

# THE CAROLINA JOURNAL

— Student Publication Of The University Of North Carolina At Charlotte —

Vol. V

Wednesday October 1, 1969

No. 3

## President Friday Announces Policy

In response to questions concerning the proposed moratorium planned for October 15, President Friday and the Chancellors have announced that the policy statement on the disruption adopted by the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees on July 7 reaffirms the rights of members of the university community to engage in free discussion, and peaceful demonstration and assembly.

Dr. Friday further states that class attendance will be a matter of concern to the students and the unit of the University in which they are enrolled. Trustee policy states, however, that any attempts to prevent students from attending classes constitutes a violation of the policy.

Participating in the proposed moratorium by members of the faculty and staff is not prohibited as long as such participating does not conflict with the performance of validly assigned duties. A willful refusal to meet assigned duties necessarily invokes the terms and conditions of the Trustee Policy.

The University will conduct its normal operations and functions on all campuses on October 15.

.....  
**See Related  
Editorial on  
Page 2**  
.....

# Legislature Adopts New Judicial Procedures

In a special call-meeting on Wednesday, Sept. 24, the Student Legislature approved previously tabled legislation allowing the establishment of Residence Hall Courts. The legislation, introduced by Rules Committee Chairman Robert Welch, was achieved by amending the U.N.C.-C Judicial Act of 1966.

The new Residence Hall Courts are appellate to the Student Court, meaning that the Student Court may hear any claims appealed from the Residence Hall Courts. Each Residence Hall Court has jurisdiction over the violation of rules particular to that Residence Hall, and houses within that Residence Hall.

The five judges of each Residence Hall Court are chosen by the following process: Elections are held in each house to nominate three candidates per house (One house equals two floors - about one hundred people). After nominations are completed, the judges are selected by the Chief Justice and the Lieutenant Justice of the Student Court, the Dean of Students, and the President of the S.G.A. Selection is based on interviews and recommendations.

The selection of Student Court judges differs from the selection process of the Residence Hall judges in that five Student Court judges are directly elected by the entire student body and the remaining two judges are appointed by the S.G.A. President. The two appointed judges must meet the approval of the Student Legislature.

The creation of the new court system requires no amendment to the S.G.A. Constitution because in Article VII Section 6 of the Constitution it is stated that, "The Student Court shall establish procedures for the efficient conduct of its business not

specified in nor in conflict with the action of the Student Legislature."

Another item removed from the table was the Publicity Rules of the S.G.A. Publicity Committee. The rules were tabled at the previous Legislature meeting because of controversy over a section of the rules which would prevent students and organizations from posting publicity until such publicity is officially approved by the Publicity Committee. The same type of opposition was evident at this meeting as one legislator referred to the approval-before-posting provision as "cumbersome" and "hyperbureaucratic". Gary Williams made a motion that the rules be sent back to the Publicity Committee and, after a second to the motion, a long discussion period followed.

S.G.A. President Bud Stewart pleaded with the Legislature to approve the rules and suggested that, if necessary for approval, modifications be made to the rules at the meeting. President Stewart also stated that outdated publicity is still on the bulletin boards and that citations will be given to students and organizations if they fail to remove outdated publicity. He then called upon Publicity Chairman Joe Davidson to show to the Legislature a certain poster which had been removed from a bulletin board by the Publicity Committee. To the surprise of all, the poster contained a large picture of two nudes but the glimpse was brief as Legislative Chairman Alan Hickok ordered the poster to be taken out of view. Shortly thereafter, Sophomore Representative Ed Wyson questioned the right of the Publicity Committee to decide what is or is not in good taste.

Joe Davidson, who also urged the approval of the rules, asked the Legislature if anyone had any suggestions for improvement. After more discussion, Mr. Davidson agreed with the prevailing sentiment that the rules be sent back to his committee. A vote was then taken and the motion to send the rules back to the Publicity Committee passed.

Judicial Committee Chairman Gary Williams introduced a motion to recharter the Cheerleaders and approve the constitution of the newly formed Soccer Club. Both of these organizations were approved unanimously. An interesting change has been made in the Cheerleaders Constitution which allows for a much broader election base of the Cheerleaders. Two delegates from each chartered organization will now be voting for Cheerleaders in addition to the regular electors.

Mr. Williams re-emphasized that all organizations must recharter by the October 6 meeting of the Legislature or they will lose the privilege to function on campus. After the October 6 meeting, the Finance Committee under Charlie Brown will send a letter to all rechartered organizations explaining the Legislature's allocation of money to certain organizations which sponsor an activity that is open and free to the entire student body.

The Legislature also concerned itself with elections and resignations. A motion, tabled at the previous Legislature meeting, which would have waived the 2.00 grade point average requirement for two legislators died because no motion was made to bring it off the table. Thus, the resignations of Gary Williams and Gordon Lawrence were to have been effective at the next meeting of

the Legislature. However, Gary Williams requested that his resignation become effective immediately so that his positions of Judicial Committee Chairman and Speaker Pro-Tem could be filled at the meeting. The Legislature granted his request and Robert Welch became the new Speaker Pro-Tem; Ronald Rogers became the new Chairman of the Judicial Committee. Both Rogers and Welch were approved by acclamation.

Mr. Williams and Mr. Lawrence were also President and Vice-President of the Sophomore class respectively. The resignation of another Sophomore legislator, Representative Dorothy Conley, had been announced at the beginning of the meeting.

Due to these vacant offices of Sophomore President, Vice-President, and Representative, a special election will be held October 6-8. A three-day election of this nature is unusual for this campus because in previous times, whenever there was a vacancy in the Legislature, a class meeting was held and the vacant offices were filled at the meeting. The difference this time was that, instead of following precedence, a dogmatic interpretation of election laws was attempted. The result was confusion. According to S.G.A. President Bud Stewart, the election regulation bill contradicted the By-Laws of the S.G.A. Constitution. Also, neither the S.G.A. By-Laws nor the election regulation bill (S.L. BILL 67-68-1) mention a precise procedure for dealing with vacant class offices. The By-Laws only mention that "the class shall elect a person to the office" in a "special election" which "shall be held within ten (10) class days following the recognition of the

(Continued on Page 8)

# Executive Committee Adopts Amendment to Policy Statement on Disruptive Conduct

The Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees adopted two documents concerning the definition of disruptive conduct and procedures for dealing with such conduct on September 12. The first document was the Executive Committee's policy statement of last July 7 which is printed in THE GOLDDIGGER. The second is an amendment to this statement. It follows in full. This amendment will come before the entire Board for their final approval at their October 27 meeting.

In summary, the amendment (1) broadens the coverage of the first document, (2) establishes a single agency to handle disruption cases whether the defendant is a student, a faculty member or an employee, (3) requires each Chancellor to establish two

agencies on his campuses: (a) a Board of Inquiry and (b) a University Hearings Committee.

The two committees have already been established on this campus and are as follows: BOARD OF INQUIRY, Dr. Raymond E. Turner, Chairman; Mr. James O. Cuthbertson, Student; Mr. Edward O. Wayson, Student; Dr. Barbara Goodnight; and Dr. Louis A. Trosch. UNIVERSITY HEARINGS COMMITTEE: Dr. John A. Chase Jr., Chairman; Mr. Arthur W. Williams, Student; Mr. Neil E. Carriker, Student; Dr. Mary R. Embry; Dr. Newell R. Bush; Dr. Robert H. Gibson; Dr. Robert G. Williams.

These committees are appointed for a twelve month term.

The document reads as

follows:

Procedures To Implement  
Executive Committee Resolution  
On Disruption

A resolution of the Executive Committee of the Board of Trustees dated July 7, 1969, defines disruptive conduct by members of the University, and places upon the Chancellor or his representative the duty to identify persons who engage in such conduct, marshal the evidence against them, and report their names and the evidence to the President. The same resolution places upon the President the duty to "take all steps which he deems advisable to protect the University of North Carolina, and any of its component institutions, and to see that its Rules, Regulations and Policies are enforced." The President is

required to insure that any person or persons found guilty of violating the University policy on disruptive conduct "shall be disciplined in such manner as may be warranted."

To implement the University policy on disruptive conduct, the following procedures shall be adhered to in each component institution of the University:

1. The Chancellor of each component institution of the University shall establish a Board of Inquiry consisting of not fewer than three nor more than five members of his institution. To this board the Chancellor shall appoint at least one faculty member, one student, and, if available, one person with legal training. The appointments shall be made annually at the opening of the academic year for twelve

month's terms. The Chancellor shall designate one member of the Board of Inquiry to serve as its chairman.

2. The Chancellor of each component institution of the University shall also establish a University Hearings Committee consisting of not fewer than five nor more than seven members of his institution. To this committee the Chancellor shall appoint at least one faculty member, one student, and, if available, one person with legal training. The appointments shall be made annually at the opening of the academic year for twelve months' terms. The Chancellor shall designate one member of the University Hearings Committee to serve as its chairman. No member of the Board of Inquiry established under paragraph 1,

(Continued on Page 4)