

SCHOOL OPENING IS POSTPONED

The Unfinished State Of The New Schools Necessitates A Delay Of Two Weeks

\$100,000 OF BOND MONEY NEARLY GONE

School Commissioners of City Express Themselves Strongly on the Situation Between City and County Regarding Fines From The City Court

The decision to postpone the opening day was reached by the board of school commissioners last night after it was demonstrated that to prepare the new buildings for the opening on the regular date would be impossible.

The commissioners have been informed by the contractors that the work cannot be finished before the middle of September and one contractor informed the commissioners that he would not think of turning over the building he was constructing to the city school board under another month, even if school should be started in the same building, that the work was incomplete and he would not turn over the job in such condition to the city.

AS TO RECORDER'S FEES

The commissioners expressed themselves strongly last night on the question of city court fees, etc., which for the present go for the most part to the county. The question came up on a request from the county authorities that the city schools should charge pupils from outside the limits only \$5 per annum, instead of \$17, which is the actual cost to the city a year for each pupil enrolled in the city schools.

AS TO COURT FEES

As to the court fees the commissioners thought that the county held the big end of the horn in that matter, getting the major portion of the revenues derived from the city court, while the machinery of the court, etc., was all supported by the city taxpayers. The board was adverse to giving the county any advantages in the matter of tuition.

BOND MONEY NEARLY GONE

The financial status of the board showed that the \$100,000 bond money has all been placed, with a surplus of about \$2,000 unused. The board has paid out from bond receipts about \$66,662 and owes on new buildings \$33,337, so that the proceeds of the bond sales amounting to slightly over \$100,000 have been all but exhausted.

GRAND JURY POINTS OUT NEED OF JAIL

Mecklenburg Grand Jury Recommendations To Court And County Commissioners

The grand jury of the present criminal term of Superior Court made its report to Judge Daniels yesterday afternoon and was discharged. The report came during the trial of a case and Judge Daniels probably did not make as many comments as he would otherwise have done.

REPORT OF GRAND JURY

"We, the grand jurors of the county of Mecklenburg of the criminal term of the Superior Court of the August term, 1912, beg leave to submit the following report:

"We have acted on all bills handed to us by the solicitor and have found 139 true bills and 6 not true bills. We have also made presentments of cases of crime which has come under our observation.

COUNTY CONVICT CAMPS

"We visited by committee the Owens county convict camp and found 28 colored and two white prisoners, with one female colored prisoner. We found the sanitary condition to be good, the health of the prisoners to be good and the convicts well fed and clothed. We heard no complaint from any of the convicts at this camp.

RECOMMEND NEW JAIL

"We visited the jail in a body and found 49 prisoners confined there. Among them were 46 colored, two white men and one white woman. We recommend that the apartment for white women be enlarged. We found the sanitary condition as good as could be expected in this structure.

JOHN L. MILLER, Foreman.

The present grand jury will serve the remainder of this year and will be in session at every criminal term of court until the first of the year.

SUFFRAGETTE MOVEMENT ON IN METHODIST CONFERENCE

NASHVILLE, Tenn., Aug. 24.—Preparatory to the next meeting of the General Conference of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South in 1914, those favoring giving women equal rights and privileges with the laymen in the church are endeavoring to have all the annual conferences in the meantime give consideration to the question of the status of the women in the various churches of the world. At present women cannot sit as delegates in the conferences of the church and have no vote in shaping the policies of the church, though friends of the equal rights movement urge they raise annually hundreds of thousands of dollars for the church's activities.

REPUBLICANS ISSUE CALL FOR MEETING

Chairman Being Bull Moose, Other Republicans Unite In Calling Convention

Owing to the failure of Mr. Jake F. Newell, the former chairman of the Republican county executive committee to issue a call for a county convention, five members of the executive committee, the acting chairman and the acting secretary, have issued the following call, pursuant to the action of a recent conference:

Owing to the failure of Jake F. Newell, chairman of the Republican executive committee of Mecklenburg county, to call a meeting of the committee for the purpose of naming the time and place for our Republican county convention, we, the undersigned five members of the executive committee, and many other Republicans of Mecklenburg county, hereby call a mass convention to be held at Charlotte, N. C., at the court house, in said city, on Saturday, August 31, 1912, at 2:30 o'clock p. m., for the purpose of reorganizing the party in this county electing a county chairman and members of the executive committee to serve for the next two years, electing delegates and alternates to the State convention, which meets in the city of Charlotte, N. C., on September 4, 1912, and for any further business that may come before it.

Only white voters of this county, who endorse the nomination of William Howard Taft for President, and James S. Sherman for Vice President, and pledge their loyal support to their re-election and declare their faith and belief in the principles of the Republican party as enunciated in the platform adopted at the Chicago convention held June 18, 1912, will be allowed to participate.

Executive Committee—W. T. Houston, W. T. Alexander, R. W. Smith, G. C. L. Junker, J. L. Pope.

JAMES McDONALD, Acting Chairman. D. P. PAUL, Acting Secretary.

ZION CITY RESTAURANT TO SERVE PORK AND OYSTERS

ZION CITY, Ills., Aug. 24.—William Glenn Vollva, overseer in Zion City, last night seized another opportunity to give expression to his wrath regarding the path in which his followers shall walk. This time the overseer did not scold his followers but forbade them patronizing a restaurant which has been started in the town and whose keeper not only serves pork to his customers but announces that oysters will appear on the bill of fare in season.

These articles of food among those forbidden by the late John Alexander Dowie to be served within the city's borders when he founded Zion City. The man who owns the restaurant halls from Antioch, Ills., "No son of satan from Antioch can come into Zion and disobey the rules of the institution without feeling the heavy hand of my wrath," said Vollva. "I promise you that the Lord will wreak a terrible vengeance upon him."

DISCOVERS PLOT TO KILL CONGRESSMAN

Would Slay Johnson of Kentucky To Head Off Proposed Legislation

WASHINGTON, Aug. 24.—An alleged scheme to kill Representative Johnson of Kentucky, chairman of the House committee on the District of Columbia, so as to head off certain proposed legislation, has been disclosed to Mr. Johnson and a sworn statement has put the Kentuckian and his friends on guard.

"I know all about it," said Mr. Johnson today, "but I'd rather not say what interests are back of the idea."

The affidavit of a Washington man, detailing a conversation he heard on a street car, in which one of those talking said he had been following Mr. Johnson for three nights to kill him, is locked up today in the office of Speaker Clark.

"The story is absolutely true," said Mr. Johnson.

"Who are the parties?" he was asked.

"I don't care to say now."

"What do you propose to do?" "Nothing, unless there is an attempt to execute the threat. I have all the facts, but I don't want to go into details."

EXPRESS COMPANIES INDICTED

WASHINGTON, Aug. 24.—The Adams and the American Express companies, indicted on charges of violations of the interstate commerce act, must face trial. There is no escape through pleadings that they are not corporations or combinations within the meaning of the law. This in effect was the decision of Justice Hazel of Buffalo, in a decision today in the case begun by the Interstate Commerce Commission against the two companies alleging overcharges and granting unlawful concessions.

Very recently Judge Hollister, in the United States district court at Cincinnati, ordered a similar indictment against the Adams company quashed because, he held, the company, being merely a stock association and not a corporation, could not be indicted. He held that it would be necessary for the Interstate Commerce Commission to secure the indictment of individual stockholders in order to maintain a case on court.

The commission realizes that such a proceeding would be impracticable. Judge Hazel held that the indictments brought at Buffalo were sound because in law the express companies are legal entities and indictable, as such under the interstate commerce act. The commission will press the case.

OLD CHURCH HAS ENJOYED JEKYLL AND HIDE EXISTENCE

CHICAGO, Aug. 24.—It developed before the tax reviewing board here yesterday that an old church has had a "Dr. Jekyll and Mr. Hyde" existence here for the last seven years, and therefore the city has received no taxes on the property.

To make matters worse, the place has been used as a dance hall and saloon during most of the time. Each year the reviewers have passed the property as exempt because of its description to them as "church property."

The truth was learned when persons living in neighborhood appeared before the board and complained of the place being a nuisance. A Swedish singing society, which has had the lease, was ordered by the board to pay the seven-years back taxes.

CONGRESS IS READY TO ADJOURN TODAY

Murdock May Block Plans By Raising Question Of Lack Of Quorum

WASHINGTON, Aug. 24.—Leaders of both houses went to the Capitol today prepared to fight out their program for adjournment sine die late in the afternoon. Only one possible obstacle stood in the way—Representative Victor Murdock and his point of order, no quorum, which forced the House to adjourn last night without acting on the postoffice appropriation bill. Assurances had been given, however, that Mr. Murdock would not press the point again. There probably is not a quorum of either branch in the city and if the point were insisted upon, adjournment today would be out of the question.

Mr. Murdock's fight against the conference report on the postal appropriation bill, providing payment of not more than \$35,000 to the St. Louis Terminal Association for carrying mails across the Eads bridge, collapsed today, when the report was adopted with only Mr. Murdock voting against it. This the leaders said practically assured adjournment of Congress before night.

Some of the Senate amendments to the general deficiency bill were disagreed to by the House and the bill was sent back for further adjustment. Representatives Cannon, Fitzgerald and Sisson were named as managers for the House. No serious delay was expected.

PLEADS SAME JAG WHEN ARRESTED FOR DRUNKENNESS

NYACK, N. Y., Aug. 24.—A novel point of law has been raised here by Benjamin Bryant, a one-time lawyer, who was arraigned before Justice Levison today charged with drunkenness. He had been before the same court on Thursday on the same charge and released.

"You are charged with being drunk," said the magistrate, when Bryant appeared the second time.

"What have you to say?"

"Your honor," answered Bryant, "this is the same 'jag' and the constitution says that no man can be placed in jeopardy twice for the same offense."

"The point is well taken," said the judge. "You are discharged."

\$100,000 to Children.

PHILADELPHIA, Aug. 24.—The will of William G. Fisher, music publisher and hymn writer, who died last week, leaves an estate of over \$100,000 to his four children.

STAIN OF CORRUPTION IS ON HIS FOREHEAD

E. A. Van Valkenburg Throws Down The Gauntlet To Senator Penrose

PHILADELPHIA, Aug. 24.—E. A. Van Valkenburg, editor of The North American, has issued an open letter to Senator Penrose, in which he gives his version of the prosecution for bribery brought against him 18 years ago, which was referred to by the Senator in his address to the Senate on Wednesday last.

In the letter Mr. Van Valkenburg declares that the prosecution was the result of a conspiracy and tells of efforts to get the case on trial. "Confronted with the exposure a trial would have inevitably caused of the crime and the corruption of your friends," the letter continued, "your State machine ordered the abandonment of the prosecution, and it was abandoned of record. That was without my request or consent or even knowledge when it was consummated. Under the cover of a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned criminal charge of 18 years ago that your friends bought a convicted perjurer to institute the cover of a claim of personal privilege you addressed your colleagues in an attempted explanation of your unquestioned guilt. You availed yourself of the opportunity to make an assailable record by making a false statement respecting the abandoned