Department, who told him that was no Mr. Monroe says not a word tending to about that letter or the reasoning ounded ever to have participated in with a mem- matter of surprise; that the officers attachshow that the confidential letter was not upon it. You express much foregrance ber of Congress, in reference to the forego- ed to the Department had made that disproduced and read in the Cabinet, which towards me, because you say I have been ing subject, was with Mr. Cobb, at my own covery before. I have left the name of was not suggested by Mr. Wirt. Every ty- unfortunate? If you mean that I have house in the presence of Mr. Macon, of the officer blank, as I was unwilling to inroin the science of law will tell you, that it suffered much bodily affliction, ou are N. C. In that conversation I supported volve him in a controversy with you, with-

> You say that the decision of the cabinet was unanimously agreed to. This I being; and in order to prepare the Department for my absence, I was busily employed in office, and when about one or two o'clock, I received a note from the President, requiring my attendance .-When I entered the greatest part of Mr. Adams' note had been rejected, and the remainder was shortly after, and he was directed to draft another note pursuant to the decision which had been made. The next morning I set off for Georgia.

Mr. Adam's letter, which is now before me, contains a repetition of the arguments he used in the cabinet; and in the letter he informs me, that the exposition which appeared in the Intelligencer, was not written by him. From all these facts, I think not agree to the decision of the cabinet, and that you must have known it; for it is clear that he did not agree to it on Saturday; and it is highly improbable that any arguments should have been urged to convince him, after he had been twice directed to draft his note in conformity to the decisions which had been previously made.

You dwell with much stress upon the lapse of time since those deliberations, and seem to be unconscious that the same lapse of time applies to all your certificates, negative and affirmative.

You seem to repose full confidence on tained, or with the knowledge of facts I was of a casual conversation, not likely to or with making statements of facts, incon- Forsyth. You even refer to which you say sertion of yours is entitled, in a matter this rule-to Mr. M'Duffie's letter, have formed me, that your memory could not where your interest leads you to disregard no hesitation in saying he is pastaken in be relied upon as to facts. You wrote me the truth, must have other evidence than every part of it. I can account for his a letter the next day, in which you did not your assertion, to remove even a suspicion. mistake, in the first part of his statement. controvert that fact; yet, now after a lapse must have felt it to be such. I should having written or caused that letter to be previous to Mr. Monroe's return to the ory for a trivial fact ; viz : Your applicahave opposed it, if I had seen any way of written? The answer is easy and conclusive. That letter contained two false- me, stated your determination to pursue because you had received some hints about Spain, without disavowing the principle hoods—one intended to injure me; the the course in the cabinet you did, and that it, & you believed from some of my friends. other to benefit you, and that which was I approved of it. Mr. M'Duffie has appli- Do you not perceive some inconsistency If you are not satisfied with the evi- for your benefit, taking from Mr. Adams ed this conversation to the cabinet deliber- in your essay? You had just censured one prominent and distinct fact; every dence of Mr. Crowninshield, Mr. Adams, half the credit of defending Gen. Jack- ations, and has made me a proof of your me for not using this letter, and then inthing else in that statement was seconda- in a letter dated 30th July, 1830, says: son, and giving it to you. Admitting, proposition unfriendly to Gen'rl Jackson, sinuate that I had used it, as you think I ry and collateral to that fact. It was rea- "The main point upon which it was urged for the sake of argument, that Mr. Adams which I aver is untrue, and you wourself ought to have used it. In truth I do not sonable, in controverting that statement, that Gen. Jackson should be brought to was disposed to injure me, no one will I know it to be untrue. At the time of this believe one word of your insinuation, nor that you should have sought to obtain evi- trial was, that he violated his orders by St. think, suppose that he would voluntarily as- private conversation, I had never seen the do I believe you do, for the reasons alreadence to controvert that fact. You apply to Mr. Monroe and Mr. Wirt, for evidence. Mr. Adams does not say by whom it was man who was the most strenuous opposer of nor any of his despatches, nor heard of But you insinuate that I made disclosures But of what? Not of the principal fact, urged to bring Gen. Jackson to trial; but his wishes. If the intrinsic evidence of the confidential letter. I relied upon the of the secrets of the cabinet to the editor but of secondary collateral matter-The you know well that there was no propo- the letter fixes it upon you and not upon accuracy of your representations, and ac- of a newspaper in Milledgeville, because omission to appeal to Mr. Monroe wheth- sition made in the Cabinet affecting Gen- Mr. Adams, subsequent events strongly cording to them Gen. Jackson appeared General Clarke suspected it, and because I never denied it. I never knew that I you in my letter to Mr. Forsyth, is strong made by yourself. If you deny this, I the contents of the published letter. Du- atc to tell you I thought you in the right. was charged with it except in General Clarke's book, and there the evidence ofanswer would confirm my statement. You from Mr. Adams. It may be proper to of '23, '24, I have no recollection of any recollection that Gen. Jackson had adoptremembered the excitement which your state, that the two letters from Messrs. act of Mr. Adams, tending to vilify me; edany measures to forestal public opinion, that no person, less ignorant and maliproposition produced in the mind & upon the Adams and Crowninshield, are the only but you know, that you set up the Wash- and thereby to anticipate the decision of cious than Gen. Clarke, would have paid feelings of the President, and did not dare communications I have received from ington Republican in Washington, for the the administration; nor have I at this moto ask him any question tending to revive them since my departure from Washing- express purpose of vilifying my reputation, ment any recollection of the existence of denied that charge, and not gone through his recollection of that proposition. The different manner in which you approach ters I have written to them since the locause it to be published by a clerk in the and I believe none existed, then it is utterthe President and Mr. Wirt, even upon aforesaid period. There has been as litthe collateral secondary fact, upon which the sympathy either individual or political your will. The facts which I have sta- myself as Mr. M'Duffie makes me. I have the charges not denied were admitted.—