

The Messenger.

JACKSON & BELL COMPANY.

Entered at the Postoffice at Wilmington,
N. C., as second class matter,
April 12, 1897.TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.
POSTAGE PAID.THE DAILY MESSENGER by mail,
one year, \$5.00; six months, \$3.00;
three months, \$1.75; one month, 50 cents.
Served in the city at 90 cents a month;
one week, 15 cents; \$1.75 for three months;
one year, \$7.00 a year.THE SEMI-WEEKLY MESSENGER
(two 8 page papers), by mail, one year,
\$1.90; six months, 50 cents, in advance.

WILMINGTON, N. C.

THURSDAY, AUGUST 11, 1898.

WESTERN CAROLINA MUST HELP
THE WRONGED EAST.

The article from the Charlotte Observer copied in yesterday's Messenger has a suggestion about which we have thought, and concerning which we recently held a conversation with one of the most active and intelligent campaign workers in New Hanover county. The Observer kindly and sympathetically said this:

If we North Carolinians are indeed brethren bound together by a common tie, it is our duty to forget those who are evil and unite our forces for the rescue of communities like Wilmington, from a condition approaching anarchy, but to avert from ourselves the consequences of such a course. Communities are doomed for at least a time to endure. We must carry the legislature this year, in order to give the people the right to control their native and counties local governments under which they can live, and not only so, but to insure that we of the west are not ourselves reduced to their condition."

That emphasizes the truth. The white men of populous Western Carolina must come up to the help, the rest of the eastern section of a common tie we are all North Carolinians, and the West cannot rightly and humanely and patriotically remain indifferent to the deplorable state of affairs in all the eastern portion. The other day thirty-five magistrates in Craven county met and twenty-seven were negroes. We have not seen the precise figures, but repeat as we recall what was told us. The figures appeared in the Raleigh News-Observer. Think of the condition of a county or a community in which three-fourths or one-half or one-fourth of the magistrates are negroes. Such a condition is critical rather than a creative mind; she was a born controversialist keenly interested in the social questions and theological issues of her time; and at a comparatively early stage in her career she showed a tendency to the seventh edition from the scholarly pen of Canon Overton. The new edition will bring the history down to date or nearly so.

London "Literature" pays a proper tribute to the gifts and labors of Mrs. Lynn Linton. She and Mrs. Oliphant close the fine array of women of rare powers who illustrated literature in the past generation as a mother and a very thin figure of the German minister of education.

Queen Victoria is an officer (Pembury) in the State Church of England, and the oldest.

Bucklin's Arrows Salv.

The best salve in the world for cuts, blisters, Ulcers, Skin Rashes,

Fever, Sores, Tetter, Chapped Hands,

Chilblains, Corns and all Skin Eructions,

and positively cures Piles and

various skin diseases, giving perfect satisfaction or money refund.

Price 25 cents per box. For sale by R. R. Bellamy.

NORTH CAROLINA

Dunn Union: White supremacy

clubs were organized in nearly every town in the county Saturday.

Much interest was manifested and a determination to carry the county

democratic ticket this time.

Durham correspondence of the Raleigh News and Observer: Walter R. Bryd was at the chautauqua yesterday evening, having written his own obituary published in Thursday's News and Observer. His only comment was that he was delighted to be able to contradict it.

Winston Journal: District Attorney Holton sent off his report this morning of the Asheville term of federal court that 17 prisoners were sentenced to the penitentiary for from one to two years fifty four to jail for from 100 days to seven months and half. Some judgment was suspended because of their having served a considerable time in jail.

Barry Sullivan, distinguished Irish tragedian in the past, performed "Hamlet" 3,500 times as Joseph Knight states.

Richard Harding Davis made a failure on the vastly over-praised "The King's Jackal." So say good critics who have no books to sell. It is quite mediocre, but the publishers make it wonderful.

The infamous Lord Chancellor Jeffreys was a very remarkably handsome man, especially in his youth. We have lately read a description of him and seen two portraits of him while he was under thirty-five, and a very fine face it was. But what a vicious cruel devil he was in spite of all white washing attempts.

The New York Tribune critic condemns "A Short History of Modern Literature" by the very accomplished English critic, Edmund Gosse. He admits he is sound in criticism, but complains that he fails "to make his subjects real and interesting." He says young students will find that Mr. Gosse's pages are singularly lacking in vitality. There is no originality; there are no ideas; there are no leading thoughts vividly expressed so that the reader might grasp them firmly, and apply them to the elucidation of one side issue or another. This "Short History" is an excellent piece of hard work." And yet no living critic who writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics. But, the book condemned may be justly so for we have not seen it. The same critic writes English can excel him in the exquisite art in presenting the salient features of men of genius. In a few lines he can paint a literary portrait that is a gem. The Tribune critic admits this for a saying:

He summarizes an author with discernment and skill. Byron is well hit off in the few pages assigned to him. So is Gibbon; so, also, is Matthew Arnold, and, although there are touches in the vignette of Thackeray which are not wholly satisfactory the thing is on the whole well done."

We may add that we have read several volumes of his essays, and we do not know where to look for a more engaging writer as style and insight among living critics