

## Legislators, Governor Push Bill

As the 1965 North Carolina General Assembly got under way earlier this month it quickly became obvious that the resolution to make Charlotte Collgee part of the University of North Carolina would be one of the most highly considered before the legislature. We owe a great debt of thanks to several persons connected with the legislature for this.

Senator Irwin Belk, senior senator from Mecklenburg County, has put forth his full energies toward the passing of this bill. He, along with Senator Martha Evans, also from Mecklenburg, collected 49 signatures from the 50 members of the Senate to give the bill as much prestige as possible as it went to committee. Also, Representative James B. Vogler, senior member of the Mecklenburg County House delegation, saw to it that when the bill was introduced in the House, it bore 75 of the 120 representative's names. We thank both Senator Belk and Representative Vogler and the other members of the Mecklenburg delegations for their efforts.

Also, though he was not fully supported in this area in his election, Governor Dan Moore has laid his reputation on the line by giving the "Charlotte College measure" his full support. In his State of the State address, Governor Moore said "... I strongly recommend that the fourth campus of the University be located at Charlotte ..."

Without the help and their interest of these people the growth and expansion of our campus would not be possible.

## Yea Team!

By the time this issue is distributed the 1965 Charlotte College basketball season will be history except for conference playoffs. When this paper went to press the team's record was 14-8 overall and 8-1 in the Dixie Conference. We would like to congratulate the coach, the players, the cheerleaders, and the students who attended the games on a fine season. Record wise and attendance wise this was certainly one of the best in the history of the school.

Congratulations to Coach Irv Edyleman, who put forth untold hours with his team in turning out this year's DIAC champions. The photo-story in the last issue of the Collegian pointed out a few of his many problems.

Congratulations also to the team who had a little something to do with the winning season, too. Getting in shape just gets down right boring after a while, don't it fellows? And Piedmont Junior High School gym isn't the loveliest place to spend a Friday evening.

And girls, the yells were great. (So are the uniforms.) We don't have any cheerleaders on the Collegian staff so we don't know how it feels to yell your head off while everybody else in the crowd is sitting there looking bored to death. Oh well.

And congratulations to everybody that showed up at the games. We don't suppose Charlotte College broke any attendance records for basketball games in general, but it was surely the most Charlotte College has ever seen.

So, congrats everybody on a great season.

## Let's Get Honor Code Now

Does Charlotte College need an honor code? Apparently not.

Our reasoning is simple enough. Just look how long we've done without one. The Charlotte College that stands on Highway 49 has never had one. And the one we had while at old Central High terminated shortly after its advent.

But just because we've never had an honor code doesn't necessarily mean we don't need one. In fact, we do agree that Charlotte College needs an honor code. Remember Malichi. Our only gripe is that its taking so long to get one.

Now we've got a committee that's supposed to be making one. The committeemen seem quite busy, too, except they're just not getting much done. They seem to be more interested in making an honor code that will please everyone than in just plain making one. (We can only wish the committee luck on this count. Remember last year?) All the forms to give honor committee members ideas of what the students want were fine. But isn't this all a bit superfluous now.

We would like to suggest a plan by which the committee would borrow a code from Carolina or State or some other school, revise it to fit whatever the committee and only the committee, thinks it ought to fit, and hold a student referendum for its approval. If it doesn't pass, then take a few time consuming polls to find out why and try again.

Charlotte College is now making its bid to become part of the University. Isn't it about time we got an honor code?

# Charlotte Collegian

Editor  
Howard Pearre

Business Manager  
Jim Cunning

Photographers ..... Dick Raley

Tommy Estridge

Sports Editor ..... Robby Snipes

Columnists ..... Ellison Clary  
Dave Nanney  
Martin Richek

Staff ..... Bettye Trapps  
Nina Castles  
Sharron Dailey

## Letters To The Editor

# 'Take One For The Road, Get Trooper For Chaser'

Editors, The Collegian

In the last issue Mr. Cuning presented an argument in favor of open bars in Charlotte, and although I do not wish to contradict his very reasonable assertions, I would like to make a point which seems worthy of consideration.

I live in Greenwich, Connecticut, which is adjacent to Port Chester, New York. The "drinking age," legal, that is, in Connecticut is twenty-one; in New York it is only eighteen. Every Friday and Saturday night the residents of Greenwich are treated to a most interesting and unusual sight: a mass exodus of cars, driven by teenagers from Greenwich and nearby, world famous Darien, on their way to Fort Chester. Later in the evening or early morning, another interesting, if not amusing, sight is seen: a mass invasion of cars all "piloted" by teenagers, on their way home. Every so often the Greenwich Police stages a "Welcome Home" party, at which they unceremoniously arrest those guests who had the most fun in New York. Unfortunately, or fortunately, depending on your point of view, many of the "regulars" avoid the police by using the back roads. The result is a rash of accidents and, not infrequently, deaths.

Daves Raves

## Let's Think It Over

By DAVE NANNEY

Perhaps Davidson College can afford to have great confidence in its indoctrination program being a denominational school with a very selective entrance policy. Maybe it is that confidence along with the interesting response Davidson students exhibited to the Atheist speaker who spoke there last year, that has promoted their stand on the speaker ban law. But can we also expect our student body to react "correctly" to a communist speaker?

As president of the debating club I've done considerable research the last year and a half to improve my speaking ability and compiling material for argumentative purposes. In the process one comes in contact with material on diversified topics. One such topic is psychological warfare as carried on by The Voice of America and its Communist counterparts...

Of course these agencies are concerned with propaganda the techniques of which are more or less the same for the country and the individual. They are also more or less the same for both the good guys and the bad guys. Some of them are: (1) Name calling such as labeling the civil rights worker as a "rabble rouser." (2) Glittering generalities with verbal sanctions to give them emotional appeal. (3) Transfer which is using sources of authority, prestige, respect and reverence to create favorable attitudes. (4) The testimonial in which a famous name is associated with a product.

(5) Plain folks is a device used by expounding in simple terms without hitting the nail on the head. (6) Card stacking is a scheme used to deceive by use of evasion, distraction, and careful selection of only the favorable evidence (7) The bandwagon which is a technique used to make an individual seem like an outsider if

The Collegian welcomes letters for its "Letters To The Editor" column. All letters should be legible and written on only one side of the paper. All letters must be signed. (However, the signature will be withheld on request.)

If Charlotte were to have open bars, the influx of non-local residents would doubtless create a similar situation, with comparable results. Unfortunately, it is to be expected that the desire for revenue will, with a little rationalization, overcome the desire for safety and Charlotte may well become the "Port Chester of the Carolinas." To alleviate, if not entirely prevent, the consequences which must inevitably result from such a situation, the following suggestion might be helpful: Do not open bars in Charlotte, but issue "by-the-drink" liquor licenses to clubs and restaurants, with the stipulation that proprietors not be allowed to serve anyone who is obviously saturated beyond the safety point. This, as noted, will not eliminate the traffic problems, but it will do much to keep it from assuming undue proportions, which, for lack

of an alternative is the best that can be expected.

Finally, I might suggest a slogan for those who drink and drive: "He who takes one for the road gets a Trooper for a chaser."

SCOTT A. MacMILLAN

Campus Opinion

## What Should U.S. Do About War In South Viet Nam?

By SHARRON DAILEY

United States policy in Viet Nam has caused much controversy over policy and recent serious events have brought the situation to the attention of even more Americans.

Students were asked their opinions on US action—or lack of action—in the war-torn country.

"We ought to take a positive stand one way or the other," stated Pete McKnight. "We should either pull out all together or make some aggressive move. We shouldn't just exist in South Viet Nam."

Dick Raley feels that the U S forces should leave Viet Nam altogether because of the growing number of American casualties.

"We should either put up or shut up, do something or get out," says Sam Scott. "It seems to be better now that we've retaliated somewhat. But further action is needed."

Sharron Hacker says, "We should maintain our present status until we can improve our situation, short of all-out war."

Steve Cox says, "We should leave because of the great loss of lives and money. We're causing people to be antagonistic towards the US."

"I don't think we should let the Communists walk over us. We should stay there and fight," says Chris Serigstad.

"The US should clobber the Com- (Continued on Page 3)

## DEAR READER

Perhaps you wondered why VW the beetle did not have a column in the last issue of the Collegian—at least, I hope you wondered. Well, we were a little worried ourselves when deadline time rolled around and no VW was to be seen. As a matter of fact we didn't find out what happened to him until last week.

We walked out of the front door of the College Union (the front door that works—not the other three), and here was VW. It was all we could do to avoid stepping on him. He was marching back and forth in front of the Union looking very determined and carrying a placard which read as follows:

on strike  
this school unfair  
to beetles  
local 3½

On the back of this sign was another message, evidently meant as a footnote to the first, and this read:

i am not now  
nor have i ever been  
a member of the communist party  
nor have i ever had the occasion  
to plead the fifth amendment  
although i would rather  
not answer any questions  
about local 3½

Negotiations are now underway to try to reach a settlement in this problem of our striking beetle, but it's beginning to look as though we may have to call in someone from the philosophy department to arbitrate the issues involved.

Meanwhile, we would appreciate your stepping with care in the area of the College Union. One careless step would be sure to land us in court, with the NLRB prosecuting the charge of crushing a striker.

Sincerely yours,  
Martin D. Richek  
Personal Manager  
VW the beetle