

Dear Editor:

An Antisubversive Seminar will be held in Washington, D.C. at the delightful Hotel Sonesta (formerly Hotel America) June 12-15, 1970.

A substantial number of scholarships are available to students and faculty members. The scholarships will cover tuition, food, and lodging.

Each scholarship will be worth \$60. \$20 of this will be for tuition. \$40 will be given to the individual to cover the cost of room and meals. A minimum of three nights accommodation will be needed. Each individual will be responsible to pay for his or her room and meals.

A single room will cost \$13 per night. A room for two will cost each individual \$8.50 per night, and a room for three occupants will cost each person \$7.33 per night.

Use the form attached to the program to apply for a scholarship or to register for the Seminar. Scholarship applications will be processed as they are received so early applications will receive preference.

I hope you and some of your staff will be able to attend and that a delegation of students from your College may also be present.

Yours very sincerely,

Fred Schwarz
President

Christian Anti-Communism Crusade



STONE CONTINUES BATTLE

By Susan Sutton

Don Stone is continuing his battle for a more effective S. G. A. as he contests the election of April 8, 9, and 10. Stone said the important reason behind his contesting the election was "not because I didn't win," but that the "integrity of the whole S. G. A. system is at stake," when an election could be conducted the way the last one was.

Stone cited negligence and indecision on the part of the elections committee.

Former election committee chairman, Fran Craig denied the negligence charge. Miss Stone repudiated Stone's charges saying "That's not true!" to each of the following points which Stone calls violations:

- the polling place wasn't publicized;
- some voters did not show i. d.'s
- names were not checked off in the presence of the voters;
- there were not always two people at the polls.

Stone also charged that publicity and election rules were never discussed with him, hence negligence.

"On the nomination's slip, it said not to nominate yourself until after you'd read the publicity and election rules. I had copies right there," countered Miss Craig. "Don Stone never asked me a question."

Stone also charges the election itself was poorly publicized since a complete list of candidates was never posted, except at the nominations table. As for alleged publicity violations on the part of his opponent, that's the "least important point."

He cited the fact that Miss Craig has allowed a student to vote after the polls had been closed and moved upstairs for a ballot count at two minutes of 3 p.m. This was due to a difference in the time on the clocks. Miss Craig expressed the opinion that any qualified student who could vote in the allotted time should be given the opportunity to do so.

Stone's major point of contention were the 35 write-in votes cast for Sammie L. McGriff. According to Stone, "those votes should've been mine!" Stone claims the polls opened ahead of time since they opened before the legislature ruled McGriff ineligible.

"The legislature ruled that the polls must open at 9 a.m. Wednesday (April 8) therefore, it would have taken an act of legislature to keep them from opening," Miss Craig replied.

Stone believes a lot of things about the election simply were never clarified. He feels that some voters felt obligated to vote a straight party, or straight independent ticket. He blames this on the election committee too.

Friday, there was a recount of ballots, the results of this being that the total number of ballots cast for Stone was 387, and not 389, as previously recorded.

The controversy over this "Poorly publicized election" which had the largest turn-out in campus history continues to rage as the administration has not yet come to a decision on Sammie L. McGriff's request for a student referendum clarifying Section 1-d of the S. G. A. By-laws, and Don Stone's formal appeal to Bud Stewart must be settled before April 29, when the installation of new S. G. A. officers is scheduled to take place.

REVIEW

"Who Killed America?"

By Makeda

Black revolutionary theatre, the art form and life style of Afro-American people burst from the stage in soulful, gutful fashion revealing a new and functional type of theatre. Functional, because it was a black looking-glass showing the people in every role of life, playing the role for American society denying themselves of manhood. It was obvious that the struggle was not only between black and white, but also among the inner souls of black folk.

Both plays, "Who Killed America" and "The Jail", simply said "we have got to get it together" before we remain enslaved to a system, before we destroy each other and before the white man has an opportunity to wipe us out, before it is too late.

The plays, written and directed by a N. C. central university student, Jim Brewer, carried a very heavy regional and contemporary flavor. "Hey, man, you look like a black hippie from Chapel Hill," or "those crazy blacks following that radical H. Rap Brown." These lines

give you the pulse of Jim Brewer's desire to relate his work to the people of the area as well as the times.

After the shows, rapping with Brewer, he was asked why the language, the characters, and This type of a approach was used to reach the people. Said Brewer: "I'm radically opposed to any concept of black theatre that alienates itself from the community.

The theatre is the aesthetic and spiritual sister of the black power concept." Unless the black artist creates a black aesthetic, he will have no future at all. To accept the white aesthetic is to accept the elements in this society that will not allow him to live.

Theatrically and technically, the plays were perhaps, to some, a bit rough in their presentation, but they are valuable as a culture stabilizer bringing back old values and creating new ones.

The plays are serving as a backbone for building a new nation.

Students Take Over Union Planning

The University Union has annihilated its present Constitution to eliminate the problem of participation and a lack of activities.

The Union is now planning to set aside a time when students can voice their opinions as to what should be done. From these suggestions, the Union will implement programs and allocate money.

The persons making suggestions will be called on to make up the committee. These committees will not be responsible to officers, but will be responsible to themselves and Jon Thomas, the Union Program Director.

The officers have been abolished to eliminate their traditional position as "work-horse" because of the laxity of the committees.

The following has been recently adopted as the Union charter:

The University Union recognizes the responsibility of the Student Legislature for chartering all student organizations and the duty of all student organizations to review with the Legislature the purpose, intent and function of the organization. The Governing Board of the University Union has spend considerable time in exploring the purpose and function of our organization. This review has led us to certain conclusions:

(1) The purpose of a "Union type" building is to provide the services and facilities the entire University community of students, faculty, staff, employees and alumni need in their daily life on campus. The purpose of a student organization, such as the current University Union, is primarily to develop a program of activities for students. Although all members of the University community should be free to attend such activities, they are developed for the students.

(2) The building should exist as an open environmental center, incorporating a flexible system to serve and encourage the expression of individual needs and to satisfy the collective needs of the University community.

(3) The structure of an organization charged with the responsibility for developing and implementing programs of activities for students should develop out of the expressed needs of the students for such programs and the willingness of students to organize for that purpose.

(4) The professional staff, such as a program director, should serve the student organization primarily as adviser.

(5) Faculty and staff members of the community should be encouraged to serve with students on the committees organized to plan and implement the program of activities.

(6) Ultimate responsibility for review of the stewardship of funds rests with the Vice Chancellor for Student Affairs.

Accordingly, the University Governing Board makes the following recommendations.

(1) that the University Union Building be named The University Center. (This recommendation is also being forwarded to the appropriate University administrative officer.);

(2) that the University Union student organization be renamed The Student Activities Board;

(3) that SAB committees be developed in the areas of social, recreation, lectures, films, concerts, and hospitality as student interest and participation allow; that committees be developed in other specific areas as need is expressed;

(4) that the coordinating organizational structure be developed in conjunction with the development and organization of committees;

(5) that a formal written document specifying purpose and organizational structure be developed over a period of time based on experience of the student committees and other students working to develop the program;

(6) that the present Union Governing Board act as the coordinating agency to get the new concept and the committees started.

Continued from page 1

Student Trusteeship

of Trustees visiting committee for student representation on the board, had not taken place. It seems the other branches of the university had been slow in forwarding information to UNCC. Nevertheless, a meeting was called for 4 p.m. Tuesday.

Bob Welch, chairman of the Environment Teach-In, reminded the legislators of the Earth Day activities, and asked for their support. "We, more than anything, want your interest." He urged legislators to exert their personal influence to get people to "take this thing seriously." Alan Hickok, as legislature chairman, requested that legislators attend as many of these activities as possible.

Then the last meeting of this legislature for 1969-70 was adjourned.

Dear Editor,

As you know, the Senate recently voted by a large majority to adopt an amendment, sponsored by Senator Mansfield and me, to the Voting Rights bill to enable 18 year olds to vote in all elections, Federal, State, and local, as of January 1, 1971.

The debate in the Senate on the amendment was concerned with two principal questions: First, the policy, which I strongly support, of extending the franchise to 18 year olds and, second, the question whether Congress has the power under the Constitution to change the voting age by statute, rather than by constitutional amendment. I am taking the liberty of enclosing a copy of the testimony which I delivered recently before a Senate subcommittee on these questions, and which formed the basis for many of my arguments during the debate on the Senate Floor.

I hope you will find my testimony of interest. Needless to say, I would be pleased to receive whatever comments you may have on the major issues surrounding the amendment.

With my warm regards,

Sincerely,

Edward M. Kennedy

(Ed. Note: The testimony is available at the JOURNAL office for review by interested persons. A reply to Senator Kennedy is being prepared by the JOURNAL.)

CRAIG RESIGNS POST

Fran Craig resigned her post as Election Committee chairman following the S. G. A. Executive branch elections.

"I hate to be a quitter, but I got the job because most upperclassmen didn't want to be stuck with it." Miss Craig, a freshman, now feels she did not qualify as elections committee chairman because she did not realize what the job entailed.

She told the JOURNAL that she worked as closely as possible with Bud Stewart and the executive branch of S. G. A. to avoid any contest of the results; but with the election as close as it was, seeming violations could easily be dragged up.

The awesome responsibility of this position was handled, Miss Craig believes, very well under the circumstances; but "I now realize why no one else wanted it."

Miss Craig has not been replaced.