# NORTH CAROLINA SPECTATOR

# AND WESTERN ADVERTISER.

## VOLUME II.

## **RUTHERFORDTON, SATURDAY EVENING, APRIL 9, 1831.**

#### PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY EVENING BY **ROSWELL ELMER, JR.**

Terms of subscription. Two dollars and fifty cents, per annum, if paid in advance; or three dol-lars, if paid within the year:—but if delayed after the close of the year, twenty-five cents will be added.

No paper will be discontinued until particularly ordered and all arrearages paid, or at the discretion of the publisher.

Advertisements inserted on the usual terms .-All persons advertising will please note the number of times they wish to have them inserted, or they will be continued and taxed accordingly.

#### POLITICAL.

#### CORESPONDENCE, &c. (Continued from page 26.)

#### To the Editor of the U.S. Telegraph.

GENERAL GREEN.-I herewith enclose a statement, which I wish to be published in your paper, as supplemental to my correspondence with General Jackson, and which has been made necessary by the publication of Mr. Forsyth's letter to Mr. Hamilton. J. C. CALHOUN.

In my letter to Gen. Jackson of the 29th May, 1830, published in the correspondence, speaking of the plot to destroy. my political standing, I stated, "that several indications forewarned me long since, that a blow was meditated against me; I will not say from the quarter from which this comes; but, in relation to this subject, more than two years since, I had a correspondence with the (present) district attorney for the Southern District of New-York, on the subject of the proceedings of the cabinet in the Seminole war, which, though it did not then excite particular attention, has since, in connexion with other circumstances, served to direct my eve to what was going on." The letter of Mr. Forsyth to Mr. Hamilton, of New-York, to whom I referred in the above extract published by the former in the U. States Telegraph, of the 22d inst. enables me to trace another link in this artful conspiracy against my character, which I trust will form a sufficient apology for again intruding myself on public attention. If a copy of this letter, which seems, was placed in the hands of the President, when Mr. Forsyth put him in possession of a now consider a dangerous tendency in our copy of Mr. Crawford's letter to him of the 30th Apil, 1830, had been furnished me, as in justice ought to have been, the remarks that I am now constrained to make, would have appeared in the body of the correspondence with Gen. Jackson, and would have saved me the pain of again troubling the public. I then concieved I had a right to be put in possession of all the facts and cirof tracing out and exposing to the public,

1

gainst him ; and who, from their political correctly relation to him at the time, he had a right to consider his friends. I take no pleasure in exposing a transaction so disgraceful, but I feel myself compelled to do so in the discharge of a private and public duty. It must be painful and mortifying to the public to know, that the practice of such arts is possible under our system, but the knowledge that it is so, may guard against its recurrence in future.

In order to give a clear understanding a few preliminary remarks.

It appears from Mr. Forsyth's letter, that it was written in reply to a letter, dated at Savannah, the 25th of January, 18-28, from Mr. Hamilton, who was then on his return from New-Orleans, where he had been by the appointment of the Tamany Society of New-York, to represent them, as I understood, in the celebration of the 8th Jan. 1828. Gen. Jackson had been invited by the Legislature to attend the celebration. Mr. Hamilton on his way to New-Orleans, passed through this city in Dec. 1827, when Congress was in session, and after remaining here some days, he proceeded to Nashville and accompanied the General and suite to New-Orleans. From Savannah he returned to New-York through this place, where he again remained some time, Congress being still in session. Whether this letter

commencement of the intrigue, or whether it originated at an ealier date, at this place on his way to Nashville, or while there, I am unable to say; but I cannot doubt that the arrangements for its accomplishment were made on his return from Savannah to this place. At the time, I was decidedly, and I may add zealously, engaged in the support of Gen. Jackson. I believed his election by Congress was prevented by a departure from a most important, fundamental principle in our system, and that he was the only individualin the country who united the popularity and firmness to arrest, if elected, what I then and affairs. Under this impression I stood prpeared to render him every aid in my power to secure his success.

|foul plot against an individual zealousy | a letter from him, dated the 26th Feb. |

Hamilton.

of Gen. Jackson of the 19th August, 1818, Mr. Hamilton proceeded from Savannah in answer to his of the 19th Sept, of the directly to this place, where he probably same year, both of which are published received the answer of Mr. Forsyth, dated with my correspondence with Gen. Jackson, he was at his farm in Albemarle, Gen. J. in his letter, objected to the construction which had been placed on his orders. Mr. Monroe addressed me a private letter of the 9th Sept. 1818, a copy of which is hereto annexed, marked A. stating the view which the General took of he orders, makes a prominent part of what he repre- and still more so as it appears to reconcile of Mr. Hamilton to Mr. Forsyth was the the tone of his letter, and with some other sents as the statement of Mr. Crawford of its publication with justice without an accircumstances, his (Mr. Monroe's) reasons what occurred in the Cabinet on the Se- knowledgement of uncontested errors. for thinking there ought to be an official minole war. Mr. Crawford has since, correspondence between the General and however, stated in his letter to Mr. For- gle remark in relation to myself. As unmyself, so that the views which we respecttively took of his orders might appear on record. This letter passed out of my possession into that of Gen. Jackson, without my consent, but at what time or by what means, or through whose agency, I am to this day uninformed. In December, 18-27, I accidentally heard from a gentleman of this city, in a conversation turning on the subject of the feelings of Mr. Monroe, towards Gen. Jackson, (which I stated I k new to be friendly,) that there was a letter of the former in the hands of the latter, which afforded conclusive proof of his hostility to the General. Having Government, the power which I had thus found, some time before, that the letter of Mr. Monroe, to me of the 9th September, a copy of which is hereto annexed, was missing, I ascertained the fact by an examination of the letter to me, that it was missing, on a hint from a friend that there was a letter written by Mr. Monroe, as it was supposed, to me, which was out of my possestion, and was intended to be used for political purposes. My friend could not inform me in whose possession the letter was. On hearing that there was a letter of Mr. Monroe's in Gen. Jackson's possession, I concluded it must be the same, though I was utterly at a loss to conjecture how it had passed into his hands, or how he could conceive that it indicated unfriendly feelings to him on the part of the writer. I communicated what I had heard to the two Senators, at that time from Tennessee, Judge White and Major Eaton, and my belief that the letter in the possession of the General was Mr. Monroe's to me; and stated, if it was, it would be in my power to shew that the letter, so far from being hostile, was directly of an opposite character. They wrote to the General to ascertain if it was the one supposed; and I addressed a note to Mr. Monroe to apprise him of what I had heard, and to request him to send me a copy of his private correspondence with Gen. Jackson, (the one published with the correspondence between Gen. Jackson and myself,) to be placed in the hands of the two Senators, as explanatory of the letter supposed. I have not been able to lay my tain that I detained a copy, but I hereto anof the 28th December, 1827, marked B.

co-operating at the same time in the same the object of which was, apparently, to ing on against Mr. Monroe, at Nashville, ced it in my correspondence with him, as political cause with those conspiring a- know if he understood my conversarion as well from the facts of the letter being conclusive proof that he ought to have placed in Gen. Jackson's hands, as other known that my construction of his orders circumstances which about this time came in the Seminole war coincided with Mr. to my knowledge, and suspecting that the Monroe's and consequently differed from object was to bring Mr. Monroe and Gen. his own. 1 was restrained, however, from Jackson in conflict for purposes bearing doing so, by considerations which may be on the pending election ; but not knowing leasily conceived, but as the letter is nein what quarter it originated, I was at a cessarily connected with the immediate loss to understand how it was to be effect- subject of this statement, I am now obliged ed ; yet I could not doubt that the appre- to present it to the public as a part of my hended attack of Gen. Jackson, was some vindication.

an apprehended attack, founded on events how or other connected with this base obconnected with the Seminole campaign. ject. These impressions will explain the remarks on Mr. Crawford's letter to me, of the affair, it will be necessary to make This disclosure, particularly that the in- character of my correspondence with Mr. published by Mr. Forsyth, as his friend. formation was intended for Major Lewis Hamilton, a copy of which is hereto anas a confidential friend of Gen. Jackson, nexed, and marked C. The letter of Mr. excited my suspicion. Circumstances, Forsyth to Mr. Hamilton places his corhowever, gave my eye a wrong direction, respondence, and the conversation that not towards myself, but Mr. Monroe .- preceded it, in a very different light from Mr. Adams A subsequent acknowledge-What they were, it becomes necessary to the one in which I then viewed it. It is state, with the view of understanding the impossible now to doubt that his correscorrespondence which followed with Mr. pondence with Mr. Forsyth and his conversation and correspondence with me, When Mr. Monroe recieved the letter formed parts of the same transaction .must now say, so insiduously, whether fell to the ground. there was a motion to arrest Gen. Jackson, Mr. Forsyth, in which the motion to arrest

Believing that an operation was carry- | of a correspondence, I might have addu-

NUMBER 8.

I do not deem it necessary to make any

The argument of Mr. Crawford in support of his statement of the proceedings of the Cabnet, rested almost exclusively on. the statements of Mr. Crowninshield and ment of the former that he was not present at the deliberation of the cabinet, and consequently, that his statement to Mr. Crawford is unfounded, and the fact, disclosed by the letter of Mr. Adams to me, published with the correspondence, that Mr. Crawford has given in his letter a garbled extract of Mr. Adams' statement at Milledgeville, on the Sth of Feb. to his to him, omitting the material point, remoletter dated the 25th Jan. preceding. The ved the foundation of his argument, and very question which he put to me, as I with it the superstructure, which he raised,

With a knowledge of these facts, it is is explained by a reference to the letter of difficult to conceive why Mr. Crawford's letter should be presented to the public,

This strong feeling was seized on to extract from me, if possible, some hasty and unguarded expression respecting the course of the Cabinet on the Seminole question, by which I might be entangled.

reply to my letter of July 19, from Washservice, to bequeath as a legacy to my Mr. Hamilton, while here requested to children. have some conversation with me, which In order that the whole of this iniquicumstances referred to in Mr. Crawford's on my part was carried on with the freetous transaction may be presented in one letter to Mr. Forsyth, and that the with- dom that is usual between those engaged view, 1 annex a copy of the letter from holding of them was an act of injustice to on the same side in a warm political con-Mr. Forsyth to Mr. Hamilton, of the 8th me, calculated, whatever may have been test. I viewed him in no other light than February. I cannot but regret that Mr. the intention, to deprive me of the means a warm supporter of Gen. Jackson. In Forsyth has thought proper to withhold connexion with some remark of his, that from the public the letter of Mr. Hamilton, what it did not doubt to be a base political there was a rumor of an attack on Gen. plot. The letter from Mr. Forsyth to Mr. Jackson, for his conduct in the Seminole of the 25th January, to which this is an Hamilton, now published, it seems, was war, he enquired if any motion had been answer. It is rare that an answer can be the one referred to by Mr. Crawford in his made in the Cabinet to arrest him. To fully understood, without the letter to letter to Mr. Forsyth, but with the name which I replied in the negative. It may which it is a reply, and I do feel that justice to myself as well as to the country, of Mr. Hamilton left blank in the copy of be proper to remark here, that no such and I will add to Mr. Forsyth himself, re-Mr. Crawford's letter which was furnish- motion or any other was made. The dised me. The facts disclosed by the pub- cussion in reference to the course that quires its publication. - In making these lication of Mr. Forsyth's letter to Mr. might be pursued towards him, took place remarks, I am not unmindful of the hope, Hamilton, taken in connexion with the on a suggestion of the propriety of an enwhich he expresses, that his name may correspondence alluded to with the pres- quiry into his conduct, and my answer not be introduced in the further discussion ent disctrict attorney of the Southern Dis- was therefore in strict conformity to the of this subject. I feel every dispostion to trict of New-York, will prove, as I will facts. I accompanied the answer with comply with his desire, and, judging from presently show, that I had just cause to some general remarks on the proceedings my own feelings, can well appreciate the complain that evidence, material to my of the Cabinet, such as I might with propain which he must feel from being involvindication, was withheld; and I cannot priety make without any breach of confived in the controversy: but he must parbut regret, that Mr. Forsyth's "respect to dence. I however feel the most perfect don me for thinking that the claims of the personal delicacy of Mr. Hamilton," confidence, that I did not use the expresjustice are paramount to those of delicacy, has in its effetcs operated, though it may sion, that "the only point before the Cabiand that insisting upon the performance not have been so intended, unjustly to- net was the answer to be given to the of an act, on his part, which justice to me wards me, by preventing me from tracing Spanish Government," as Mr. Hamilton requires. I am not regardless of his senin my correspondence with Gen. Jackson, states that he understood me in his letter sibility. In coming before the public he one of the earliest, and I must add, the of the 25th of Feb. I neither did nor has voluntarily put himself in a position foulest movements in this political conspi- could use the expression "only," as it which gives me the right to make the reracy. What I could have done then in would have been both inconsistent with in question, should it prove to be the one quest. I would also suggest, that this 'is connexion with the general chain of my facts and absurd, as the publication of the not the only letter in his possession, conremarks, I am now constrained to do in Seminole affair clearly indicate that other hand on note to Mr. Monroe, hor am I cernected with this correspondence, necessathis detached way, with great disadvan- points were considered by the Cabinet. ry to the full elucidation of this affair. His tage to my vindication; the full force of If the statement be an error on the part of nex an extract from Mr. Monroe's answer letter to Mr. Crawford, to which Mr. Crawwhich would have been more deeply felt Mr. Hamilton, it probably originated in ford's letter placed in the hands of Gen. hope, be terminated to the satisfaction of by viewing all the circumstances in con- my using the word "main point or great It was in this stage of this affair, that I Jackson, was a reply, has not yet been put all parties. I will send you in a few days point," or some other expression of simi- received Mr. Hamilton's letter of the 25th in possession of the public. Until it be, nexion. his letter, (with a former one) with the This letter, by its date and other cir- lar import, instead of the expression he of February, and very naturally suspect- the impression must necessarily remain answer, which I propose giving toit; which, cumstances, clearly connects itself with imputes to me. The whole conversation ed that his inquiry might have reference that the evidence is not complete. if you see no objection to it, be so good Mr. Hamilton's correspondence with me, was of a general character, such as might to something connected with the same, Whether the letter of Mr. Monroe, of the as to forward to him. But if you do, realready alluded to; makes this correspon- with propriety be held respecting the Cab- and in my answer to it necessarily bore it 9th of Sept., which has been purloined turn it with your objections to it. By dence a link in the chain of this corrupt inet proceedings-and was by me consid- in my mind. from me, and passed into the hands of coming from him, it will put you more at political intrigue, thereby carrying back ered in no way confidential, except the I could not answer his question wheth-Gen. Jackson, as has been stated, was inease in your answer, and afford a better the movement to the early part of the year | confidence that exists between gentlemen, | er his understanding of my conversation tended as one of the means of placing us in our present relations, or was intended opportunity for the exercise of kindness of 1828; and by its reference to an indi- that my name was not to be used before was correct or not, without going into exand liberality. I shall attach no particular vidual (Major Lewis) then residing in Nash- the public in connexion with any thing 1 planations which would lead to details that to excite hostility between him and Mr. importance to the affair, in my letter, lea-Monroe, I am now unable to say. At first ville, as will be seen in the copy of the said. I certainly did not suppose that my I did not feel myself at liberty to state; ving the argument to you and him, so fat I supposed the latter, but subsequent events correspondence with Mr. Hamilton, here- conduct, or that of any other individual and which if I had, I could not prudently, as it becomes necessary to enter into it. to annexed, shows it to be a part of the was put at issue, and could have had no not knowing the bearing that it might leave it doubtful. The letter was finally B. Letter from Mr. Monroe to Mr. Calhoun. system of operations which, as it appears intention of making an erroneous impres- have in relation to the affair above referreturned to me by Gen. Jackson, but with-Oak-Hill, Dec. 28, 1827. by Mr. Crawford's letter to Mr. Balch, sion as to the proceedings of the Cabinet, red to. I accordingly waived an answer, out explanation of the manner in which DEAR SIR : In my compliance with your had been commenced against me in De- nor the part I took. I suspected no sinis- but in such terms as were intended to conit came to his hands. request in the letter of the 22d, I now Having so long remained in the possescember, 1827, and following so soon after, ter object. vey the idea that his understanding of my sion of the General, and been the subject send you all the documents referred to in probably constitutes the second link in this | On his return to New-York, I recieved conversation was not correct.

syth, and the one to me, that the statement pleasant as I find my present situation, I of Mr. Forsyth was erroneous on this experience one consolation without which point.

Viewing this whole affair as one transaction, (it can be viewed in no other light,) what a spectacle is presented. I am approached as a zealous friend of General Jackson by one who, from his political relations with me at that time, I had a right to consider as friendly, for the purpose of extracting from me, under the pretext of defending Gen. Jackson, some unguarded expression, which, at a future period, after he might be raised, in part by my exertions, to the highest office in the contributed to confer might be used to destroy forever the character and standing I had acquired by long, laborious, and faithful services to the country, and which was the only fund I had acquired in that

I will conclude this statement by a sinit would be quite intolerable. I have been placed in it by no fault of myown. Little did I suspect, more than twelve years ago, when daring to construe orders, which I myself had drawn, and to which I could give no other construction than what I did, consistently with the Constitution, acting as I was, under the obligation of an oath to abstain from the infraction of that sacred instrument; and in venturing to suggest the course, which I honestly supposed ought to be adopted on their infraction, I should be exposed, at this late day, to so much difficulty and danger. Yet it is my only offence.

> Copy of a letter from Mr. Monroe to Mr. to Mr. Calhoun Highland, Sept. 9, 1830.

DEAR SIR: I have General Jackson's ington, respecting his taking possession of St. Marks and Pensacola. He contends strenuously, that his orders left him free to adopt that course, if he found it necessary to terminate the Seminole war; that orders to Gen. Gaines, an inferior officer, not referred to in the orders to him, of subsequent date, were inapplicable, and not obligatory on him, especially as his enlarged the sphere of his duties. His letter is on the whole conciliatory and friendly. He promises to write another. Our view of his powers is decidedly different from his, on which too we acted without entertaining a suspicion that he would misunderstand it. I am inclined to think that I had better answer this letter immediately. He may expect that his conception of his orders should appear by document in the department; and it seems to be proper that the sense in which they were given, and understood by the department, after what has passed, should be recorded there. A communication from you on this head, and in this stage, seems to be the most necessary from the presumpion that it may be my duty to state to Congress that he transcended his orders on his own responsibility; or at least to state the sense in which they were understood by us. At present nothing to this effect exists in your correspondence with him. It is in mine only, which is private. A communication on this point may commence either with you or him. I will suggest it to him, thinking, as I do, that it had better begin with him. The affair may, I