

# Two For One.

For every dollar you place in our Company, We will give you TWO DOLLARS SECURITY in FIRST MORTGAGE on Real Estate, and our Company guarantees the payment of Principal and Interest and we pay Six per cent Interest Semi-Annually.

## Central Loan and Trust Company,

Real Estate, Fire, Life and Live Stock Insurance

CAPITAL \$200,000

J. M. BRADSHAW

W. W. BRADSHAW

A. W. BRADSHAW

President

Manager

Sec. & Treas.

## Amazon High Grade

Sold By

H. V. Trilling & Burlington, N. C.

## BUGGIES

## BUGGIES

We are just in receipt of a car of Buggies the best and nicest kind for Spring use.

If you want a nice Buggy for Easter come and see us.

Harness, Plows Wagons, Wire and a hundred other things that you use on the farm.

## COBLE-BRADSHAW CO.,

Burlington, N. C. North Carolina

One \$1.00

Will bring The Twice-A-Week Dispatch to your door twice-a-week twelve months

PAY Your SUBSCRIPTION.

### THAW PETITIONS FOR BAIL.

Habeas Corpus Plea Granted to Harry K. Thaw by Lower Court—Text of Decision.

Concord, N. H., April 14.—Harry K. Thaw's petition for a writ of habeas corpus was granted by Judge Edgar Aldrich, of the United States District Court today.

The court said, however, that no order would be issued for the prisoner's discharge from custody until arrangements had been completed to take the case to the United States Supreme Court on appeal.

Thaw's petition for admission to bail the court left undetermined, telling the ground that it could be more appropriate for this to be passed upon by the Supreme Court.

The result of the decision is that Thaw's imprisonment, and extradition to the United States, the present basis for his petition for a writ of habeas corpus, will go to the highest court of the land with a decision of the lower court in his favor.

### THE SUPREMACY OF CASE.

The Thaw case, as it is being called, is the first case in which the Supreme Court has been asked to decide the question of the supremacy of the Federal Government over the States.

The court said that the Constitution is the supreme law of the land, and that the Federal Government is the only one authorized to exercise the powers granted to it.

The court also said that the States are not sovereign, but that they are members of a single nation, and that their powers are derived from the Federal Government.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

upon a charge of crime for the ultimate purpose of recommitment in the Mattawan hospital as an insane and dangerous person.

"I think a reasonable interpretation of the entire proceedings including the statement of counsel, 'We expect to take this man back; we expect to try him and punish him for his crime and when he has taken his punishment for his crime we expect to put him where he belongs and where he will not be a menace to the public' is at least the sole purpose of the court to punish for the supposed crime of conspiracy to escape from quarantine."

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

The court's decision is a landmark one, and it is expected that it will have a profound effect on the future of the Union.

The court also said that the Federal Government has the right to regulate interstate commerce, and that the States have no right to interfere with this power.

### PROHIBITIONERS ASK TO VOTE.

Hobson Says Time Is Ripe for Submission of Question as 55 Per Cent of Country Is Dry.

Washington, April 15.—Prohibition advocates today presented to the House Judiciary Committee arguments in support of a constitutional amendment to enforce prohibition throughout the United States. They urged the committee to report to the House the resolution of Representative Hobson, of Alabama, providing for the submission to the States for ratification of a constitutional amendment directed against the sale or manufacture for sale of all alcoholic liquors for beverage purposes.

For three hours men and women representing States all over the East presented their arguments and told of the progress of the prohibition fight. Tomorrow they will appear before the committee of the Senate Judiciary Committee in chambers of the United States Capitol.

Led by Representative Hobson, they urged the committee to report to the House the resolution of Representative Hobson, of Alabama, providing for the submission to the States for ratification of a constitutional amendment directed against the sale or manufacture for sale of all alcoholic liquors for beverage purposes.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

The committee will report to the House tomorrow, and the House will vote on the amendment.

### UNDER SEALED COVERS.

Officers and Men Landed from Fleet to Bid Relatives and Friends Goodbye at Norfolk.

Norfolk, Va., April 15.—Bount to Mexico to force Huerta to salute the Stars and Stripes, nine American warships steamed out of Hampton Roads at noon today. Tonight they are 64 miles below Cape Henry in a dense fog, and with a northeast storm approaching. The ships are the battleships Arkansas, New Hampshire, Vermont, New Jersey, the dispatch boat Yankton, the tender Lebanon and the naval tugs Patuxent, Sonoma and Patuxent. Every one of them carry a full supply of ammunition and provisions enough to last three months. The tugs are equipped with three-inch rapid fire guns, and carry a number of rifles, pistols and small arms ammunition.

Prior to the departure of the ships from Hampton Roads, the officers and many enlisted men went ashore at Old Point to bid goodbye to relatives. There were many touching scenes engaged in the parting ceremonies. It recalled some of the officers of the Spanish-American war and the families around the waterfront the fleet was leaving the Roads administration.

Four Admiral Beards, who for the moment has his flag at the Arkansas, spent several hours ashore with relatives and friends. He was also in conference with Rear Admiral Fiske, who broadcast coded orders from the fleet for the commanders-in-chief of the Atlantic fleet. What these orders were not known even to "Beard's" brother until his ship was well out to sea.

The fleet is expected to have excellent fuel (several tons) to the course to be taken. Huerta's fleet to fire a salute to the American flag in recognition of the fleet. He offered it, and the United States bluejackets and other parts of the fleet to salute the flag.

All of the morning was spent in getting the ships ready for sea. Boxes of lunches and busses carried provisions to the ships in the Roads. Tons of mail were taken out in busses, while the Yankton busied herself in helping sailors to carry fresh supplies.

The Auxiliary Vestal which was to have gone with the fleet was at the last moment ordered to remain behind with the torpedo boat Cummings, the tug Lebanon, Cassin and Truxtun.

Rear Admiral Badger declined to discuss the Mexican situation. He said the Atlantic fleet was in good condition, and that it would be ready to carry out any service required of it.

"We do not know what we will be called upon to do," he said, "but we are ready."

Rear Admiral Fiske, who left the Arkansas a few minutes before she set under way, declined to talk. He returned to Old Point and it was said that he would proceed to Washington tonight.

Until the weather clears the fleet will maintain a speed of 10 knots an hour. After that they are expected to average 15 knots.

They expect to reach Mexico in six or a half days.

Free Medical Aid to Poor. Chicago, April 15.—A gift of \$1,000 from James Deering to Wesley Hospital medical school, announced here today, will be used in establishing clinics to furnish medical aid to the poor.

Race Riot in Missouri. Sedalia, Mo., April 14.—A race riot here was quelled only after the local apartment was ordered out to aid the police and sheriff's deputies drive the combatants to their homes.

Man Fears He Will Do Harm; Asks Arrest. Wilmington, Del., April 14.—Declared that he wished to be arrested and placed in custody because he feared he would either harm himself or somebody else, a man who said he was George S. Hall, of 659 Main Avenue, Clifton, N. J., approached two policemen and asked to be put in jail.

He says he has a wife and children.

Fire Again Destroys School. Hagerstown, Md., April 14.—For the second time in three weeks the High School at Wolfsville tonight was burned out, desks, books and other equipment being totally destroyed. Since the destruction of the first high school building, which has been conducted in a building owned by Jacob Wray-Feltz. Both fires started on the inside of the buildings and it is thought both were the work of incendiaries.

Auto Lynchers Driven Away by Fire Fighters. Canon City, Colo., April 14.—Masked and armed fifty men in automobiles seized Sheriff Newcomb, and armed with axes and ropes marched on the county jail with the avowed intention of lynching Charles England, colored, under arrest charged with the murder of Joseph Petty, a Florence merchant.

Mrs. Newcomb, the sheriff's wife, turned in the general fire alarm and telephoned to Warden Tynan at the State prison. Members of the fire department and half a dozen prison guards, armed with shotguns, drove off the invaders.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

Further on Judge Aldrich says: It is strongly urged by counsel for the petitioner in this case that the real and substantial purpose of the extradition sought is not to punish the supposed misdemeanor involved in the escape but to secure a return of the petitioner under extradition power.

POOR