

# The Constitutional

## PEOPLE'S ADVOCATE AND STATE GAZETTE.

"THE LIBERTY OF THE PRESS—THE SHIELD OF FREEDOM—THE SCOURGE OF TYRANTS"

BY CHARLES R. RAMSAY.

RALEIGH, N. C. JUNE 18, 1833.

VOLUME 2—NO. 131

### The Constitutionalist

Is published every Tuesday morning, at Three Dollars per annum, one half payable in advance, or Three Dollars and fifty cents if not paid until the termination of the year.

Advertisements inserted at 50 cents per square, for the first insertion, and 25 cents for every subsequent one. All letters addressed to the Editor on business connected with the establishment, must be post paid, or they will not be taken out of the office.

### The Statesman

Will be published twice a week in the City of Raleigh, in common with a paper from a Sup. Royal Sheet, with good type, at four dollars per year to all who pay within six months from the time of receiving the first number, or five dollars to all who pay afterwards.

The Statesman, in fixing his permanent residence at the Seat of the State Government, and assuming the editorial duties of the Statesman, (in which he will be assisted by several gentlemen of talent and leisure,) yields himself to the wishes of many of his friends, who think there is room even in Raleigh for a paper of this description. We shall see.

The Statesman will vigorously support the rights of the States, and at the same time the rights of the United States, (as embodied in the Federal Government by the Constitution,) as the best and surest guarantee of the Union itself, and of the continuance of that protection to life, liberty and property, which has afforded to the States for the last half century, in their career of greatness and prosperity, altogether without a parallel in the history of the world. As it will have come in only at the death of those distracting topics which lately agitated the country, it will claim no share of the spoils. Peace to their ashes! But the Statesman's principal care shall be North Carolina—our own loved, our native land. The improvement of her institutions, the prosperity and happiness of her people, the assertion of her just rights and the due and proper honor of the talents and virtue of her sons shall be its principal concern.

Although she was the first of her sisters to snuff tyranny in the breeze and dared to be free—and ever since her Mecklenburg Declaration of Independence, and on every emergency, whether threatened by foreign or domestic dangers, she has met every crisis as became a patriotic and brave people; and even hesitated not to give her own daughter, Tennessee, to add another star to the proud banner of the Republic, and another arm to the National defence. And although possessing a territory, soil, climate, population and wealth, and with intelligence and moral worth, which entitle her to rank among the first of her sisters, yet strange it is, she is almost unnoticed by them, and by the Federal Government also, except to make her pay taxes in peace and fight for them in war, her citizens scarcely participating at all in the honors and emoluments of their own Government! But who says North Carolina sleeps? They will find her wide awake to her rights, and resolved to maintain them; they shall the Statesman claim for her—her rightful portion of the public wealth—and for her sons, a fair participation in the public honors of the country—and refusing to take a denial.

The Statesman will search for hidden mischief and ferret it out of our institutions which has produced the present state of things. Something must be wrong, else, beside all the rest, why is it that with all our resources, the public expenditure exceeds the income about seventeen thousand dollars a year? The people should know these and the certain bankruptcy which is staring their State Government in the face. If, as probably, the radical fault is in the present basis of representation, the Statesman will contend that it should be changed and made equal and satisfactory to all; if in our Legislature, we say, diminish the number of Representatives and have only biennial meetings, and by a change of policy, inculcate our wise friends on the altar of state good, and present to the Union and the world, one united and affectionate people. The Statesman, too, will advocate general Education, and a judicious system of Banking, commensurate with the wants of our people; nor will it forget to urge the speedy approximation of the mountains with the sea board, by means of a Central Railroad, via Raleigh to Beaufort; and also the complete opening of those great arteries of the State, viz: the Cape Fear, the Pamlico and the Albemarle. Raleigh, too, shall have her full share of the benefit of our labors. In 1830 she had them, in our exertions to procure at the expense of the State, for the better security of the public property, a Fire Engine of capacity and power sufficient to throw a heavy column of water on the dome of the late Capitol, with hose and one hundred fire buckets; she had them by our vote and exertion to secure the continuance of the seat of Government where it was; and she shall have evidences of our good will.

In conclusion, the Statesman will support the administration of President Jackson, but at the same time contend for liberties and a cheap government; it will always be very good natured to its friends, but plucky cross and sure to its enemies, for whom a rod will always be kept in pickle; early and regular reports will be given of the proceedings of the State Legislature and of Congress; and the best speeches which may be made in both those bodies interesting law cases in our Courts will be collected and spread before its readers; and in a word, its columns will ever contain something useful and amusing to the Farmer, Merchant, Mechanic and the Scholar, and gratifying to the ladies, but more to the good men and even sugar plums for the children; so that all may be suited for their money. Like a good ship, the paper will be well found, and with plenty of room; that is, plenty of good subscribers, it will weather any storm, and safely enter the desired haven. Should this happen, look out for a merry making at every new year when we invite all our punctual subscribers and patrons to call on us and receive our best wishes with a hearty pump handle shake, and a glass of the very best Supper, or old Nash Peach, that our cellar affords.

The editor respectfully requests the North Carolina editorial corps, to give this Prospect an insertion or two in their respective papers, and the favor shall be reciprocated as opportunity serves. And he also requests Editors, Postmasters and friends generally, but especially those Members with whom he has served in the General Assembly, to interest themselves in their respective towns and counties in behalf of the Statesman, by the first day of August, as many good subscribers as they can conveniently procure.

JOSEPH B. HINTON.

Raleigh, N. C. May 6, 1833.

### A Teacher wanted.

I wish to employ a man of sobriety and moral habits, and in every other respects qualified to prepare boys for College, to teach school at my house, to whom a liberal price will be given.

HENRY JONES, c. t.

May 15.

### ADDRESS ON AMENDING THE STATE CONSTITUTION.

"The People have a right to assemble together, to consult for the common good, to instruct their representatives and to apply to the Legislature for redress of grievances." [1833 Bill of Rights of North Carolina.]

### TO THE FREEMEN OF NORTH CAROLINA.

HAPPILY we live in a country where no apology is required for addressing a free people upon subjects connected with their own government. It is an office which any one may assume without just reproach or fair censure. A recurrence to the proceedings of a meeting held in Raleigh during last winter will exempt us from the imputation of vanity in addressing you, and explain the character in which we appear before you. A large portion, we believe a majority, of the People of N. Carolina are dissatisfied with the Constitution of the State. They complain, we believe with justice, against evils proceeding from causes which the people alone can remedy, and therefore they have through their representatives, determined to call for an expression of the public will upon their complaints. By the 18th section of the "Bill of Rights" the right of the people to instruct their Representatives is distinctly declared, and the friends of a reform of our State Constitution, feel confident that a voluntary declaration of opinion by the People "for or against a change of the Constitution" will be received as instructions and must influence the General Assembly to accommodate in some way, the unhappy divisions of the State, and provide a remedy for the evils of a most unequal and expensive government. In order to remove the objections of some, to defeat the pretences of many, and operate as a recommendation to all, the advocates for Reform have put forth for discussion the changes which are demanded. If the subject could claim that attention which its importance merits and the feelings of party animosity were mingled with its consideration there would be no difference of opinion.

### UNEQUAL REPRESENTATION.

By the present constitution, the People of each County elect two members of the House of Commons and one Senator—and seven Borough towns are permitted to send each one member to the General Assembly. Were each county of equal population and did the people of each contribute alike to the support of government, this mode of electing the Assembly would be fair and just. Were there any near approach to equality of population and taxes in the several counties, there would not be so much room for just complaint. Surely a decent respect for the "fundamental principles" of all popular governments will not allow us to argue that one third of a community who pay no more than one third of the taxes, can rightfully make laws and execute them, impose taxes & expend them, for the other two thirds. Yet such is the real condition of this state. Those whom it affects may choose to deny it. We confidently affirm the fact and challenge them to a fair, manly and candid investigation of the subject. We may do no more than invite a careful examination of the last census and an honest perusal of the Revenue list made out at the Treasury of the State, and ingenuity itself, may be defied, after this, to produce even a plausible appearance of equality in our Representation. The white population of the State alone being taken as a basis of calculation, one third govern and tax two thirds. Assume the Land tax for a basis and it will bring us to the same result. Take for a basis the public taxes of all kinds and the conclusion is not more favorable to our present system. Let all or any of these be combined and it will stamp upon our county representation the same marks of inequality and injustice. The whole white population of the State is about 472,000. Thirty-three Counties contain 156,000 and the other thirty-one Counties contain 316,000, and yet these thirty-three Counties elect a majority of the General Assembly. These thirty-three Counties pay annually a land tax of 8,000 dollars only, while they exact from the other thirty-one a similar tax of 17,000 dollars! These same thirty-three Counties—this ruling minority pay for taxes of all kinds 24,000 dollars only, and yet they levy taxes from the other thirty-one Counties to the amount of 48,000 dollars annually! The expenses of our State government (including none but such as are necessary) being about 80,000 dollars per year, and the State being divided into 64 Counties, the proportion of each county in this expenditure is \$1250. These same thirty-three Counties—this ruling minority, therefore, do not contribute their own expenses to the government unless their taxes amount to \$41,250! and how is the fact? They pay no more than 24,000 dollars! and nearly one half of them do not contribute taxes sufficient to pay their own members of the Assembly! What a majority of two thirds of the people taxed by one third, to pay the minority for exercising this control! Incredible as it might seem to be—it is true. There are 40 counties in the State which do not contain an average population, and more than 40 whose people do not pay taxes equal to their average share of public necessary expenditures, while they elect nearly two thirds of the General Assembly! One of the least counties in this State contains a white population of 2,700—the largest contains 17,600, and while the former pays a tax of 600 dollars, the latter is required to pay 2000 dollars; yet both these are alike represented. Another County with a population of 3,300 and an annual assessment of only 430 dollars elects the same number of representatives to our General Assembly with a fourth county whose white population is 16,000, & whose taxes are 2,300 dollars annually. And another county with a white population little exceeding 3,000 who pay no more than 300 dollars for taxes is all wed to send the same weight into our councils with a sixth county whose white population is 13,000 and whose taxes exceed 2000 dollars. Comparing these six counties together, what will be the result? Forty-six thousand six hundred people, who contribute a tax of 6,300 dollars, are allowed an equal share in a free government than Nine thousand members of the same community, who pay a tax of only 1,300 dollars. The smallest number and they who contribute least to the support of our government, are invested with all its powers. There are in the State Twelve counties whose people pay a tax \$5,400 collectively—the compensation of the representatives they elect, exceeds this sum more than 2000 dollars! These counties partake equally with others of all the benefits of the government and they elect nearly one fifth of the General Assembly, yet they compose but little more than one tenth of the population and pay very little exceeding one thirtieth of the public expenses! They pay 5000 and cost 15,000 dollars! These things are so. But is it just—Is it equal? Is it republican that they should continue? Must they be endured for ever with no better reason for it, than that they are evils which a patient people have already submitted to for many years? Are the maxims of freedom reversed and shall usurpation establish right?—long usage justify oppression?

The interest of the whole State requires and her necessities demand reform in our Legislature.

The General Assembly is bound by our present constitution to meet annually. When the Constitution was ratified the State was divided into 36 counties and the General Assembly was composed of no more than 115 members, but the number of counties is now increased to 64 and the members of the Assembly to 199. The annual expense of the Assembly alone is now 40,000 dollars and this sum falls very little short of what the whole government of the State once cost the People. By the proposed amendments to the constitution it is suggested that the assembly shall not be required to meet often than once in two years, and that their number shall be reduced from 199 to 127.

In the early period of our independence before the States of America had formed their "more perfect Union," before the people had become settled into habits of self government, the annual meeting of the Legislature was both wise and necessary, but in our day it is a most unnecessary tax upon the public, and a serious obstacle to wholesome permanent legislation; for the people are barely informed of the acts of one Assembly before the succeeding law-makers have repealed or modified them. The reform that is here proposed will diminish the expenses of the State more than 25,000 dollars annually. A clear saving of 25,000 dollars per year is equal to a reduction of the taxes one third, and yet some have put their resistance to a reform of the constitution upon the real or pretended apprehension of an increase of the taxes. It is astonishing with what tenacity men will sometimes adhere to power in opposition to their own interest as well as against the just rights of others. The minority are now striving to maintain it in North Carolina while its exercise is subversive of the rights of two thirds of the people and costs that minority infinitely more than it is worth. Under the influence of some cabalistic party phrase they are deceived into apprehensions that the majority are demanding their rights for the mere purpose of practising oppression on others. But it is impossible that this majority in a State shall oppress the minority of the people by taxation. If two thirds impose a burden of one hundred dollars on the minority, it will be a tax on themselves at the same time of two hundred dollars. And a reform of our constitution must diminish the expenses of the government and of necessity reduce the public burdens of the people.

We wish an intelligent people to mark these facts: that for 25 years, our General Assembly has cost 40,000 Dollars per year. Here is an expenditure of one million, when half the amount might have been saved—that for 25 years to come, this expenditure must again be incurred; and yet, a prudent foresight will enable us to diminish it one half; that the Treasurer of the State in his last annual report, states, "that for many years, the ordinary public revenue of the State, has not been adequate to the purpose of defraying the necessary expense of the government, but the deficit has varied from 12,000 to 17,000 Dollars per year; that the sources, which have supplied this deficiency, have been fluctuating and temporary in their nature, and of late are nearly discontinued. What Statesman—what intelligent man will say, that this can be prudently allowed, or safely continued in a State? But it has been allowed and must be continued, unless the expenses of the Legislature, are decreased, or the taxes of the People increased. We may leave it for you to determine which way course is to be preferred. Unless the people shall do the one, their Representatives must do the other. Policy may induce them, to put this burden on the people, in some disguised form, and to conceal the application of their own remedy for the disease that has been hidden for so many years, but that it must be imposed, is inevitable, except by a reform of the constitution. The necessary expenses of a wise government, should be met by her ordinary revenue. A people who

are properly vigilant of their rights, cannot permit it to be otherwise. Assuming that these many years "include no more than 25;" then what have been the fruits of our thriftless policy? We have consumed over and besides, the whole ordinary revenue, 400,000 Dollars in the necessary expenses of the government. Had we adopted this reform 25 years ago, the funds of our State Treasury, would now exceed a million of dollars! Defer it 25 years longer, and the end of that period, will find the State yet more depressed than she is. We are not complaining of extravagant compensation to our public officers. They are faithful workmen "worthy of their hire." The true evil is that the laborers of the vineyard are too many—the works of the people may be better done, and much more economically performed by 127 laborers, than by 199! The responsibilities of Legislators, for this State, are too much divided to be felt properly, and the honor of successful public exertions is unwisely diminished by a partition among 166. Who has not heard complaints, that our laws are fluctuating—that our General Assembly sets too long, and our public expenses are increased? These evils exist, and have been falsely attributed to a want of Virtue in the people of the present generation, and a defect of talent among those who serve them. We have no hesitation, in saying that they will be removed by diminishing the number of representatives, establishing a fair basis of equal representation, and making the Assemblies less frequent.

In the 22nd Article of our Constitution there is an odious

RESTRICTION UPON CONSCIENCE. By excluding from public trust, all persons who deny the protestant faith. We are protestants, and therefore we can have no personal interest in the abrogation of this restriction. But will it be expected of us at this day to offer arguments in favor of religious toleration. We hope and believe not.

It is a disgrace to any free people, to tyrannize over the consciences of others. It is gross oppression, and an undeserved imputation against the patriotism and public virtues of the Catholics of North Carolina, to preserve any longer, this badge of our fathers' prejudices. The article itself, is in conflict with our Bill of Rights, when it declares "that all men have a natural and unalienable right to worship Almighty God, according to the dictates of their own consciences."

The friends of reform, have also proposed an amendment to the Constitution, which will put the election of Governor, into the hands of the People.

The Executive chair of this State, ought to be the highest post that the people can offer to the most patriotic of her citizens! It is not so esteemed. But make the station independent, by putting the election with the people, and we shall have done much towards it. Custom has now made it a reproach to the Governor, not to be re-elected after his first appointment, and hence, the high independence which properly belongs to a co-ordinate branch of our government, is sacrificed to a desire for popularity among the members of the Assembly. We do not affirm that it is always so, but it has that tendency; for he must be more or less than a patriot, who is not afraid to incur public disgrace. Is the office of Governor often coveted for its own honor's sake, or as a stepping stone to other stations of preferment, in the gift of the Legislature? Does it comport with the dignity of the office, that the incumbent shall be forced to win it by personal electioneering among the members of Assembly? Our fathers have wisely thought, that the executive and legislative departments of the government, should be kept separate and distinct, and what evil can arise, from not observing this fundamental principle, that has not been felt in our own State? Moreover, the time of the Legislature is unnecessarily consumed, in canvassing for the office, and the harmony of our councils is disturbed by the frequency of this contest. And why not the People elect their own Governor? He is their servant, and should be directly accountable to them for the faithful execution of his trust. They have not clothed him with patronage, that he can use to corrupt their elections—they have not invested him with power, the independent discharge of which can be, in any way, incompatible with their right to pass upon his course.

In obedience to the real, or supposed wishes of the people, they have been allowed to assume the right of electing their Sheriffs and Clerks, and why should they be refused the power to elect their Governor? No good reason can be assigned for it.

### IT IS FURTHER PROPOSED TO ABOLISH BOROUGH REPRESENTATION.

This privilege is no blessing, but rather a curse to the towns which possess it, or to most of them. It is a public burden, from which the people derive no corresponding benefit. And it is grossly unjust that a hundred people, because of the mere circumstance that they reside within the bounds of a village, should be permitted to send a Representative to the Assembly, who may out vote the organ of thousands residing in the country. Commercial cities may have a population, that would, when taken in connexion with their peculiar interest, justify this exclusive right. But in some of the Borough towns there are not many more than 100 voters, and as they enjoy no commerce, they cannot lay any claim to its peculiar rights.

Experience has demonstrated that some mode of AMENDING THE CONSTITUTION, should be pointed out by the Instrument itself. All will agree that this should be made cer-

tain, and economic, and few will dissent from the propriety of so modelling it, that while it does not invite a spirit of innovation it may not exclude the hope of Reform. Such considerations recommend the amendment that has been proposed, viz: that amendments to the Constitution, shall first be sanctioned by two thirds of both houses of the General Assembly, and subsequently ratified by a majority of the People. Other States have adopted it, and it has been found to be, neither mischievous nor defective.

While few men, fellow-citizens, can be found who have the temerity to deny, that our representation is unequal, and the legislation of the State extravagant and unwholesome, yet, some will seek a retreat behind the position that it is impossible to arrive at perfection in any government. This is a position that we do not deny. It is true, of the exertions of human creatures in their lives, as well as their governments, that they cannot attain perfection. But shall this prevent them from coming as near to it as they can? It may very satisfactorily account for the gross inequality in the government which has arisen from a change of circumstances that it could not be certainly foreseen; it does excuse the infliction of this injury upon the rights of a majority, but it cannot be successfully urged as a reason for its continuance. Is he regarded with approbation by good men, who withholds the acknowledged right of another upon the sophistical subtlety that he cannot do him perfect justice, and therefore he must be excused from attempting to do him any at all? Are bad laws to be tolerated, are they tolerated, without change, upon the pretext, that no system of human laws can be perfect? Are all governments abolished because men cannot frame one without a fault?

But it has been said, that the objections against our present Constitution, are theoretical only—that the State labors under a practical evil—that the majority suffers no practical inconvenience. And is it no practical evil to the State, that her councils are divided by sectional strife; that by the form of her constitution, the expenses of the Legislature have doubled, and that our expenditures exceed the ordinary revenue? Is it no practical evil, that in 25 years she has expended one million of dollars for her annual assemblies, when a prudent economy would have saved more than half that sum; and that for all time to come, the same exhausting operation must be experienced and yet endured? Is it no practical evil, that a majority of the People are dissatisfied towards the constitution, that they are complaining, and will continue to oppose a system which is ruinous to the whole State, and obliges two-thirds of the people to submit to a taxation imposed by one-third? Is it no practical evil, that those taxes are and must continue to be expended, not for the common good, but mostly in paying the delegates of a minority? If, indeed, these are not practical evils, it will be difficult to find them in any free government. They are those evils which have mainly contributed towards keeping us back in developing the internal resources of the State; and shall we put forth no efforts to cure them? They are those evils which have destroyed the harmony of the State, and if not remedied by liberal concessions of power to the just demands of right, must probably read the peace of North Carolina. And will not moderate men, in all sections, come forward in a spirit of unity and conciliation, and help to remove them? They are evils similar to those which induced our sister States to revise and reform their constitutions, and do we find that we are more happy, more prosperous, more free than they are? Alas! it is but too melancholy a truth, and but too evident to the senses of us all, that we are not.

They are evils which a MAJORITY of the PEOPLE have a RIGHT TO REMOVE.

To deny this right, is to argue against the very foundation principle of all popular governments. It is retained in the front rank of our own Declaration of Rights, and in that of every State in the Union, that in the concerns of this life all power and sovereignty reside, and ought to reside, in the People. They can alter their government when they please, whenever indeed the happiness and prosperity of the larger number imperiously demand a change. Herein they are the sole rulers and the sole judges. No limit has been set to their authority but that which the Almighty has imposed, that they shall exercise it in Justice and Equity. This may be denounced; but it is the doctrine of free American institutions—it is the doctrine of the Revolution—it is the Republican doctrine of this country. Whenever the People shall consent to repudiate it, they will cast away the great check they have retained upon the usurpation of their rulers. Let us not be misunderstood or misrepresented. Whilst we earnestly maintain this "fundamental principle," we heartily deprecate licentiousness: we would rather invoke a speedy co-operation of all parties in our beloved State to settle the causes of agitation which alone can produce it, but which are now too justifiable to be contemned, and too potent to be harmless. And why may it not be hoped for? We profess to venerate the fathers of the Revolution and the principles they established, and shall that great leading principle of the Revolution, that "representation and taxation shall go together," be excluded from the charter of our own government? We have demanded of Congress an abandonment of the protective tariff system, because it infringes the great principles of political justice; and shall we be deaf to the calls of a majority of our people for justice at home? We triumphed at the advancement of free principles, when the question of Reform in the English parliament—of a reform of the unequal