

The Tarboro Southern

BE SURE YOU ARE RIGHT; THEN GO AHEAD.—D Crockett.

VOL. 72. NO. 14.

TARBORO, N. C. THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 1, 1894.

PRICE FIVE CENTS

PROFESSIONAL CARDS.

OSSEY BATTLE,
Attorney and Counselor at Law,
OFFICES: Tarboro, N. C.,
Rocky Mount, N. C.
In Tarboro office every Monday, and
every Monday balance of week.
Adjustment of claims a specialty.

PAUL JONES,
Att'y and Counselor at Law
TARBORO, N. C.

J. MARTIN,
ATTORNEY AT LAW,
Practices in the Courts of Edge-
combe, Martin and Pitt.
Office rear of Double Dealer's Store,
TARBORO, N. C.

JOHN L. BRIDGERS & SON,
Attorneys-at-Law,
TARBORO, N. C.

A. GILLIAM, DONNELL GILLIAM,
WILLIAM & SON
Attorneys-at-Law,
TARBORO, N. C.

W. O. HOWARD,
Att'y & Counselor at Law
TARBORO, N. C.
Prompt attention given to business.
Office over R. C. Brown's Store.

W. G. EDWARDS,
SIGN AND HOUSE PAINTER,
Paper hanging a specialty.
4017. TARBORO, N. C.

O THE PUBLIC.
I am Prepared to do all work in
the

Undertaker's Business,
at the shortest notice. Having con-
nected with my shop the repairing
business. All work left at my shop
shall have prompt attention.

PRICES MODERATE.
Also a first-class HEARSE for hire.
Thanking my friends for their
former patronage, I hope to merit
the same, should they need anything
of the

Undertaking
OR
Repairing Business
My Place is on Pitt Street Three
Doors from the Corner of Main

E. J. Simmons.

J. I. WALLS,
Fashionable Tailor,
Pitt St., one door below L. W. Idell & Co.
Tarboro, N. C.

**Fine Full Dress and Evening Tailor-
Made Suits.** The term well dressed
extends from the neck to the foot of
the subject.

Cutting, repairing and cleaning up
at short notice.

THE NEW YORK
WEEKLY HERALD
—For 1894—
WILL BE WITHOUT QUESTION
AMERICA'S

Leading Family Paper.
The reputation that the Weekly Herald
has enjoyed for many years, being the
best home newspaper in the land will
materially aid during the year of
1894. No paper or expense will be spared
to make it in every department the most
reliable, interesting and instructive of all
weekly newspaper publications.

It will be improved in many ways.
A number of new features and depart-
ments will be added. The latest develop-
ment in all fields of contemporaneous busi-
ness interest will be ably discussed from
week to week by accomplished writers.

THE NEWS OF THE WORLD
will be given in a concise but complete
form. Every important or interesting
event, either at home or abroad, will be
daily described in the columns of the
Weekly Herald.

In politics the Herald is absolutely in-
dependent and sound. It tells the rights
and wrongs of all sides without fear.
Farmers and stock raisers cannot afford
to be without the Weekly Herald during
the coming year. It will contain a regula-
r department each week devoted exclusi-
vely to subjects of timely interest to them
and giving many valuable suggestions and
advice.

The women and children of the land
will find in the Weekly Herald a welcome
visitor. The household and children's
pages will be both instructive and enter-
taining. They will abound in hints and
receipts which women so much value.

A brilliant array of novels and short
stories by the best writers in America and
England has been secured, so that fiction
in the Weekly Herald during 1894.

In fact, the Weekly Herald will be a
magazine of the highest order, combined
with a complete newspaper.

NOW IS THE TIME TO SUBSCRIBE.
Only \$1.00 a Year
SEND FOR SAMPLE COPY.

Address
THE WEEKLY HERALD,
HERALD SQUARE,
NEW YORK.

FOR FALLING HAIR, ITCHING SKIN

—USE CULLEY'S—
Bald Head Preparation

I desire to say to the public and the
ladies especially that I now have my
Hair Preparation

so that I can arrest the falling out of
the hair within 12 to 15 days, and this you
will readily see if you will give it a trial.
Hair also thickens from its use. It has
no unpleasant odor and leaves no danger
contracting neuralgia, cold, &c. Must-
aches easily thickened up by its use.

Young men will please make a note of
this. Nothing asked to show the truth-
fulness of the above except a fair trial of
CULLEY'S BALD HEAD PREPARATION. Good
references given to show that the hair is
thick if not thicker than ever.

ALFRED CULLEY,
Tarboro, N. C.

ITCHING SKIN DISEASES

Are
Instantly
Relieved
And
Speedily
Cured
By



Cuticura Remedies

A warm bath with CUTICURA
SOAP, and a single application of
CUTICURA, the great skin cure,
will afford instant relief, permit rest
and sleep, and point to a speedy,
economical, and permanent cure of
the most distressing itching, burning,
bleeding, scaly, and crusted skin
and scalp diseases, after physicians,
hospitals, and all other methods fail.
CUTICURA WORKS WONDERS, and
its cures of torturing, disfiguring,
humiliating humors are the most
wonderful ever recorded in this or
any age.

CUTICURA REMEDIES are sold throughout the
World. CUTICURA, Soap, &c., Reservoir, St.
Petersburg, Fla. and Cuba, and New York, Boston,
New Orleans, and all other ports.

ALL about the Blood, Skin, Scap, and Hair, free.
PIMPLES, blackheads, red and dry skin
prevented and cured by CUTICURA SOAP.

MUSCULAR STRAINS, PAINS AND WOUNDS, back ache, weak limbs, Rheumatism, and chest pains relieved in one minute by the CUTICURA Anti- Pain Plaster.

TIN SHOP.

I AM DOING A
Tin, Slate and Roofing
BUSINESS

I do repairing in
Tin, Iron and Copper
promptly.

J. T. WARD,
Austin Building.
I make the superior Coffee
Pot ever offered to the public. 1317

Williams & Culley,



BARBERS,

UNDER HOTEL FARRAR
TARBORO, N. C.

GROVES



TASTELESS CHILL TONIC

IS JUST AS GOOD FOR ADULTS.
WARRANTED. PRICE 50 CTS.

GALEATA, ILL., Nov. 16, 1893.
Paris Medicine Co., St. Louis, Mo., 609 bottles of
GROVES' TASTELESS CHILL TONIC have
been sold in this city since the first of
January of 1893. In the drug business, there
is no article that gives more universal satis-
faction as your Tonic. Yours truly,
W. H. GALEATA, M.D.

THE COOPER MARBLE WORKS,

111, 113 and 115 Bank Street,
NORFOLK, VA.
LARGE STOCK OF FINISHED
Monuments, and Gravestones,
Ready for Immediate Delivery.
March 31, 1

Prof. W. W. SERT, President of the
COMMERCIAL COLLEGE OF KENTUCKY
LEXINGTON, KY. AWARDED THE
DIPLOMA OF HONORARY MEMBER
of the University of Kentucky, for his
services in the cause of education.
Address, W. W. SERT, Lexington, Ky.

PROTECTION EXPENSES.

Trusts and Monopolies Fettered by the
McKinley Tariff.

How much may be collected by the
protected tariff of manufacturers,
and how much actually is collected,
are two different questions. One trust
will collect all its protection, another
trust will collect only one-half, or per-
haps only one-quarter. The amount
collected depends upon the number of
people in the trust, and how thor-
oughly they hang together. Very
often a member, to make a fortune, will
break all his agreements, and sell
under the trust price and the trust
will be broken and have to reorganize.
For example, the sugar trust collects
the whole amount of its protection, the
salt trust collected the whole amount
until it broke. Then it reformed,
and now it is collecting about
60 per cent. The woolen men have
altogether about a quarter of their
agreements, or trusts. In some of
these trusts, they collect all their pro-
tection, and in others only 10 per cent.
The collection of the protection is a
matter of expediency.

In all the United States there are
about 15,000 protected mill-owners,
who are organized into 450 to 460
private trusts. The value of their
protection, before the McKinley bill
went into effect was \$1,500,000,000
yearly. That was the amount that these
trusts were authorized by law to col-
lect from the people in taxes annually.

What is the actual collection of taxes
paid by the people? The McKinley bill
collected from the people in the year
1890 was very carefully estimated. The
inquiry took many months, and the
lowest possible figure that represented
the actual collection of taxes was
\$650,000,000. The McKinley bill col-
lected from the people in the year
1890 was very carefully estimated. The
inquiry took many months, and the
lowest possible figure that represented
the actual collection of taxes was
\$650,000,000.

In 1890 the people paid at the custom
house \$220,000,000 in taxes that went
into the federal treasury at Washing-
ton, and was returned to them in the
form of services rendered. That same
year they paid to the treasury \$200,000,
000 in taxes, not one cent of which was
returned to them in the form of any ser-
vice whatever. The tariff taxes paid by
the people for 1890 exceeded \$600,000,
000 which only \$200,000,000 was re-
turned to them in the form of any ser-
vice whatever, and three-quarters of
which was paid in the form of taxes.

That the treasury got \$220,000,000 was
fortunate for the McKinley bill put
a stop to such a large proportion com-
ing into the treasury. While it in-
creased the potential tax on the peo-
ple, it decreased the amount they paid
to the government, and increased the
amount they paid to the treasury. For
the benefit of four men alone,
for example, the McKinley bill added
\$6 to the previous duties on every gun
costing \$15. Not a cent of this duty
went into the treasury, for this
made the duty on foreign guns 150 per
cent, and barred them out. A man
who wanted a \$15 shotgun had to buy
from one of the four American makers
pay \$18—\$3 more than it was
worth. Protected monopolies do not
work. He had to pay overtime to
earn this extra \$3. But he was work-
ing for the gun, and he had to pay
nine days' wages for it, under protec-
tion against the foreign gun, with-
out a cent of it being returned to him.
The four gunmakers got from him
three days' labor, or gave him three
days' extra labor, as you like.

The object of the McKinley bill was
to increase the tariff taxes levied upon
the people. Every man who imported
goods that he had to pay 100 per
cent, and the McKinley bill added
the amount they paid to the treasury.
All this was done by the manner in
which the taxes were levied. It was
not done by the amount of the per-
centage.

The McKinley tariff was lower than
that which it succeeded. It might have
been the lowest of low tariffs. It might
have been the highest of high tariffs.
The McKinley tariff was lower than
that which it succeeded. It might have
been the lowest of low tariffs. It might
have been the highest of high tariffs.

Under the McKinley bill the public
treasury got \$220,000,000 in 1890, to
\$177,000,000 in 1892, and for 1894
probably go lower yet. At the same time,
the amount of taxes paid to the treasury
has risen from \$650,000,000 to \$800,000,
000, as near as can be estimated.—N. Y.
World.

The Ex-Car Groves claim.
Another null of the protectionists
altogether and Tom Reed's alarm at
the "omnivorous west" will be calmed.
The trusts will be able to command
the home market and hurt their power
against the building of new manufac-
tories nearer the markets and sources
of supply. Shut out iron ores and Du-
gout's hopes of building a bridge across
the center are chilled. Shut out iron
goods and New England can by under-
selling cut down investments of man-
ufacturing capital in one section of the
west and make up its profits in an-
other section. Every man who exports
bill is McKinley's the omnivorous
ness of the west is kept near to pea
soup and corn bread.—St. Louis Re-
publican.

—Perhaps the "great emergency"
Prof. Harrison is waiting for will arise
about the time Hon. John C. New
begins to feel bound to save the coun-
try by getting back into office.—N. Y.
World.

—The evidence of republic sen-
ators concerning trust influence in
legislation is entitled to considerable
weight. They have had wide experi-
ence in driving bargains with the
agents of monopoly.—N. Y. World.

—A republican newspaper under-
takes to convince the great American
public that the Wilson bill threatens
the destruction of the market-to-let
industry, as if the people didn't know
enough to come in out of the rain.—
Louisville Courier-Journal.

—The number of fraudulent pen-
sioners on the rolls is certainly not
less than a hundred thousand out of
the total of near a million, and no
amount of protest from the supporters
of fraud should be allowed to inti-
mate Mr. Cleveland in his attempts to
restore something like a semblance of
honesty.—N. Y. World.

—After McKinley the deluge has
long been a popular notion in Penn-
sylvania.—Louisville Courier-Journal.

WHAT OF THE CONSUMER?

His Rights Ignored by Protectionist
Advocates.

We were prepared for almost any re-
sult of the customary hysteria of the
New York Press, but we hardly looked
for it to stumble upon such an honest
condemnation of protection as this:
"A tariff measure which classifies
against one section in favor of another,
which confers immense benefits upon a
single interest, and which secures a
protection, but spoliation."
There never was a tariff measure con-
ceived that failed to do this very
thing. There never will be one as
long as one section differs from an-
other in its natural adaptation to cer-
tain industries. The industry with the
strongest pull gets the biggest protec-
tion and hence is made stronger than
the rest comes along and complains that
it has been injured by the protection
of the other. Then another attempt is
made to equalize the condition of the
stolen chalice, to be followed by more
complaints, with the result that the
average of protection is pushed higher
and higher in the effort to satisfy all.

If there is such a thing as a "genius
protection" in the world, it is the
genius of the protectionist. He is the
"all American producer" who has
equal rights and enjoy equal privi-
leges," what of the consumer? His
very existence is forgotten. He is not
mentioned in the speech before the
despots he are trying to divide the
booty. And when an attempt is made
to ease the crushing weight of tax-
ation which rests upon his bending
shoulders and to give him a fair share
of the possessors of large incomes, a
cry goes up that such a measure is com-
munist and sectionalism.

There is no other answer to this
foolish and bitter defense of the
rights of the consumer than certain
words of Hon. John Sherman, which
were quoted without challenge no
longer than last November by Hon. U.
Hall, of Missouri, in a speech before
the ways and means committee of the
house as follows:
"The public mind is not yet prepared
to understand the real nature of the
tariff. It is a system of taxation which
the whole body of our people has a right
to know. It is a system of taxation
upon consumption, and not one upon
taxation and income, is intrinsically
unjust. While it secures a certain
protection to the producer, it is
largely based upon the protection of
property. It is not right to call on
the consumer to pay for the protection
of the producer. It is not right to
call on the consumer to pay for the
protection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-
ducer. It is not right to call on the
consumer to pay for the protection of
the producer. It is not right to call
on the consumer to pay for the pro-
tection of the producer. It is not
right to call on the consumer to pay
for the protection of the producer. It
is not right to call on the consumer
to pay for the protection of the pro-<