

OLD SERIES—VOL. LXVIII—NO 35,393



**The Human Lottery**  
"Ah, if only I were beautiful how happy life would be."  
Many a forlorn maid has said this as she looked into the mirror. For beauty women have sacrificed home, love and friends. It is the one possession in the lottery of human life which women would not refuse.

**BRADFIELD'S Female Regulator**  
For young girls on the threshold of womanhood, has been invaluable. When they become pale and languid, the eyes fill, aching head, feet and hands cold, appetite gone or abnormal, obstructed periods and genital menaces, and their systems generally run down, they need building up, and their blood needs cleansing.  
Bradfield's Female Regulator for women is particularly valuable and useful owing to its tonic properties to build up the system, and as a regulator of the menstrual flow. Painful, obstructed and suppressed menstruation permanently relieved and all diseases peculiar to her genital organs are cured by it.  
Regulator clears the complexion, brightens the eyes, sharpens the appetite, removes mucus and bitches conditions of the skin and cures sick headaches to a certainty by removing the cause.  
Of druggists \$1.00 per bottle.  
"Perfect Health for Women" is free and will be mailed on receipt of address.  
THE BRADFIELD REGULATOR CO.  
ATLANTA, GA.

**PROFESSIONAL CARDS.**

**NEILL A. SINCLAIR,**  
Attorney-at-Law,  
117 DONALDSON STREET,  
FAYETTEVILLE, N. C.

**D. T. OATES,**  
Attorney-at-Law,  
ROOMS 2 and 3 K. of P. Bldg.,  
FAYETTEVILLE, N. C.

**D. L. McDuffie**  
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW,  
KAY BUILDING,  
FAYETTEVILLE, N. C.

**Q. K. NIMOCKS,**  
Attorney-at-Law,  
Office in K. of P. Building. Phone 229.  
Fayetteville, N. C.

**H. S. AVERITT,**  
Attorney-at-Law,  
(Notary Public) 118 Green Street,  
FAYETTEVILLE, N. C.

**Robinson & Shaw,**  
Attorneys-at-Law,  
OFFICE 121 Donaldson Street, Fayetteville, N. C. Prompt attention given to all business.

**J. H. MARSH, M. D.,**  
Physician and Surgeon,  
OFFICE 103 HAY STREET,  
FAYETTEVILLE, N. C.

**J. A. MacKethan, M. D.**  
Practice limited to Diseases  
EYE, EAR, NOSE and THROAT.  
OFFICE HOURS 10 to 1, 3 to 5 or by special appointment at Office Highsmith Hospital.

**Dr. E. L. HUNTER,**  
Dentist,  
North-east Corner  
Market Square,  
FAYETTEVILLE, N. C.

**EDWIN R. MACKETHAN,**  
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW,  
(Notary Public.)  
MacKethan Building,  
Fayetteville, N. C. Transactions in Real Estate and Insurance.

**THE PRODIGAL**  
AND  
STRENGTH  
GRANTALOR

**CHICHESTER'S ENGLISH PENNYROYAL PILLS**  
Original and Only Genuine  
Solely for the relief of  
Headache, Neuralgia, Rheumatism, Gout, Gravel, Catarrh of the Bladder, Indigestion, Constipation, Biliousness, and all the ailments of the digestive system.  
Prepared by  
J. C. Ayer & Co., Lowell, Mass., U.S.A.

**PARKER'S HAIR BALM**  
Prevents itching and dandruff, keeps the hair from falling out, restores the hair to its natural color, and cures all the ailments of the scalp.  
Prepared by  
J. C. Ayer & Co., Lowell, Mass., U.S.A.

**Kodol Dyspepsia Cure**  
Digests what you eat.

**WASHINGTON LETTER.**

Washington, Nov. 3, 1904.

As an illustration of the reckless disregard of the prerogatives of the Legislative branch of the Government, the extravagance and the trend to utter imperialism of this administration, I submit to you a few facts to the readers of this correspondence.

If the people elect Roosevelt they may expect it to increase. If they want it stopped they will elect Parker. It is up to the people. Here are some facts:

President Roosevelt's Pension Order is only one of many cases that might be cited of appropriation of money by Executive Order; in fact, the Executive Departments at Washington, following the lead of the President, are beginning to consider it presumptions in Congress to question any demand they may make upon the Treasury, and look upon it as a thing to be proud of if they can find some way to obtain the money, regardless of the Act of Congress, upon their recommendation.

The estimate for 1903 Admiral Bradford recommended to the Bureau of Yards and Docks of the Navy that \$600,000 be appropriated for building gunsheds at Mare Island. This was not considered by the Committee on Naval Affairs, and they refused to report the item of the bill. Congress accordingly declined to make any appropriation, but the Department took out of the money appropriated for coal a sum sufficient to build the sheds, and his officials of that Department are proud of it as having shirked their proper duty that they could accomplish their purpose regardless of the will of Congress.

The Naval Observatory at Washington is being rebuilt for a hospital, under an appropriation for medicine and surgery, in which a clause providing for minor repairs. It has long been the custom in the Departments, in connection with general contracts, to make an occasional smaller agreement to cover necessary work not specified or anticipated in the original contract.

These agreements are known as "extras," and are supposed to cover items of only a few hundred dollars. Under the contract for remodeling and repairing certain buildings at Fort Sheridan, an extra agreement has been executed to put up new buildings entirely, in place of the remodeling under the original contract. The law provides that all buildings to be constructed, and in fact all work to be done, must be advertised for, and bids abstracted and forwarded to the Department with the recommendation for award. The original award is made on the recommendation of the Quartermaster in charge. Nothing of this sort was done in connection with the new buildings at Fort Sheridan. The cost for remodeling and repairing the old buildings and the original contract was about \$300,000 while the "extra" agreement executed as a part of the original contract for the construction of the new buildings has amounted to over \$60,000.

The "extra" has become more than double the original legal contract. The proprietors as well as the illegality of shutting off all possible competition by basing large extras for original construction work on a contract for remodeling, is too evident to need argument.

Quartermaster General John L. Clem of the Division of the Philippines; at the end of the last fiscal year, turned back into the Treasury Department an unexpended balance of some \$443,000.00. For this he was severely reprimanded by the War Department. This is the first recorded instance in the history of the world where a public official has been censured for saving money to his Government. The instance is well known but it cannot be too much emphasized.

General Clem seems not to have any followers in his home country. Here candidates ignore enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax; and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

**THE PROTECTIVE TARIFF.**

It is refreshing, in these degenerate days, to print such clear-cut orthodoxy as this from our old friend, ex-Congressman Grady:

**MAJOR HALE.**—The effort which has been made according to reports, by the candidates who are endeavoring to deceive our people about the workings of the protective tariff, induces me to ask space in your paper to present some statements made by some distinguished Republicans, and to invite the followers of these candidates to frame for themselves satisfactory answers to two questions.

The first statement is found in "Reminiscences of Abraham Lincoln," page 483, and was written by the late Don Platt, of Ohio, who served as a General in the Northern army during the war between the States. It says: "While it seems a cheap philippic to free another man's slave, the fact was that it was not another man's slave. The unrequited toil of the slave was more valuable to the North than to the South. With our keen business instincts, we of the free States utilized the work of the masters. They made, without saving, all—not some—that we accumulated."

The second statement is found in a speech delivered in the House of Representatives on the 31st of March, 1897, by Hon. Joseph H. Walker, of Worcester, Massachusetts—a Republican without guile. Recalling and criticizing a speech of Hon. George McDuffie, of South Carolina, in which he denounced the proposed tariff act of 1832 as a scheme to transfer the wealth of the South to the North, Mr. Walker said: "But prospered did come to the North, and Mr. McDuffie, feeling that a portion of the profits of the unpaid labor of the South"—the labor by means of which was shared by the North through the system of protection, was determined to deprive the North of its prosperity, that the South might reach the whole of what they felt to be their every advantage from their unpaid labor conditions."

Now, before proceeding further, let us compare the admissions of these two men, and determine for ourselves whether Platt's "keen business instincts" should not have been "keen thieves' instincts."

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

Now, my first question is: Are these candidates ignorant enough to believe the old world falsehood that the foreigner pays the tax? and the second is: Can any self-respecting white man support a party which has the impudence to deny to a plundered partner any voice in modifying the conditions under which the plundering may proceed indefinitely?

**HOW LET THEM ALL FOLLOW SUIT AND APOLOGIZE TO BRITAIN.**

Norfolk Virginia-Pilot

Sundry contemporaries have been insisting that the Hon William J. Bryan was a dead one. We have been told in vain and repeated in different words and solemn growl that Mr. Bryan was absolutely without influence with the Democratic voters of the country. In view of that fact it is not interesting to observe that a staff correspondent of the Chicago Tribune, a stalwart Republican paper, telegraphing from Indianapolis to his paper, said:

"Bryan is saving thousands of votes in Indiana, but he is not making any. He has been in the State since the middle of the week, and has had extraordinary receptions everywhere. His crowds have been the only ones of any magnitude during this campaign. He is doing the work for which he was brought to Indiana, and doing it well. That is to say, Bryan has stopped the landslide against Parker among the old Silver Democrats. He will, in all probability, hold the Democratic vote about to the normal figures, and has put an end to the intended stamp to W."

The wisdom of Tom Taggart in bringing Bryan to Indiana has been fully justified, because enormous audiences all over the country have demonstrated that the Nebraska leader still possesses all his old time magnetism. He has argued with the people with his usual cleverness that while he is still a silver man, while he disagrees totally with Parker's gold program, and while he does not like the influence which surround Parker in New York, still Parker stands for some things in the Democratic platform which Bryan stands for.

Bryan is making the issue that he loves Parker not more, but Roosevelt less, and this issue is taking with the old Bryan Free Silver Democrats. He is keeping them in the party, and he is visiting to Indiana is worth at least 10,000 votes to the Democratic State and national tickets, and possibly more.

This would seem to indicate that Mr. Bryan has not lost his hold upon the voters of the country. The fact is Mr. Bryan's sincerity, his unquestioned devotion to the welfare of the people, his eloquence, his untarnished integrity, his freedom from suspicion of any alliance with the interests that are so generally selfish, and that menace the interests of the common people have made him a power and will keep him a power as long as he chooses to remain in the public eye.

The Virginia-Pilot stated over half a year ago that it looked to see Mr. Bryan bolt the party. It confessed not merely to an error of judgment, but to an admiration of Mr. Bryan that has been earned by a party loyalty that may not be doubted and by the energetic exercise of an influence honorably earned and honorably used.

Getton Stated to say

The last of the census bulletins giving the returns of its agents on cotton ginned for the present year up to October 18 last was issued Wednesday by the Government. It shows that the total number of running bales to be 6,592,137 against 3,839,627 running bales of last year. The number ginned for the present year is as follows: Alabama, 654,673 bales; Arkansas, 237,776; Florida, 40,642; Georgia, 1,056,670; Indian Territory, 181,316; Kentucky, 284; Louisiana, 39,258; Mississippi, 561,704; Missouri, 10,621; North Carolina, 309,097; Oklahoma, 106,237; South Carolina, 629,857; Tennessee, 79,683; Texas, 2,201,663; Virginia, 5,145.

Reviser appointed for Cape Fear and Pocono November 20.

In chambers at Kenansville yesterday before Judge Fred Moore, Hon. Joo D. Bellamy, counsel for H. L. Vollers and other creditors, secured the appointment of D. McEachern as temporary receiver for the Cape Fear and People's Steamboat Company, which operates the steamers Hurt and Hawes between this port and Wilmington. It is alleged in the complaint that the company is indebted to various and sundry creditors in the sum of \$5,000 which it is unable to pay. The defendants are cited to appear before Judge Moore in chambers at Kenansville, November 17th, and show cause why the receivership should not be made permanent.

Death of Mr. Smoot's Father.

Rev. T. A. Smoot returned home Saturday last from Boston county, where he had been the past week at the bedside of his sick father, Rev. J. F. Smoot, who died on Thursday last.

Rev. J. F. Smoot was at the time of his death one of the oldest members of the Western North Carolina Conference, and in his prime was one of the ablest and best known ministers of the Methodist denomination in the State. For a number of years past he has been physically afflicted and has occupied a superannuated relation. He lived a simple and exemplary life and his good deeds and upright walk in life will live in the hearts of those who knew and loved him.

He is survived by four sons, each of whom are prominent and successful in the chosen vocation of life, and one daughter, Miss Cora Smoot.

A Runaway Bicycle.

Terminated with an ugly cut on the leg of F. Orner, Franklin Grove, Ill. It developed a stubborn ulcer which yielded to doctors and remedies for four years. Then Bucklen's Arnica Salve cured. It is just as good for Burns, Scalds, Skin Eruptions and Piles. 25c at B. E. Sedberry & Sons Drug Store.

Mothers praise it.

Mothers everywhere praise One Minute Cough Cure for the sufferings it has relieved and the lives of their little ones saved. It is a sure becoming relief and subsequent cure for this distressing ailment. I feel that I am always sure to satisfy and greatly my customers by recommending it to them. I write this to show how well this remedy is spoken of here. Kodol Dyspepsia Cure was discovered after years of scientific experiments and will positively cure all stomach troubles. Sold by All Druggists.

A Heavy Load.

To lift that load of the stomach take Kodol Dyspepsia Cure. It digests what you eat. Your stomach, belching, gas on stomach and all disorders of the stomach that are curable, are instantly relieved and permanently cured by the use of Kodol Dyspepsia Cure. S. F. Stone, a Druggist at 207 Main street, New Britain, Conn., says: "Kodol Dyspepsia Cure is giving such universal satisfaction and is being so surely becoming the positive relief and subsequent cure for this distressing ailment, I feel that I am always sure to satisfy and greatly my customers by recommending it to them. I write this to show how well this remedy is spoken of here. Kodol Dyspepsia Cure was discovered after years of scientific experiments and will positively cure all stomach troubles. Sold by All Druggists."

Mothers praise it.

Mothers everywhere praise One Minute Cough Cure for the sufferings it has relieved and the lives of their little ones saved. It is a sure becoming relief and subsequent cure for this distressing ailment. I feel that I am always sure to satisfy and greatly my customers by recommending it to them. I write this to show how well this remedy is spoken of here. Kodol Dyspepsia Cure was discovered after years of scientific experiments and will positively cure all stomach troubles. Sold by All Druggists."

Mothers praise it.

Mothers everywhere praise One Minute Cough Cure for the sufferings it has relieved and the lives of their little ones saved. It is a sure becoming relief and subsequent cure for this distressing ailment. I feel that I am always sure to satisfy and greatly my customers by recommending it to them. I write this to show how well this remedy is spoken of here. Kodol Dyspepsia Cure was discovered after years of scientific experiments and will positively cure all stomach troubles. Sold by All Druggists."

Mothers praise it.

Mothers everywhere praise One Minute Cough Cure for the sufferings it has relieved and the lives of their little ones saved. It is a sure becoming relief and subsequent cure for this distressing ailment. I feel that I am always sure to satisfy and greatly my customers by recommending it to them. I write this to show how well this remedy is spoken of here. Kodol Dyspepsia Cure was discovered after years of scientific experiments and will positively cure all stomach troubles. Sold by All Druggists."

Mothers praise it.

Mothers everywhere praise One Minute Cough Cure for the sufferings it has relieved and the lives of their little ones saved. It is a sure becoming relief and subsequent cure for this distressing ailment. I feel that I am always sure to satisfy and greatly my customers by recommending it to them. I write this to show how well this remedy is spoken of here. Kodol Dyspepsia Cure was discovered after years of scientific experiments and will positively cure all stomach troubles. Sold by All Druggists."

Mothers praise it.

Mothers everywhere praise One Minute Cough Cure for the sufferings it has relieved and the lives of their little ones saved. It is a sure becoming relief and subsequent cure for this distressing ailment. I feel that I am always sure to satisfy and greatly my customers by recommending it to them. I write this to show how well this remedy is spoken of here. Kodol Dyspepsia Cure was discovered after years of scientific experiments and will positively cure all stomach troubles. Sold by All Druggists."

Mothers praise it.

**MR. SNEAD'S REPLY.**

COTTON, N. C., Nov. 2, 10

Mr. Editor:

In reply to what "Little Irish" had to say about me in the Observer of the 1st inst. I will say to him that Mr. Walker has been doing what he thought the Republican party ought to elect a number of negro members in North Carolina; and to what Mr. Walker said, I stated that during Gov. Vance's term that the legislature appointed a number of negro magistrates in North Carolina. This has never been denied, and if "Little Irish" will take the proceedings of the legislature of 1877, '78, '79 and '80, he will find the names of a number of negroes appointed by that legislature.

If this statement is not true then I will write that I have made a charge that is false and will retract. I feel satisfied that my statement is correct.

Respectfully,  
E. D. SNEAD.

Vance Never Appointed a Negro  
Fayetteville, Nov. 4.

Mr. Editor:

I am glad Dr. Snead saw fit to correct me in yesterday's issue of your paper. It is a fact that in the Legislature of 1879 one or two negro magistrates were appointed but not by Gov. Vance as alleged. Now, there are the facts taken from the records. A negro was appointed in that county. As you no doubt remember a Republican representative that county in the Legislature of 1879 and when the appointment of magistrates was being discussed the Bladen member appealed to the committee to let him become a magistrate and two and if they would he would name honorable white men. Believing that he would do what