

# THE CHARLOTTE NEWS.

VOLUME VII.

Seigle's March 14  
1892

Carpets! Carpets!

Ingrains. Tapestry  
Body Brussels.

Rugs. Rugs. Rugs.

FUR, SMYRNA, DA-  
GESTAN.

Art Squares.

Art Squares,  
IN ALL SIZES.

Remnants in Carpet all  
marked and measured at  
half price. They are going  
rapidly, so if you want  
a short length you had better  
call early.

This is positively the  
last week of cut prices. A  
word to the wise is sufficient.

T. L. Seigle & Co.

No. 11 W. Trade St., 1st St. from St.  
CHARLOTTE, N. C.

Call or write.

Left: Every article of good quality  
we possess is broken down to  
return to us at cost. We will  
call back to you at once. We prefer to  
have it to anything else.

By order of T. L. SEIGLE & CO.

Landreth's

GARDEN SEEDS.

PEAS, BEANS, CORN,  
Onion sets.

Burwell & Dunn,

WALESVILLE AND RETAIL

Druggists.



WE ARE MAKING A DISPLAY  
of some of the handsomest shoes that ever  
adorned feminine feet or ever will. If you  
are somewhat dainty and fastidious in your  
notions, if you believe that the natural out-  
lines of the foot should be preserved rather  
than modified with a view to achieve some  
style, to a sharply set and if you believe  
in comfort, as well as style, shoes that will  
promptly captivate your fancy are our new  
\$3 and \$3.50 shoes just received. You put  
in shoes as well as feet these shoes  
will give you more than your money back,  
for nothing cheaper in footwear has ever  
been made.

A. E. RANKIN & BRO.

CHARLOTTE, N. C., TUESDAY EVENING, MARCH 15, 1892

NUMBER 1098

## NOTES WELCOME.

The Grand Democratic Leader, Senator Wm. H. Seward, was born in Mississippi.

**Increase of Business**  
**Increase of stock**  
Central Location, etc., has ne-  
cessitated increase of clerical  
force by the addition of another  
experienced man.

We have a thorough-  
ly competent force of Prescription  
and General trade.

Respectfully,

A. E. REESE & CO'S.

## THE GENERAL RECRUITER.

Proceedings in the Court at Meridian, Miss., March 14.

Meridian, Miss., March 14.—A hearing yesterday of the application of Mrs. Rowena Clark for the appointment of a receiver for the Central Railroad. Among those present asking for a receiver were Messrs. Johnson and Perry, Mr. Clark, Major A. O. Bacon, J. Jones, H. D. Cox, S. Woodruff and Martin Brown, of Hasseltown of Boston. The temporary receiver Alexander was present. Capt. Harry Jackson, attorney general, counsel for the railroad. Hon. J. M. Johnson, of Meridian, and Lawton and Cunningham of Jacksonville, presented the application. It was received. Among others present were Hardin and West of Marion, representing the minority shareholders of Savannah. Judge Davis A. James of Savannah and Tracy, factor of Mason for the Southwestern Railroad, A. Lewis of Savannah, shareholder, F. G. Dabington for the southern express company, J. B. Cummings of Augusta, for the tourist and express and diversity and Northern Railroads; Joseph Gandy of Augusta for the Georgia Rail and H. Miller of Augusta, for the Atlanta and Savannah express companies.

Among the directors present were George J. McLean of Savannah, and Mr. Hart of Meridian. Captain J. M. Connelly, agent of the Central, was also present.

When the case was opened Mr. Haynes asked permission to read the proceedings of his interview. The discussion was long and very interesting. The older members asked that and when they adjourned to the West Point, no resolution was taken of the cause, and they adjourned to Birmingham. The trial of the case of the appointed director of a permanent receiver. That the legal technicalities and void at the start, which up to the time of the sale held by the instrument. Term can be used. It was said that the Richmond had been incurred. It can be transferred these shares be taken possession of by the court and sold. At the conclusion of the hearing, Judge Speer asked if the case was ready, but defendant asked for more time, claiming that the proceedings must be made before it could be necessary for them to make a final answer. In continuation of the case to Mrs. Clark, Judge Speer said that it was very evident that neither side was ready and he urged the necessity for mailing of a complete answer to either that the case may go to trial on that day. He further stated that as far as he could see from the papers he could say with entire confidence that the payment would be made by the defendant.

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Senator Merrill Still Very Ill.

WASHINGTON, D. C., March 13.— Senator Merrill's condition this morning, so far as any danger is concerned, is practically unchanged from that of yesterday. Congestion of the right lung has disappeared and pneumonia has taken its place, the right being about involved. His attending physician says this morning that the fact that the disease has affected the right lung was very favorable for the patient, but that considering the age of Senator Merrill, pneumonia in any stage was a very serious matter. The Senator still continues cheerful and his spirits are as buoyant as ever, so that his physicians do not regard his case as altogether hopeless.

## Notes From Harrisburg.

The Young People's Missionary Society met last Sunday night at Harrisburg, 75 members being present. The programme consisted of essays and recitations and was well carried out. The visitors were delighted at the manifest interest and systematic good management of this organization.

Miss Claude Grier, with John and Fannie Harris, of Charlotte, spent Sunday at Rocky River.

## A Runaway This Morning.

A horse belonging to Mr. C. A. Black, created considerable excitement this morning by breaking loose from a dray and running up the sidewalk from near Wadsworth's stable, up to the Central hotel corner. The horse was badly scared by the shafts of the dray. No serious damage resulted.

## Not in Mr. Cochran's Agency.

To the editor of The News:

As there are three Phoenix Insurance companies, be kind enough to state that neither the Phoenix of Hartford Connecticut nor Phoenix of New York had anything to do with the case decided in court yesterday.

R. E. COCHRANE, Agent.

Charlotte, N. C., March 14, 1892.

## New Advertisements To-Day.

Carpets—Seigle & Co.  
For rent—R. E. Cochrane.  
Druggists—Thus Reese & Co.  
Blanket lost—Steam Laundry.  
Garden seeds—Burwell & Dunn.  
Trunks and Bags—Gilchrist & Co.  
Increase of business—A. B. Reese & Co.

Fancy New Pone P. Molasses at  
BONNEY & VAN NESS.

## NOTES WELCOME.

Proceedings in the Court at Meridian, Miss., March 14.

Meridian, Miss., March 14.—A hearing yesterday of the application of Mrs. Rowena Clark for the appointment of a receiver for the Central Railroad. Among those present asking for a receiver were Messrs. Johnson and Perry, Mr. Clark, Major A. O. Bacon, J. Jones, H. D. Cox, S. Woodruff and Martin Brown, of Hasseltown of Boston. The temporary receiver Alexander was present. Capt. Harry Jackson, attorney general, counsel for the railroad. Hon. J. M. Johnson, of Meridian, and Lawton and Cunningham of Jacksonville, presented the application. It was received.

Among others present were Hardin and West of Marion, representing the minority shareholders of Savannah. Judge Davis A. James of Savannah and Tracy, factor of Mason for the Southwestern Railroad, A. Lewis of Savannah, shareholder, F. G. Dabington for the southern express company, J. B. Cummings of Augusta, for the tourist and express and diversity and Northern Railroads; Joseph Gandy of Augusta for the Georgia Rail and H. Miller of Augusta, for the Atlanta and Savannah express companies.

When the case was opened Mr. Haynes asked permission to read the proceedings of his interview. The discussion was long and very interesting. The older members asked that and when they adjourned to the West Point, no resolution was taken of the cause, and they adjourned to Birmingham. The trial of the case of the appointed director of a permanent receiver. That the legal technicalities and void at the start, which up to the time of the sale held by the instrument. Term can be used. It was said that the Richmond had been incurred. It can be transferred these shares be taken possession of by the court and sold. At the conclusion of the hearing, Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

When the case was opened Mr. Haynes asked permission to read the proceedings of his interview. The discussion was long and very interesting. The older members asked that and when they adjourned to the West Point, no resolution was taken of the cause, and they adjourned to Birmingham. The trial of the case of the appointed director of a permanent receiver. That the legal technicalities and void at the start, which up to the time of the sale held by the instrument. Term can be used. It was said that the Richmond had been incurred. It can be transferred these shares be taken possession of by the court and sold. At the conclusion of the hearing, Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

Judge Speer gave the lawyers some questions to answer, they were submitted for the consideration of both sides and he asked them to be prepared on these questions when the case comes up on the 21st. The questions are as follows: Is the lease absolutely valid as charged, and not merely void if it cannot be enforced? What disposition should be made of the property in the hands of its receiver? Would it be competent for the court to issue a final decree to restore the property to the present board of directors of the Central assuming for the purpose of inquiry that the board is legal and not illegal as in the fall of 1887, and the election of another board as a necessity of the lease? And not merely voidable. Has the Central Railroad and Banking Company of Georgia any security for the payment of its dividends, for the safe keeping of its money, for the preservation of its property, and if in such case there be no such necessity, would it be competent for the court to evict an adequate security for the purposes mentioned with a view to avoid the expense of a receiver, and would lessees be willing if able, to give security to pay the dividends and protect the property as it is stipulated in the lease?

</div