

The Danbury Reporter.

STOKES AND CAROLINA.

VOLUME XXXIII.

DANBURY, N. C., THURSDAY, SEPTEMBER 7, 1905.

NUMBER 31

LETTER OF ADVICE TO GIRLS.

Walk Life's Road Alone Rather Than Marry a Drunkard.

Girls, did you ever stop and think what you are encouraging by allowing young men to wait on you when they are intoxicated? Or even when their breath is smelling of strong drink? If you have never thought of it, just stop and think for a few moments, and you will realize what you are doing. No doubt you will say in your own mind, "I will never marry a drunkard or a man who drinks." But this is the point. You will marry one of those young men with whom you associate, and if you will not allow any young man to wait on you who drinks strong drinks, you will not be very likely to marry a drunkard.

I know some will say, "Well, other nice girls let young men who drink wait on them, and perhaps if I do not, I will have to go alone in company sometimes."

I say it is better to go alone in company all the time. Yes, and even to walk life's road all alone than to marry a drunkard. I expect from observation not from a sober life for I am blessed with a sober life, but I would have been married to a drunkard had I treated as his fiancée.

When I was a school teacher I had a girl our age who was very nice and then she married a drunkard. Some of the girls who were with her at the time she married him said: "I would not have the nicest girl if they did not allow drunk men to wait on them." A big time well, she is leading a miserable life.

So, girls, you need not be afraid to tell your gentlemanly friends that they cannot wait on you until they give up drink. If they love drink better than you, just be glad you did not get them and look in your own neighborhood at the drunkard's wife how miserable she is. Just look at the still houses in our dear old Stokes. I have been told that there are over 60. Now, girls, urge your friends to keep away from such places and give up strong drink and I assure you that you will never regret it. I am as much opposed to Women's Clubs as Grover Cleveland, but I would gladly aid a young girls club.

Anyway, I could if they would pledge themselves not to allow any one to wait on them who touch liquor. Teachers, who are at Danbury this week, when you enter your respective school rooms this fall tell the little boys and girls the evil of strong drink, and urge them not to touch it at all. Teachers have more influence than they think.

I can remember things now so well that my teachers told me when I was very small. But dear teachers, practice what you preach, be sure not to touch drink yourselves. Shame for the young lady who would touch liquor.

MINCE.

The family of Mr. T. R. Pratt, who have been occupying a cottage at Piedmont Springs for some time, returned to their home at Madison Saturday.

DELK.

Delk, Aug. 26.—Please allow me space in your paper for a few words to Mr. F. G. Southern.

You seem to be in the dark as to which sex I belong. It would be better to leave off both Mr. and Mrs. and put "Miss" in the place. Yes, Mr. Southern, I asked you what harm was done by attending Sunday School and you did not answer me, did you? No you did not, and I am compelled to say you could not nor cannot explain the facts.

I have been taught from a child to go to church quietly and to leave the same way. I have been attending Sunday School a good portion of my life and have never known evil things to be taught in Sunday School yet. We go to church and Sunday School to worship God and not the evil things of this world. Now, as to the good we can do, is a question to be solved and can be solved. More good is done in Sunday School than there is in what I call social gatherings in summer. People go there for a good time and to sport their sweethearts and not for any good whatever, and when I go to what is called foot-washing, I seldom bear anything but running down other denominations of which I am bitterly opposed. There are just as good people in one church as another.

Yes, I can prove by the Bible that we are doing good by teaching the blessed book in Sunday School. Doesn't God say to go out in the big ways and badges and teach all nations? Can you dispute that? You say you Baptists are forbidden to teach Sunday School for Israel is charged not to teach his neighbor nor his brother. Doesn't God say do unto others as you would have them do unto you? Do you return evil for evil, do you return good for evil? From your letter it seems that you are returning evil for evil. Mr. Southern, this is not what we teach our children in Sunday School, and yet, you contend that all who misbehave at church are Sunday School children.

Now, if you wanted to expose to the world those who did so wrongfully at church, could you not have done it without saying they were Sunday School children.

All I ask of you is this, go where Sunday School is being taught and if you see harm of any kind taught there then I will give you the opportunity of discussing the Sunday School question with Sunday School workers.

S. S. TEACHER.

Mr. George R. Simmons has resigned his position with the Brown's Warehouse Co. to accept a position with Chas. M. Phelps & Co., Winston, N. C., in the clothing business. Mr. Simmons has been connected with Brown's warehouse for some time. He cordially invites all his friends to see him before buying their fall suits.

LIKE FINDING MONEY.

Finding health is like finding money—so think those who are sick. When you have a cough, cold, sore throat, or chest irritation, better act promptly like W. C. Barber, of Sandy Level, Va. He says: "I had a terrible chest trouble, caused by smoke and coal dust on my lungs; but, after finding no relief in other remedies, I was cured by Dr. King's New Discovery for Consumption, Coughs and Colds." Greatest sale of any cough or lung medicine in the world. At all druggists; 50¢ and \$1.00; guaranteed. Trial bottle free.

TIMOTHY COMES BACK

REPLIES VIGOROUSLY TO "TONY" AND "DOG-KILLER."

Mr. Editor:

We now wish to say a few words of comment on what we have already written, and then we wish to pay special attention to a few letters that have recently been published in this paper.

1. We have given the narrative of the creation as it is laid down in the Bible, which proves that in six days God created the heavens and the earth and all things therein and thus we are led to believe that with the close of the sixth day, the work of God so far as the creation is concerned was finished.

2. We have also proven that the last day of God's work was devoted to the creation of man whom God created in his own image after his own likeness. We also wish to call special attention to the fact that man was not created in great numbers or varieties but that God created just one man whom he called Adam, the son of God.

Inasmuch as man was created the son of God it follows that he is not a development from a lower form and it also follows that he could never develop into a higher or more perfect form while he lived on the earth. Therefore it is pertinent to inquire where the modern world obtains this absurd idea that man is a "species" which is divisible into races of men from the scripture? We have vainly sought from Genesis to Revelations for the slightest hint of the existence of such a thing as a human species or a race of men. But in these latter days the Atheist takes the negro [which according to the narrative of divine creation] God made an ape and thrusts him violently into the family of man as a lower race of the human species and enlightened Christianity receives him with open arms and such men as Dog-Killer, Tony and others respond with a hearty amen and our community lauds them to the skies.

This theory may be good modern philosophy but it is not scripture as will be proven ere this argument closes.

Now a word to Tony. It seems that you are very anxious to have the people of our country to believe that we are not sincere in our argument. We have nothing more to say than we have already said for a glance at our past letters will show that we entered into this discussion with the understanding that if our work would not stand the righteous attack of criticism and that if it was not founded on the Bible in toto then we are willing to lay down our pen and close the mouth of our argument and allow our work to be trailed in the dust of oblivion and with this understanding what could be more fair than an argument and we hope that the readers of the Reporter will read the arguments of both sides and study it in a careful and prayerful manner and act accordingly to the conclusion arrived at in their own minds.

Now as to our copying Prof. Carroll's work as stated by Dog-Killer and Tony, we are very frank to admit that we have a record of that nature in our possession and obtain many extracts and copyings from it and pride ourselves upon the good fortune of having such an array of biblical, scientific and commonsense argument as a reference in this discussion as it is nothing more nor less than the Bible as it is in toto and it is up to such as dispute it to send in their

argument but so far nothing but misstatements, ridicule and denunciations has put in appearance.

Now, Tony, we wish to say that the world of intelligence has long since decided that neither ridicule nor denunciation is argument therefore if you have anything to say along the standard go to work on it and do not devote so much space to bosh. You failed in your letter to meet a single point of argument but probably you think that there were no points to meet but chip in and tell us from whence came the negro, that if he is a part of the human family, was he so created and if he was not created when and where he came in. Also we would request you to be very careful as to what you say in regard to our work as we see a misstatement in your letter of last week in regard to our remarks about Dog-Killer's love for the negro and as a proof of our position we refer the readers to our past writings.

And now we will endeavor to answer your questions fairly and squarely and hope you will treat us with the same courtesy in the future. You wish to know our motive in having this work published in the Reporter. We believe that every method that human ingenuity can command should be brought to bear in an effort to get before the public such an array of biblical, scientific and common sense argument.

And as to your second question if we rightly judge the meaning of it, we have already given a satisfactory answer.

Also the third stands answered in our letter of June 15th; we made this remark in answer to a question which was the same as this in substance. If the argument prevails and it is proven by scripture that the negro is soulless and is not a part of the human family does it not place the negro exactly where God in the beginning intended that he should be and should he be ashamed of the position assigned him by the creator? Or should man be afraid to compel him to occupy the position assigned him by God?

And in answer to the 4th, we simply say yes and leave it to the intelligence of the readers to decide in the future why we think so.

And now as to the seriousness of our belief in this argument we wish to state that we use nothing but the dictates of our unprejudiced mind and while a college career has never been ours [as has been yours] we still contend or at least hope that we have sufficient intelligence after studying a work with an unprejudiced mind to form and express our opinion as to the truthfulness or the absurdity of the theory.

You speak of our ancestors and seem to contend that our present condition is due to natural development; now we believe that this idea is contrary to the teaching of scripture for we believe that man is today just what he was in the Garden of Eden and that he is not a development from a lower form; we are arguing Bible and not atheism. But you may have good grounds for believing that your ancestors are a development from something lower than man as created by the creator but we pride ourselves from the fact that we are the descendants of the pure

Anglo-Saxons. If you wish to insist that you developed from an ape or some other animal of the lower creation we have no objections but when you attempt to thrust us in too, then we have a word to say.

Now a word to Dog-Killer and we are through. You seem to come with the same hobby of the rest, that we are copying a work of a Mr. Carroll. We have heard of a drowning man grabbing at a straw, but you fellows it seems have even missed the straw. Please tell me the material difference in using extracts from a work on this subject and in copying from Agricultural Bulletins and Temperance Lectures, etc., as has been your custom for some time. You were very successful in your discussions on the liquor question and I say Amen! to many things you said in that discussion and while we all know that you are an able writer, your success in a Bible controversy depends largely upon whether or not you are on the right side but from the fact that you were successful in that task is no guarantee that you will win in this. We believe that you have taken the wrong side of this subject, therefore, we are with you in this and we ask you to go into it fairly and squarely and meet the argument as it is produced. Your first letter if we are any judge was an effort to induce the people to stop reading this work. If you fellows are able to do it why try to raise a streak of prejudice, just let the argument go on and ask the readers to decide as you did in your discussion on the liquor question.

Now I wish to give you a few points which I hope you will meet in your next letter.

1. Please tell the readers of the Reporter the true origin of the negro as taught by the bible.
2. Tell us whether or not Cain's wife was of the pure Adam's flesh and if not of what flesh was she?
3. Also please describe the beast referred to in our letter of July 27th as the Perplexed writer seems to be very silent on the subject, so please perform the task assigned to him.

TIMOTHY.

Dots From Pink Grove.

On Saturday, September 9th, there will be played a big game of ball on the Pink Grove ground. Also a box party will be given on the lawn at Pink Grove, together with music by a good string band. After the young people have enjoyed the evening they will all march out to the school house where they will be entertained for an hour or so by a grand show and music. This will be given in interest of the Flat Shoal school. So come, girls, one and all, and bring your lunch and send for your sweetheart.

POLLY.

Mr. John M. Taylor, of Winston-Salem, spent Friday night at Piedmont Springs, returning home Saturday.

ARE YOU ENGAGED?

Engaged people should remember, that, after marriage, many quarrels can be avoided, by keeping their digestions in good condition with Electric Bitters. S. A. Brown, of Bennettsville, S. C., says: "For years, my wife suffered intensely from dyspepsia, complicated with a torpid liver, until she lost her strength and vigor, and became a mere wreck of her former self. Then she tried Electric Bitters, which helped her at once, and finally made her entirely well. She is now strong and healthy." All druggists sell, and guarantees them, at 50¢ a bottle.

FORTY-SIX NEW LAWYERS.

This Number to Receive Their License To Practice in this State—Four Fail.

The following named gentlemen, forty-six in number, were last week granted license to practice law in North Carolina:

Charles H. Martin, Johnson county; William P. Webb, Franklin; Frederick D. Swindell, Carteret; Charles E. Stipper, Robertson; Walter A. Chisholm, Moore; Wm. M. Bellamy, New Hanover; Edgar B. Cloud, Polk; Robt. H. Dixon, Chatham; Thaddeus S. Feroe, Randolph; Jno. W. Whisnant, Caldwell; John C. Bower, Ashe; Nathan T. Ryals, Johnston; Walter H. Brock, Union; Jay V. Long, Union; Henry B. Adams, Jr., Union; Edw. S. Askew, Bertie; Robt. B. Boone, Jr., Durham; William G. Bramham, Durham; Sumter C. Brawley, Iredell; Burke H. Bridgers, New Hanover; Frederick W. Bynum, Chatham; John Cheshire, Edgecombe; Ben F. Dixon, Jr., Wake; Jos. F. Ford, Buncombe; Daniel G. Fowle, Wake; Vonno L. Gudger, Buncombe; Laurence H. Hampton, Jackson; Alfred W. Haywood, Jr., Alamance; Dr. Ezekiel Henderson, Onslow; Geo. L. Jones, Macon; Graham Kenan, Duplin; James S. Lawton, Duplin; John W. Ragland, News Ferry, Va.; Forest M. Reed, Mecklenburg; Ernest L. Sawyer, Pasquotank; John E. Swann, Buncombe; Patrick H. Wilson, Wake; Stephen C. Wooten, Pitt; John W. Gaffard, New Hanover; John M. Coates, Harnett; Geo. Wright, Buncombe; Edw. H. Farris, Guilford; James W. Seroggs, Forsyth; Robt. B. Pharr, Mecklenburg; Isaac F. Long, Buncombe.

Of the four who failed to pass, one is a negro.

MIZPAH ROUTE 1.

Mizpah, Route 1, Aug. 21.—I ask permission in your columns to chat with S. S. Girl and give a few items.

Well, Sunday School Girl, you are up on the bible very well, or you have a lot of good help, but if I had a papa and mama and two sisters to help me, I could do better.

Well, now, about your answers. Part was correct I think, and as some one has answered the other, we will let it go now. I think that you will find that the 43rd chapter of Ezekiel and the 11th verse has the little word and used in it the most. It is used eleven times in it. Now, if this is not correct, will some one correct it.

Now, will you tell me if we can find in the Bible where "steel ever swam on water," if so where?

We would be glad to see more part taken in these questions, as I think it is a help and causes more people to read and see if the answers are correct.

Saving primings is all the go with the farmers now.

Crops are looking well. Mr. C. R. Allen lost his horse last week. It seems that he has had bad luck. Two years ago he got his leg broke and last year his cow got her neck broke, and now loses his horse.

Mr. G. M. Allen got disappointed very bad last Sunday, as his girl did not come down from East Bend.

ROVING JOE.

Messrs. R. W. Hill and John Alley were among those who attended the meeting of the county commissioners Monday.

Poor Print