THE RICHMOND ENQUIRER ON THE ADMINISTRATION.

The following article from the Richmond Enquirer in answer to an article in the official organ, the "Constitution," will burst like a bomb-shell upon the camp of those democrats who still cling to Mr Buchanan in spite of his utter desertion of every principle which secured their support of him. In all the Democratic Conventions which met in this State to nominate candidates for Congress, and in all the primary meetings held to send delegates to those conventions, resolutions were adopted objecting to the Pacific Rail Road, and objecting to Specific Duties—but [illegible] *expressing great confidence in the Administration of James Buchanan*. A most remarkable instance of this occurred at the former Convention which put Mr Thirty Million Bill Branch on the track. They were dead against the Pacific Road—dead against Specific Duties, and as dumb as so many oysters on the most monstrous measure ever proposed to the consideration of a free people. What a contrast does the bold, manly and sensible course of the Richmond Enquirer present to the dodging, shuffling and skulking course of the small liver proclivities, who composed the Frank Blair and other Conventions, which have met to nominate democratic candidates for Congress.

The Bill of Indictment filed by the Enquirer against the administration, is precisely the Bill of Indictment against the Administration filed by Mr. Sanders. We defy Mr Thirty Million Bill Branch, Mr. Hilton, who is bound to go him, *nolens volens*, and all the other democrats who *bless* Mr. Buchanan while they *curse* his measures, to sustain a negative plea to one single count in this Bill of Indictment. And we, perhaps, the oldest Democratic Journal in the Union, a Journal which has wielded an influence more potential than ever was exercised by any ten Democratic Journals in the Union combined, and a Journal which has possessed the confidence of every Democratic Administration which have ever existed from that of Jefferson, down to say and except that of James Buchanan, Jefferson, Madison, Monroe, Jackson, &c., &c., all trusted in Buchanan doesn't trust it, because it has found Buchanan entirely worthy, and does not trust him:

"The organ concludes with the sagacious announcement that 'the attempt to urge the constitutional doctrine of equal protection, on the Southern people, hitherto the test assumed 'just for the disruption and defeat of the Democratic party, and for securing the triumph of Mr. Seward and black Republicanism.'"

In the name of the Democracy of Virginia, we can inform the organ, that the insidious doctrine, which it preaches from the teaching of Mr. Eli Thayer, is itself the most dangerous species of Black Republicanism, no less odious than that of Mr. Seward himself, and much better adapted to the practical fulfilment of all the ends and objects of free-soil fanaticism.

And we protest, that neither the organ at Washington nor the Administration whose edicts it proclaims its entitled to dictate to, or even to speak by authority of any portion of the Conservative Democracy of the Union. And, last of all, let the Administration or its organ venture to dictate the interpretation of the Cincinnati platform, or pretend that it can offer a safe guide to a party which owes every difficulty which now surrounds it, to the misguided policy and the broken pledges of the very Administration which it has placed in power.

When the President accepted the presidential nomination, he solemnly promised to stand on the Cincinnati platform, "neither taking a plank from it, nor adding a plank to it." Even before the canvass was closed, he did attempt to force into it an Internal Improvement plank which was rejected at Cincinnati as a nation plank, and the attempt was made in a very offensive manner.

Since then, it would be difficult to enumerate the sound planks which this Administration has taken out, and the unsound planks which it has attempted to force into the Cincinnati platform.

Let us take a few examples. The very first plank of the platform inculcates the doctrine that "the grants of power made in the Constitution ought to be strictly construed by all the departments and agents of the government; and that it is inconsistent and dangerous to exercise doubtful constitutional powers."

In reporting this plank, the Administration has furnished another, authorizing unlimited resort to latitudinarian construction, and where even this could not be resorted from the powers of peace, appealing to the war-making power for a still more extended usurpation of power [illegible]

[illegible] plank, the Administration [illegible] another, in the effect [illegible] Government must con[illegible] and carry on a [illegible] system of internal improvements, under the war-making power.

[illegible] plank, the Administration has [illegible] another, in the effect [illegible] Government must confine itself to the payment of debts and expenses necessary for the proper, efficient and economical administration of the limited powers granted to it by the Constitution, and that the corruption of the elective franchise by means of the public treasury, by the creation of debts to be paid by posterity, to avoid taxation by the present generation, is a violation of the Constitution.

The fourth plank asserts the equality of all the States of the Union, and the power and duty of the General Government to protect, preserve and maintain that equality under the constitution.

Under this plank, the Administration has refused to admit Kansas into the Union, with a Constitution sanctioned by a majority of the people of that Territory, because forsooth, a provision in that Constitution prohibiting the introduction of slaves into the State for a limited period, was not satisfactory to the majority of the people of the State, although the same provision existed in the Constitution of Michigan, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Minnesota, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Oregon, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of California, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Kansas, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Iowa, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Texas, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Florida, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Wisconsin, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Illinois, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Mississippi, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Louisiana, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Alabama, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Missouri, when admitted into the Union, and the same provision existed in the Constitution of Arkansas, when admitted into the Union, and