

Dr. Bennett Smedes, principal of St. Mary's School, died early yesterday morning of pneumonia. He had been sick only a few days and his death was very unexpected, and caused much sadness throughout the city.

Mother's Trained Friend Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets. Prepare the System for Condemned, Shorten Labor and make Child-birth Easy.

We have received several letters from friends asking us to change their papers, and they failed to give the name of the office from which they want it changed. This makes a great deal of work for us, as we have to give over thousands of names sometimes to find it. So please do not fail to give the office you want it changed from as well as to, and don't fail to send in a new subscriber.

If the Baby is Cutting Teeth. be sure and use that old and well tried remedy, Mrs. WILSON'S SQUAW VINE WINE or TABLETS. It soothes the child, softens the stool, and cures the pain, cures wind colic and is the best remedy for diarrhoea. Twenty-five cents per bottle.

HOW IS THIS ANYHOW?

Somebody Has not Carried Fair. The News and Observer says: Two years ago, the legislature voted \$500, to buy a portrait of Vance. It was stated on the floor of the House that Mrs. V. S. Lusk would give \$500 if the legislature would give the other \$500, the portrait being priced at \$1,000. With this understanding the \$500 was appropriated. Now Mrs. Garry says that she has only received the \$500 appropriated by the House, and she will ask the legislature to pay her the money she originally asked for the picture.

We do not wish to believe anything we see or hear. If the above is true, the people of the State have been laboring under a big mistake and the time has come for the matter to be settled and settled right. A telegram from V. S. Lusk says: "The Vance portrait was paid for in full when sold to the State and not the State nor Mrs. Lusk owes Mrs. Garry one cent on same."

The Life Preserver which has carried many ladies safely over the dangerous sea "Change of Life" is Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

THE OFFICE-HOLDING LOBYIST.

Has His Arm in the Treasury up to his Elbow. The Lenoir Topic, a Democratic paper in Western North Carolina, begins to see with thousands of others, and its editor has the moral backbone to say what he thinks and knows. He says: The office-holding lobbyist is getting in his work at Raleigh as usual, and has his arm in the treasury up to his elbow. The people must elect men to the legislature who have little sympathy for the hard-run taxpayers instead of lavishing it all up on men drawing big fat salaries. The \$10,000 which has been appropriated for a textile school is robbing people liberally for the benefit of those who are well able to pay for any acquirements they may wish. If every factory in the State were not a better textile school than any that is likely to be set up, there might be some excuse for thus voting away the hard earnings of the taxpayers.

A Sluggish Liver causes Drowsiness, Lethargy and a feeling of Apathy. Dr. M. A. Simmons Liver Medicine arouses the Liver, and cheerful energy succeeds sluggishness. The Visiting Committee from the General Assembly has come and gone, and glad, yes, proud, are we of the enthusiasm and solicitude shown by them for the State's "great motor," the University. We feel sure that they realized the great work we are doing and also our most pressing needs and there is no doubt but that all their efforts for the advancement of the University will be most hearty and generous. Many of them felt the warm reverential love that fills the hearts of all students for their Alma Mater and vivid and enjoyable were the memories that filled their minds with pleasant thoughts and made young their hearts.

Sadness has indeed spread its camp in our hearts and truly it forms a solemn, yet majestic draping for the monuments of love and respect we have raised in our hearts to the memory of Dr. John Manning, LL. D. Death, no respecter of age, or of rank, or of worth lay his withering hand upon a noble, generous, charitable, loving, conscientious, fair-minded soul, and robbed a family of a worthy parent, the town of an ideal citizen, the State of an able jurist and a conscientious politician, and the University of a professor who won the hearts of all the students, and whose thorough and clear instruction has long since gained an enviable wide-spread reputation for our Law School. His soul so good, so noble, so generous, sought for other abodes, but it goes to show in our hearts, his deeds of kindness and charity and love, his perfect conscientious fulfillment of duty to his State and to his country, perpetuating his memory down the aisle of time.

Chapel Hill was not forgotten by the mow storm and cold weather, and we can once more almost try to outery the feathered tribe so glad are we also that the warm sunshine is again our visitor. The Choral Society, of Chapel Hill, gave a spring concert in every respect. Prof. Harrington was director, and he deserves much credit for the excellent quality of the music and the marked degree of proficiency shown by the voices he has trained. Such a society not only gives pleasure to others, but it goes to show how high a plane of civilization exists under the shadow of our University. J. M. H.

THE STATE LEGISLATURE.

WHAT THE LAWMAKERS ARE DOING FOR THE STATE.

Some Few Things we See and Hear. With Such Comments as Will be of General Interest.

FUSE OVER THE PIE. These "patriots" here are just like the fusionists—they fuse over the pie. When they can't settle it they refer it to the dough tray—the caucus. They charge each other with conspiracy in the divide of the spoils. They would have had a hot time of it in the Senate over the election of the Deaf mute school directors, but Mr. Osborne poured oil on the matter and it was sent to the caucus. In that, perhaps the friends of the candidates can "fuse" and divide up the spoils, by fusing or making some other place for them. Mr. Osborne is the brains of the concern, anyway.

THE WILSONS' CASE

This is a bigger thing than many think. The people are watching it. A majority of all parties believe the Governor did right. The evidence they have gotten so far has not changed them. The report agreed upon by the Committee is a long nothing. Smart men ought to be able to get up a better one. A short nothing is better than a long nothing. The multitude of words used tend more to mystify than to amplify. The smart members of the House and wise Senators could not understand it. And somehow the committee did not want the evidence published in connection with the report. Their excuse was, it would cost too much. Glenn, who was ready to vote \$100,000 for a new criminal insane asylum, said it would break the State to publish it. The fuss began when it was reported to a joint session of the General Assembly. They wanted to reinstate J. W., but none wanted S. Otho, but they could not get around it in the report, and at all appear consistent. On this rock the split seemed to begin. The motion was to adopt the report of the committee: "Mr. Thompson, of Onslow, hoped the motion would not prevail. He, for one, was not prepared to vote. He had given the evidence some study and he was sorry he had not been able to come to the same conclusions as had been reached by the committee. "It looks like an effort is being made to sweep the adoption of this resolution over us. It should be done. So far as I have been able to learn, both the Wilsons are guilty and ought to have been turned out. Such evidence as I have had teaches me that these men are not fitted to be impartial jurors between the people of North Carolina and the railroads. And I tell you here and now, if you insist on a vote at this time I shall vote against the adoption of this resolution over us. "I may be wrong, I may not. I want the matter to go over that I may look into it. If the governor has rightfully removed these men I shall stand by his action. If not I shall vote to sustain this report. "From such evidence as I've been able to get one rate was granted to these Commissioners and another to the people. If we can't have any better Commissioners than that let's repeal the Railroad Commission act and get rid of the whole thing." (Applaud.)

This kinder showed which way the wind "blowd"—it was mostly wind. Then Mr. Hicks said: "The people are more interested in this matter than in any other, except White Supremacy." Of course they are the same, both are based upon the hope that it will give Democrats office. On this rock the endorsement was postponed. The Observer says, they "acted wisely" in postponing the vote, as there were "sharp differences of opinion."

The Observer had hoped the wise lawyers could have "devised a plan to have the matter adjusted in the courts." But in this, it was deceived. Said if the matter could be settled by a jury then the "cases of Maj. Wilson and S. Otho Wilson could have been heard on their separate merits." Then the Observer says: "While never joining in any persecution of Major Wilson, it is our conviction that it was not seemly for him as Railroad Commissioner to borrow money from Col. Andrews or through a bank with Col. Andrews or any other railroad officer as endorsing liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

THE ARMY BILL.

THE HOUSE BILL CANNOT PASS THE SENATE.

The Nicaragua Bill as a Refuse to River and Harbor. The Defeat of the Other Means the Defeat of the Other. Summary and Reply against Other Matters.

WASHINGTON, D. C., Feb. 20th, 1899. Today the bill for the re-organization of the army was taken up in the Senate. There is strong opposition to its passage and the Democrats, through Senator Cockerell, have offered a compromise measure, providing for the continuance of the law under which the voluntary army was organized, until 1901. This compromise or something of a similar nature will have to be accepted or else the bill will be defeated. The administration threatens however, to call an extra session to pass the army bill, but the Republicans want to avoid an extra session if possible, and may accept a compromise. The fight as to the relative deserts of Admirals Sampson and Schley will probably be renewed in the Senate in executive session this week. Some time ago the Senate, by resolution, called upon the Secretary of the Navy the funniest reasons why Sampson, who was ten miles away when the great battle occurred at Santiago, should be promoted to higher rank than Admiral Schley, who bore the brunt of the battle. Sampson is a favorite at the Navy Department and this is the supposed reason for the partiality shown him. Secretary Long, in his report to the Senate, characterizes the conduct of Schley as "reprehensible," but it will be difficult to convince the country that Sampson is entitled to greater honor and credit for the great Naval victory.

The House Committee on Accounts has recommended a change in the seats of the House, and if the report is adopted, benches will be substituted for seats, similar to those in the House of Commons. The Senate Committee on Commerce has reported the Kiver and Harbor bill which recently passed the House. The Senate Committee has tacked on the Nicaragua Canal bill as rider, and this may endanger the passage of a River and Harbor bill this session. The Mothers Congress has been in session here this week. Owing to the severe blizzard, the reception by the North Carolina society had to be postponed. The Naval Appropriation bill has passed, and the Senate will complete the Post-office Appropriation bill early this week. Senator Jerome Jones, National Chairman of the Democratic Committee in a lengthy interview in the Washington Post, says that the prospect of victory of the silver forces in 1900 is very bright indeed. He says that the Chicago platform will be adopted without modification, and that the financial question, trusts and monopolies will be the leading issues. The President will give a public reception on the 23d. Col. William J. Bryan will be the guest of honor of the Virginia Democratic Association here on the 22d. He will be the principal speaker of the occasion.

A Wonderful Discovery. The last quarter of a century records more wonderful discoveries in medicine than none that have accomplished more for humanity than that sterling old household remedy, Brown's Iron Bitter. It seems to contain the very elements of good health, and neither man, woman or child can take it without deriving the greatest benefit. Brown's Iron Bitter is sold by all dealers.

Democrats Dodge the Question. A bill having been introduced in the Legislature for the establishment of a dispensary for Sampson County, the question came up for consideration by the Committee on last Thursday. There was a division before the committee appearing for and against the measure. The committee decided against the establishment of a dispensary and to recommend to the Legislature the proposition of the anti-dispensary crowd, that the County Commissioners order an election for the purpose of taking a vote on the question. The question is whether or not, during the year 1899 and that the question be decided by a majority of the votes cast. There excuse for taking the question to the Legislature is that of the people of the county are not in favor of the measure, then the Democratic Party would be held responsible for this inflection upon the people.

To head off the argument that the People's Party might take advantage of this situation and use it as a political issue against the Democrats, every County officer and numerous Populists signed the petition asking the Legislature for the establishment of a dispensary as the most practical means of dealing with the liquor question. The Democratic Party is for saloons, but does not want to openly say so. It is hypocritical about this question just as it is about the negro racket. If they are for white men only, and do not want anything in their mixed, why didn't they defer to a majority of the white people in the county. This is a moral question, and one which should be acted upon in accordance with the views of the best people of the county.

This was a time for Democrats to put their professions into practice. Instead of that, there has been evidence shown that should be plain to every body—that the average Democrat politician is a fraud and a traveesty upon the name of good government.—The Clinton Caucasian.

Beauty is Blood Deep. Clean blood means a clean skin. No beauty without it. Cascarets Candy Cathartic clean your blood and keep it clean, by stirring up the lazy liver and driving all impurities from the body. Begin to-day to banish pimples, boils, blotches, blackheads, and that sickly bilious complexion by taking Cascarets—beauty for ten cents. All drug stores, satisfaction guaranteed, 10c, 25c, 50c.

Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Drinking and Drunkenness Considered a Slander. During the consideration of Judge Norwood's impeachment for drunkenness, somehow, in some way, a "slanderous report" got circulated against Judge Brown to the effect that on a certain occasion, he drank and was under the influence of intoxicating liquor. In consequence of this, Representative White of Davie, introduced a resolution in the House to have the charged investigated. This was a shock to the members; and the Democrats jumped on the resolution with all feet. White, in the meantime, was severely denounced in no friendly terms. Strong talk was had about introducing a resolution to have White impeached as a member of the House. The matter was somewhat investigated before a committee. Some evidence tended to substantiate the charge, while many of the Judge's friends testified that they saw and were with the Judge at the time and that he was not drinking, and that he did not drink at all. White's witnesses did not testify positively; They had heard the report. The Judge's friends became more and more indignant. From the evidence produced, or some other cause, Mr. White withdrew his resolution, and finally apologized, saying he had been misled. Hence to the delight of all, the matter was dropped and the Judge returned to his office. The public was warned not to slander a good man by saying he drinks or gets drunk again; thus making the drinking or drunkenness of an official a slander in North Carolina. How long this will last and how generally it will be considered, remains to be seen. If saying a man drinks, slanders him, then if he drinks, he slanders himself. Don't wreck a Life! From Rhode to Womanhood the monthly courses should be regulated with Simmons Squaw Vine Wine or Tablets.

Women Must Take It.

Thousands Rely on Paine's Celery Compound to Keep Them Well.

Do you suffer with periodical headaches? Do you begin to complain of sleeplessness? Have you already begun to have spells of dizziness? Does constipation or dyspepsia in any of its many forms warn you of the inevitable oncoming of general nervousness or debility? By all means go to your druggist and get a bottle of the most wonderful restorative within the reach of woman-kind today—get a bottle of Paine's Celery Compound, and as thousands of other ailing, half-dependent, suffering women have done before you, and as thousands more must do, you will find in its peculiar curative qualities the womanly strength and health that you desire.

Take Paine's Celery Compound and the liver will resume its normal functions, biliousness will disappear; the digestion and the proper assimilation of food will begin at once, dizziness and constipation will no longer trouble you, wasting nervous tissues will regain their healthy tone, the blood will clear itself of its impurities, and you will no longer fear the breaking down that comes to so many women early in life. Miss Amelia Stegman, as Secretary of the famous North Side Woman's Club of Chicago, has been an eye-witness to the good results that follow the use of this famous remedy. "For the last six years," she says, "I have had ample opportunity to observe the good results obtained from the use of Paine's Celery Compound, as our medical advisers frequently prescribe it. Our members all reside at the club house, and as they are all business women they frequently feel the need of a remedy for building up a run-down condition. We have it constantly on hand. "Thinking you may be glad to know that Paine's Celery Compound is appreciated by our club women," she writes to the proprietors, "I take pleasure in sending you this unadorned testimonial. Many women are in need of nothing but Paine's Celery Compound to make them strong and well, and if after reading the many published testimonials from people in every walk of life whom it has restored to health, there is the remotest doubt in anyone's mind of its unexampled efficacy, there is this to be said, that after all, the best test of Paine's Celery Compound is to try it. "Paine's Celery Compound has never failed to give relief. It has made thousands of people well.

Do you suffer with periodical headaches? Do you begin to complain of sleeplessness? Have you already begun to have spells of dizziness? Does constipation or dyspepsia in any of its many forms warn you of the inevitable oncoming of general nervousness or debility? By all means go to your druggist and get a bottle of the most wonderful restorative within the reach of woman-kind today—get a bottle of Paine's Celery Compound, and as thousands of other ailing, half-dependent, suffering women have done before you, and as thousands more must do, you will find in its peculiar curative qualities the womanly strength and health that you desire.

Take Paine's Celery Compound and the liver will resume its normal functions, biliousness will disappear; the digestion and the proper assimilation of food will begin at once, dizziness and constipation will no longer trouble you, wasting nervous tissues will regain their healthy tone, the blood will clear itself of its impurities, and you will no longer fear the breaking down that comes to so many women early in life. Miss Amelia Stegman, as Secretary of the famous North Side Woman's Club of Chicago, has been an eye-witness to the good results that follow the use of this famous remedy. "For the last six years," she says, "I have had ample opportunity to observe the good results obtained from the use of Paine's Celery Compound, as our medical advisers frequently prescribe it. Our members all reside at the club house, and as they are all business women they frequently feel the need of a remedy for building up a run-down condition. We have it constantly on hand. "Thinking you may be glad to know that Paine's Celery Compound is appreciated by our club women," she writes to the proprietors, "I take pleasure in sending you this unadorned testimonial. Many women are in need of nothing but Paine's Celery Compound to make them strong and well, and if after reading the many published testimonials from people in every walk of life whom it has restored to health, there is the remotest doubt in anyone's mind of its unexampled efficacy, there is this to be said, that after all, the best test of Paine's Celery Compound is to try it. "Paine's Celery Compound has never failed to give relief. It has made thousands of people well.

Do you suffer with periodical headaches? Do you begin to complain of sleeplessness? Have you already begun to have spells of dizziness? Does constipation or dyspepsia in any of its many forms warn you of the inevitable oncoming of general nervousness or debility? By all means go to your druggist and get a bottle of the most wonderful restorative within the reach of woman-kind today—get a bottle of Paine's Celery Compound, and as thousands of other ailing, half-dependent, suffering women have done before you, and as thousands more must do, you will find in its peculiar curative qualities the womanly strength and health