

North Carolina Christian Advocate.

PUBLISHED WEEKLY BY A COMMITTEE OF MINISTERS FOR THE METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH, SOUTH.

VOL. VI.—NO. 5.

RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA, MONDAY, FEBRUARY 4, 1861.

TERMS: TWO DOLLARS A YEAR, IN ADVANCE.

Christian Advocate.

All communications should be addressed to the Editors of the N. C. Christian Advocate.

Office: No. 7 Beekman street, N. Y.

TERMS.

This paper is published every Monday morning, at 12 o'clock, at the office of the N. C. Christian Advocate, No. 7 Beekman street, New York. It is published for the N. C. Christian Advocate, at the rate of \$2.00 per annum in advance. Single copies are sent free of charge.

Original.

For the N. C. Christian Advocate, MISS LOU, VS. "THE METHODIST." Miss Lou, says that "the Methodist" is simply a disguised Abolitionist's organ. It is my object to prove the incorrectness of her assertion and I will try to remember the courtesy due from me to one of the gender sex.

Miss Lou is guilty, however, of several gross misrepresentations. The Methodist of January 5th, gives an able article entitled, "Review of Secular Intelligence, for the year 1860," in which it stated that "John Brown and his associates had just been hung for their treason, and (two) Stephen and Hazlett remained to be tried." In quoting this, Miss Lou, omits all after the word *hung*, thus changing materially the bearing upon the point at issue. She then adds, ironically, "this is the most remarkable event in the world for January." Now, the Methodist does not say so. But I appeal to your readers, especially to my lady friends, has there ever, since the Nat. Truce inscription, occurred an event more remarkable than that which the John Brown did? Was not the whole Southern heart stirred to its very depths? Is not this event memorable and worthy to introduce the narration of memorable events of the year 1860? Perhaps Miss Lou is one of the strong-minded class of females who are not terrified by ordinary occurrences.

But she goes on to quote four other items of information from an article of nearly three columns, and from these she concludes that the paper is a Methodist organ. I will notice one of these quotations. "The Republican platform adopted, declaring its freedom in the Territories." One would think that the other "National Conventions" are not even mentioned in the review. And yet we have, April 23d, Democratic Nominating Convention met at Charleston, Caleb Cushing, President; after eight days the Cincinnati platform was adopted, with some explanatory resolutions, whereupon a majority of many of the Southern delegates withdrew. After two days more spent in balloting for President, without result they adjourned, to the 15th of June, at Baltimore. The seceders met, and adopted a platform, adjourned to Richmond, June 10th. "May 10th, 'Union' Convention met at Baltimore, and pronounced 'the Constitution and the Enforcement of the Laws,' as their platform, and nominated John Bell and Edward Everett, for President and Vice-President." Besides this, we have at the proper place, the nominations of the Baltimore and Richmond Conventions, with the main points of difference between the Breckinridge and the Douglas platforms. This article I deem sufficient on this point.

It must be remembered that the *Methodist* is published in New York, that its able editors, Dr. Cooks, acting, and Dr. W. H. Tucker, corresponding, are Northerners by birth and education and are members and ministers of the Northern Methodist Church. It is not to be expected that they should advocate the divine right of owning slaves. It is as much as we should ask, if they will refrain from expressing opinions against the institution of slavery as it exists among us. But hear what they say in their issue of the 19th in regard to our own home paper. I quote: "The free conservative course pursued by the North Carolina Advocate in the midst of such a whirlwind of excitement as is at present existing in the South, challenges the admiration of every Union-loving American." Now, which is the Abolitionist the *Advocate* for pursuing the course, or the *Methodist* for admiring it?

Again, in the same issue, Rev. Heman Bangs contributes a letter of two columns, after a visit to Louisiana and Mississippi. The following sentences extracted from this letter could surely have never found their way into the columns of an Abolitionist paper. "The relation of master and slave has never to my satisfaction been proved to be a sin. That there are great evils connected with it, or that any grow out of it, I have no doubt. So are there evils that may result from the marriage relation, or the relation of parent and child, but this does not prove the relation itself to be a sin." "As to the physical condition of the slaves at the South, I believe that they are the better off than the free blacks of the North—better fed, better clothed, better housed and do less work. They appear the happiest set of people to be found—their masters and mistresses have all the care and expense." "The movements of the Abolitionists of the North have been productive of evil and only evil, to the non-

ters and slaves, exasperating the former and causing them to restrict the privileges of the latter. Oh, when shall this wicked strife come to an end, and brotherly love and fraternal intercourse return?"

These quotations speak for themselves. But, lest I weary my reader, I will conclude by expressing the opinion that for beauty and neatness of mechanical execution, for scholarly ability, patient, systematic labor, and business, tact, on the part of its editors; for strict adherence to its professional principles, and for true conservatism on the subject of slavery, the *Methodist* is not surpassed by any newspaper in the world.

For the gratification of Miss Lou's vanity, I inform her that her unpurported attack has already added one new name to the subscription list of the *Methodist*. And for the information of all others wishing to subscribe, I state that it is published by Lennox Bangs, No. 7 Beekman street, N. Y., at \$2.00 a year. Ministers of any denomination receive it at \$1.50 per year. Specimen numbers sent free on application. N. C.

CONFERENCE PATRONAGE.

Nearly all societies, governments and associations have some patronage, some places of profit or honor that are desirable and profitable to the recipients. The N. C. Conference has some such positions; some absolutely under her own control, and some conjointly with other conferences. Of the former are certain stations, circuits, districts, committees, delegates to General Conference, &c.; of the latter are Bishops, Secretaries, Agents, Editors, &c. These positions are matters of great interest to the Church, and naturally, are for the benefit of the people more than the occupants. Still, they are in one sense Church patronage, and to that extent are subject to very nearly the same conditions as any other kind of patronage. These positions are desirable because they are pleasant in their labors and in their appointments; they extend the reputation of the incumbents, both by the public estimate of such positions and the inspiring tendencies of the duties required; they improve tone, manner and intelligence by their social and literary association. This is necessarily so, while human nature remains as it is. It is difficult for any man to be great without great opportunities, and few men will fail to attempt superiority when such is demanded and appreciated. On the other hand, few will escape the influence of rude manners, ignorance and a general indifference to all that is elegant. So potent are these influences that a very few years in either kind, never fail to make their visible mark upon the man. Your man of the best pieces becomes refined in manner, speech and dress, while the man of the hard places tends to the reverse. These things being true, the circumstances are neither to be changed nor avoided. But it is easy to see how, in particular cases, unintentional injustice and lasting injury may be done. A combination of circumstances may send a young man for several years in succession to the hard places, his improvement will be somewhat stunted by his surroundings; the public will decide his merit by the grade of his appointments and he is conventionally valued and actually made a second rate man for life. Rare cases may form exceptions, but this is the rule. Hence Conference patronage has much to do with the fate of individuals, and the amount of first rate talent in the Church. Conferences having considerable human nature in them, are apt, or at least they may class their men, like the Turks do their soldiers, according to the stars rather than merit, and may rank them A, B, C, D, and then by the effect of appointments legalize the decree. Then few men would ever fall from A, to D, and few would rise from D to A. Preachers may be made somewhat like English noblemen, viz: by acts of Parliament and all the circumstances favorable to ability and reputation. So forcible is this, that the public would not believe any man No. A, who have never had a first class appointment, and a real D man placed in a place of reputation, would be believed to have the stuff in him, though it might not be all his confidence.

This Conference patronage must be in the hands of some man or men; these are Christians and must and will do what they honestly believe best; still they are men and form their opinions like others. They conclude that one, two, or three on the one side may be A men, and so on of the others, and their bestowal of patronage is and must be, accordingly. Now these reasons of classification may or may not be as revealing to reality. Sprinkle wished Medford placed in the first rank in Scotland because he granted so impressively, an English Bishop was chided for making a certain man curate. "Ah," said he, "he reads very badly, but then he prays my book splendidly." Let the patronage dispensers be good, honest and wise as any mortals, they see things each in a way peculiar to himself, and the Amen will soon be pronounced and so of the remainder. But this does not prove that all or even the best men have been selected, for change the control of patronage and you will see a new set of men with equal talent and reputation. This is seen in State, national and all other

Selections.

From the (N. Y.) Methodist.

JOSEPH BENSON—PREACHING.

Benson must have been a tremendous preacher—the word is not too strong. Contemporary Methodist biographies often allude to his sermons as indescribable, grand and powerful, especially when treated of "judgment to come." Robt. Hall, who is considered the greatest purveyor of his age, liked to hear the veteran Methodist, and said: "He is irresistibly perfectly irresistible." In turning to Benson's memoirs, by T. flow, we find that when he preached he was generally attended by as many persons as could possibly press within the doors, amounting usually to between two and three thousand; and so powerful was his word, that his immense congregations were moved, not to tears, but often to loud wailing, so that he was sometimes compelled to kneel down in the midst of his sermons, that the people might relieve their minds by acts of devotion; when he arose and resumed his discourses.

At the height of the troubles which followed Wesley's death, he set out on a preaching excursion in Cornwall. He passed through its towns like a herald. In some parts of the country companies of the people came out to meet him and escort him on his way. "Never," he wrote, "did I see a place so crowded, and never did I see a congregation more affected than at Redruth while I discoursed on, 'Who is this that cometh from Edom, with dyed garments from Bozrah?' We were informed of several who were awakened, and of some that were justified. Many hundreds continued in prayer a great part of the night." At Tackinmill, the chapel being too small to hold a fourth part of the congregation, he stood up in a field, having a wall and a bank behind and on one side, on which some hundreds placed themselves, and the bulk of the congregation before him, consisting of about five thousand; he had not spoken long before many were out to the heart on all sides. Numbers were in tears, and many cried out in distress in different parts of the congregation. He continued speaking till he could speak no more. He then gave a hymn and prayed. One woman came to him before he got off the pulpit, and with streaming eyes, and a heart full of gratitude and love, declared what God had done for her soul. As soon as he had dismissed the congregation, numbers thronged into the chapel, where many cried out in distress; and the leaders, loud preachers, and others, continued in prayer with them most of the night. The next morning he was informed that nearly twenty in all, were brought that night to taste that the Lord is gracious; and not fewer than a hundred were awakened under the sermon. A Methodist writer records that thirty-six years afterward, the impression of this powerful discourse still remained on some minds, and was deep and hallowed beyond description; and another authority remarks that he became acquainted, in later years, with some of the "best educated, most intellectual, and energetic men of the locality, who were brought to cry mightily to God for pardoning mercy under this discourse."

PROFESSOR YORK'S GRAMMAR.

Professor York's "Grammar for Beginners," published by W. L. Comery, of Raleigh, and printed by A. M. Gorman, is not before the public, to claim its share of patronage from the literary and scientific world. Its excellence can be properly appreciated by those instructors only, who have made teaching the business of life. Men of small caliber, who have paid but little attention to the important subject of English Grammar, need not pretend to give instruction in York's series of Grammars, without first studying them. Such teachers, no doubt, will find objections to these Grammars, and prefer Smith's, Murray's, or some other works, equally as futile. We have studied and taught the latter works, and some twenty-two or three others; but we shall never do so again, at least, while such works as Prof. York's can be obtained. Antecedent to the appearance of the works under consideration, it was a noted fact, that no student could become a good grammarian, by the study of any one author. The reasons, which were very obvious, were the following:

1. Not a single author properly understood the subject himself, and consequently, his Grammar was very defective.
2. Nearly every author wrote simply because he was a Latin and Greek scholar, and having devoted most of his school-hours to the learning of other languages, his Grammar was based upon the analogies of languages, and, therefore, was not what an English Grammar should have been.
3. The leading object of previous authors, seems to have been, *Analysis* only; which, indeed, is but *half* of what should be contained in an English Grammar proper. Analysis may teach us to understand the component elements of a compound; but it cannot teach us how to make that compound. *Synthesis* is or should be, the most important item in an English Grammar.

In Prof. York's Grammar, both these subjects have been treated in a masterly manner. We have done so, and we make no hesitancy in saying, that in our humble judgment, the books have no superiors upon the subject, upon this continent.

The subscriber will furnish schools, academies, or colleges, that may apply to him, in any part of Western North Carolina, up on the same terms that the books can be obtained from the author himself.

The "Introduction" should be used by those who have not studied grammar before; and then they should complete the subject by studying the author's "Illustrative and Constructive Grammar."

R. L. ABERNETHY.

Rutherford Academy, Berke Co., N. C.

CHURCH CONTROVERSY.

Some months ago, says the *Protestant*, *Christianian*, some controversial articles between the Bishop of Maryland and the editor of the *Southern Christianian* were published in that paper. This controversy arose from a letter written by Bishop Whittingham to the *Southern Christianian*, in which he attempted to prove that Latimer, one of the martyr Bishops of the Reformation, taught the doctrine of Baptismal regeneration; that it is now held by Tractarians. The Bishop seems to have felt defeated himself, for after a time he comes out with a pamphlet of more than a hundred pages, not to prove, or attempt to prove, from the writings of Latimer himself, that he held baptismal regeneration in the sense referred to, but quoting from Luther, Melancthon, Cranmer, and Ridley, as "some of the sources of interpretation of Latimer's language." The editor of the *Southern Christianian* has now replied in a pamphlet of nearly a hundred pages. We do not hesitate to pronounce it one of the best pieces of controversial writing which has appeared on the subject. That it is a defeat of the Bishop, is saying little; it is a rout. In all our reading we have scarcely met with a more perfect overthrow than our Rt. Rev. friend has sustained; and we venture, it will be some time before he rushes into battle again, without a better knowledge of his subject, and of the resources of his antagonist.

Selections.

From the (N. Y.) Methodist.

JOSEPH BENSON—PREACHING.

Benson must have been a tremendous preacher—the word is not too strong. Contemporary Methodist biographies often allude to his sermons as indescribable, grand and powerful, especially when treated of "judgment to come." Robt. Hall, who is considered the greatest purveyor of his age, liked to hear the veteran Methodist, and said: "He is irresistibly perfectly irresistible." In turning to Benson's memoirs, by T. flow, we find that when he preached he was generally attended by as many persons as could possibly press within the doors, amounting usually to between two and three thousand; and so powerful was his word, that his immense congregations were moved, not to tears, but often to loud wailing, so that he was sometimes compelled to kneel down in the midst of his sermons, that the people might relieve their minds by acts of devotion; when he arose and resumed his discourses.

PROFESSOR YORK'S GRAMMAR.

Professor York's "Grammar for Beginners," published by W. L. Comery, of Raleigh, and printed by A. M. Gorman, is not before the public, to claim its share of patronage from the literary and scientific world. Its excellence can be properly appreciated by those instructors only, who have made teaching the business of life. Men of small caliber, who have paid but little attention to the important subject of English Grammar, need not pretend to give instruction in York's series of Grammars, without first studying them. Such teachers, no doubt, will find objections to these Grammars, and prefer Smith's, Murray's, or some other works, equally as futile. We have studied and taught the latter works, and some twenty-two or three others; but we shall never do so again, at least, while such works as Prof. York's can be obtained. Antecedent to the appearance of the works under consideration, it was a noted fact, that no student could become a good grammarian, by the study of any one author. The reasons, which were very obvious, were the following:

1. Not a single author properly understood the subject himself, and consequently, his Grammar was very defective.
2. Nearly every author wrote simply because he was a Latin and Greek scholar, and having devoted most of his school-hours to the learning of other languages, his Grammar was based upon the analogies of languages, and, therefore, was not what an English Grammar should have been.
3. The leading object of previous authors, seems to have been, *Analysis* only; which, indeed, is but *half* of what should be contained in an English Grammar proper. Analysis may teach us to understand the component elements of a compound; but it cannot teach us how to make that compound. *Synthesis* is or should be, the most important item in an English Grammar.

In Prof. York's Grammar, both these subjects have been treated in a masterly manner. We have done so, and we make no hesitancy in saying, that in our humble judgment, the books have no superiors upon the subject, upon this continent.

The subscriber will furnish schools, academies, or colleges, that may apply to him, in any part of Western North Carolina, up on the same terms that the books can be obtained from the author himself.

The "Introduction" should be used by those who have not studied grammar before; and then they should complete the subject by studying the author's "Illustrative and Constructive Grammar."

R. L. ABERNETHY.

Rutherford Academy, Berke Co., N. C.

CHURCH CONTROVERSY.

Some months ago, says the *Protestant*, *Christianian*, some controversial articles between the Bishop of Maryland and the editor of the *Southern Christianian* were published in that paper. This controversy arose from a letter written by Bishop Whittingham to the *Southern Christianian*, in which he attempted to prove that Latimer, one of the martyr Bishops of the Reformation, taught the doctrine of Baptismal regeneration; that it is now held by Tractarians. The Bishop seems to have felt defeated himself, for after a time he comes out with a pamphlet of more than a hundred pages, not to prove, or attempt to prove, from the writings of Latimer himself, that he held baptismal regeneration in the sense referred to, but quoting from Luther, Melancthon, Cranmer, and Ridley, as "some of the sources of interpretation of Latimer's language." The editor of the *Southern Christianian* has now replied in a pamphlet of nearly a hundred pages. We do not hesitate to pronounce it one of the best pieces of controversial writing which has appeared on the subject. That it is a defeat of the Bishop, is saying little; it is a rout. In all our reading we have scarcely met with a more perfect overthrow than our Rt. Rev. friend has sustained; and we venture, it will be some time before he rushes into battle again, without a better knowledge of his subject, and of the resources of his antagonist.

Selections.

From the (N. Y.) Methodist.

JOSEPH BENSON—PREACHING.

Benson must have been a tremendous preacher—the word is not too strong. Contemporary Methodist biographies often allude to his sermons as indescribable, grand and powerful, especially when treated of "judgment to come." Robt. Hall, who is considered the greatest purveyor of his age, liked to hear the veteran Methodist, and said: "He is irresistibly perfectly irresistible." In turning to Benson's memoirs, by T. flow, we find that when he preached he was generally attended by as many persons as could possibly press within the doors, amounting usually to between two and three thousand; and so powerful was his word, that his immense congregations were moved, not to tears, but often to loud wailing, so that he was sometimes compelled to kneel down in the midst of his sermons, that the people might relieve their minds by acts of devotion; when he arose and resumed his discourses.

PROFESSOR YORK'S GRAMMAR.

Professor York's "Grammar for Beginners," published by W. L. Comery, of Raleigh, and printed by A. M. Gorman, is not before the public, to claim its share of patronage from the literary and scientific world. Its excellence can be properly appreciated by those instructors only, who have made teaching the business of life. Men of small caliber, who have paid but little attention to the important subject of English Grammar, need not pretend to give instruction in York's series of Grammars, without first studying them. Such teachers, no doubt, will find objections to these Grammars, and prefer Smith's, Murray's, or some other works, equally as futile. We have studied and taught the latter works, and some twenty-two or three others; but we shall never do so again, at least, while such works as Prof. York's can be obtained. Antecedent to the appearance of the works under consideration, it was a noted fact, that no student could become a good grammarian, by the study of any one author. The reasons, which were very obvious, were the following:

1. Not a single author properly understood the subject himself, and consequently, his Grammar was very defective.
2. Nearly every author wrote simply because he was a Latin and Greek scholar, and having devoted most of his school-hours to the learning of other languages, his Grammar was based upon the analogies of languages, and, therefore, was not what an English Grammar should have been.
3. The leading object of previous authors, seems to have been, *Analysis* only; which, indeed, is but *half* of what should be contained in an English Grammar proper. Analysis may teach us to understand the component elements of a compound; but it cannot teach us how to make that compound. *Synthesis* is or should be, the most important item in an English Grammar.

In Prof. York's Grammar, both these subjects have been treated in a masterly manner. We have done so, and we make no hesitancy in saying, that in our humble judgment, the books have no superiors upon the subject, upon this continent.

The subscriber will furnish schools, academies, or colleges, that may apply to him, in any part of Western North Carolina, up on the same terms that the books can be obtained from the author himself.

The "Introduction" should be used by those who have not studied grammar before; and then they should complete the subject by studying the author's "Illustrative and Constructive Grammar."

R. L. ABERNETHY.

Rutherford Academy, Berke Co., N. C.

CHURCH CONTROVERSY.

Some months ago, says the *Protestant*, *Christianian*, some controversial articles between the Bishop of Maryland and the editor of the *Southern Christianian* were published in that paper. This controversy arose from a letter written by Bishop Whittingham to the *Southern Christianian*, in which he attempted to prove that Latimer, one of the martyr Bishops of the Reformation, taught the doctrine of Baptismal regeneration; that it is now held by Tractarians. The Bishop seems to have felt defeated himself, for after a time he comes out with a pamphlet of more than a hundred pages, not to prove, or attempt to prove, from the writings of Latimer himself, that he held baptismal regeneration in the sense referred to, but quoting from Luther, Melancthon, Cranmer, and Ridley, as "some of the sources of interpretation of Latimer's language." The editor of the *Southern Christianian* has now replied in a pamphlet of nearly a hundred pages. We do not hesitate to pronounce it one of the best pieces of controversial writing which has appeared on the subject. That it is a defeat of the Bishop, is saying little; it is a rout. In all our reading we have scarcely met with a more perfect overthrow than our Rt. Rev. friend has sustained; and we venture, it will be some time before he rushes into battle again, without a better knowledge of his subject, and of the resources of his antagonist.

Selections.

From the (N. Y.) Methodist.

JOSEPH BENSON—PREACHING.

Benson must have been a tremendous preacher—the word is not too strong. Contemporary Methodist biographies often allude to his sermons as indescribable, grand and powerful, especially when treated of "judgment to come." Robt. Hall, who is considered the greatest purveyor of his age, liked to hear the veteran Methodist, and said: "He is irresistibly perfectly irresistible." In turning to Benson's memoirs, by T. flow, we find that when he preached he was generally attended by as many persons as could possibly press within the doors, amounting usually to between two and three thousand; and so powerful was his word, that his immense congregations were moved, not to tears, but often to loud wailing, so that he was sometimes compelled to kneel down in the midst of his sermons, that the people might relieve their minds by acts of devotion; when he arose and resumed his discourses.

PROFESSOR YORK'S GRAMMAR.

Professor York's "Grammar for Beginners," published by W. L. Comery, of Raleigh, and printed by A. M. Gorman, is not before the public, to claim its share of patronage from the literary and scientific world. Its excellence can be properly appreciated by those instructors only, who have made teaching the business of life. Men of small caliber, who have paid but little attention to the important subject of English Grammar, need not pretend to give instruction in York's series of Grammars, without first studying them. Such teachers, no doubt, will find objections to these Grammars, and prefer Smith's, Murray's, or some other works, equally as futile. We have studied and taught the latter works, and some twenty-two or three others; but we shall never do so again, at least, while such works as Prof. York's can be obtained. Antecedent to the appearance of the works under consideration, it was a noted fact, that no student could become a good grammarian, by the study of any one author. The reasons, which were very obvious, were the following:

1. Not a single author properly understood the subject himself, and consequently, his Grammar was very defective.
2. Nearly every author wrote simply because he was a Latin and Greek scholar, and having devoted most of his school-hours to the learning of other languages, his Grammar was based upon the analogies of languages, and, therefore, was not what an English Grammar should have been.
3. The leading object of previous authors, seems to have been, *Analysis* only; which, indeed, is but *half* of what should be contained in an English Grammar proper. Analysis may teach us to understand the component elements of a compound; but it cannot teach us how to make that compound. *Synthesis* is or should be, the most important item in an English Grammar.

In Prof. York's Grammar, both these subjects have been treated in a masterly manner. We have done so, and we make no hesitancy in saying, that in our humble judgment, the books have no superiors upon the subject, upon this continent.

The subscriber will furnish schools, academies, or colleges, that may apply to him, in any part of Western North Carolina, up on the same terms that the books can be obtained from the author himself.

The "Introduction" should be used by those who have not studied grammar before; and then they should complete the subject by studying the author's "Illustrative and Constructive Grammar."

R. L. ABERNETHY.

Rutherford Academy, Berke Co., N. C.

CHURCH CONTROVERSY.

Some months ago, says the *Protestant*, *Christianian*, some controversial articles between the Bishop of Maryland and the editor of the *Southern Christianian* were published in that paper. This controversy arose from a letter written by Bishop Whittingham to the *Southern Christianian*, in which he attempted to prove that Latimer, one of the martyr Bishops of the Reformation, taught the doctrine of Baptismal regeneration; that it is now held by Tractarians. The Bishop seems to have felt defeated himself, for after a time he comes out with a pamphlet of more than a hundred pages, not to prove, or attempt to prove, from the writings of Latimer himself, that he held baptismal regeneration in the sense referred to, but quoting from Luther, Melancthon, Cranmer, and Ridley, as "some of the sources of interpretation of Latimer's language." The editor of the *Southern Christianian* has now replied in a pamphlet of nearly a hundred pages. We do not hesitate to pronounce it one of the best pieces of controversial writing which has appeared on the subject. That it is a defeat of the Bishop, is saying little; it is a rout. In all our reading we have scarcely met with a more perfect overthrow than our Rt. Rev. friend has sustained; and we venture, it will be some time before he rushes into battle again, without a better knowledge of his subject, and of the resources of his antagonist.

Selections.

From the (N. Y.) Methodist.

JOSEPH BENSON—PREACHING.

Benson must have been a tremendous preacher—the word is not too strong. Contemporary Methodist biographies often allude to his sermons as indescribable, grand and powerful, especially when treated of "judgment to come." Robt. Hall, who is considered the greatest purveyor of his age, liked to hear the veteran Methodist, and said: "He is irresistibly perfectly irresistible." In turning to Benson's memoirs, by T. flow, we find that when he preached he was generally attended by as many persons as could possibly press within the doors, amounting usually to between two and three thousand; and so powerful was his word, that his immense congregations were moved, not to tears, but often to loud wailing, so that he was sometimes compelled to kneel down in the midst of his sermons, that the people might relieve their minds by acts of devotion; when he arose and resumed his discourses.

PROFESSOR YORK'S GRAMMAR.

Professor York's "Grammar for Beginners," published by W. L. Comery, of Raleigh, and printed by A. M. Gorman, is not before the public, to claim its share of patronage from the literary and scientific world. Its excellence can be properly appreciated by those instructors only, who have made teaching the business of life. Men of small caliber, who have paid but little attention to the important subject of English Grammar, need not pretend to give instruction in York's series of Grammars, without first studying them. Such teachers, no doubt, will find objections to these Grammars, and prefer Smith's, Murray's, or some other works, equally as futile. We have studied and taught the latter works, and some twenty-two or three others; but we shall never do so again, at least, while such works as Prof. York's can be obtained. Antecedent to the appearance of the works under consideration, it was a noted fact, that no student could become a good grammarian, by the study of any one author. The reasons, which were very obvious, were the following:

1. Not a single author properly understood the subject himself, and consequently, his Grammar was very defective.
2. Nearly every author wrote simply because he was a Latin and Greek scholar, and having devoted most of his school-hours to the learning of other languages, his Grammar was based upon the analogies of languages, and, therefore, was not what an English Grammar should have been.
3. The leading object of previous authors, seems to have been, *Analysis* only; which, indeed, is but *half* of what should be contained in an English Grammar proper. Analysis may teach us to understand the component elements of a compound; but it cannot teach us how to make that compound. *Synthesis* is or should be, the most important item in an English Grammar.

In Prof. York's Grammar, both these subjects have been treated in a masterly manner. We have done so, and we make no hesitancy in saying, that in our humble judgment, the books have no superiors upon the subject, upon this continent.

The subscriber will furnish schools, academies, or colleges, that may apply to him, in any part of Western North Carolina, up on the same terms that the books can be obtained from the author himself.

The "Introduction" should be used by those who have not studied grammar before; and then they should complete the subject by studying the author's "Illustrative and Constructive Grammar."

R. L. ABERNETHY.

Rutherford Academy, Berke Co., N. C.

CHURCH CONTROVERSY.

Some months ago, says the *Protestant*, *Christianian*, some controversial articles between the Bishop of Maryland and the editor of the *Southern Christianian* were published in that paper. This controversy arose from a letter written by Bishop Whittingham to the *Southern Christianian*, in which he attempted to prove that Latimer, one of the martyr Bishops of the Reformation, taught the doctrine of Baptismal regeneration; that it is now held by Tractarians. The Bishop seems to have felt defeated himself, for after a time he comes out with a pamphlet of more than a hundred pages, not to prove, or attempt to prove, from the writings of Latimer himself, that he held baptismal regeneration in the sense referred to, but quoting from Luther, Melancthon, Cranmer, and Ridley, as "some of the sources of interpretation of Latimer's language." The editor of the *Southern Christianian* has now replied in a pamphlet of nearly a hundred pages. We do not hesitate to pronounce it one of the best pieces of controversial writing which has appeared on the subject. That it is a defeat of the Bishop, is saying little; it is a rout. In all our reading we have scarcely met with a more perfect overthrow than our Rt. Rev. friend has sustained; and we venture, it will be some time before he rushes into battle again, without a better knowledge of his subject, and of the resources of his antagonist.

Selections.

From the (N. Y.) Methodist.

JOSEPH BENSON—PREACHING.

Benson must have been a tremendous preacher—the word is not too strong. Contemporary Methodist biographies often allude to his sermons as indescribable, grand and powerful, especially when treated of "judgment to come." Robt. Hall, who is considered the greatest purveyor of his age, liked to hear the veteran Methodist, and said: "He is irresistibly perfectly irresistible." In turning to Benson's memoirs, by T. flow, we find that when he preached he was generally attended by as many persons as could possibly press within the doors, amounting usually to between two and three thousand; and so powerful was his word, that his immense congregations were moved, not to tears, but often to loud wailing, so that he was sometimes compelled to kneel down in the midst of his sermons, that the people might relieve their minds by acts of devotion; when he arose and resumed his discourses.

PROFESSOR YORK'S GRAMMAR.

Professor York's "Grammar for Beginners," published by W. L. Comery, of Raleigh, and printed by A. M. Gorman, is not before the public, to claim its share of patronage from the literary and scientific world. Its excellence can be properly appreciated by those instructors only, who have made teaching the business of life. Men of small caliber, who have paid but little attention to the important subject of English Grammar, need not pretend to give instruction in York's series of Grammars, without first studying them. Such teachers, no doubt, will find objections to these Grammars, and prefer Smith's, Murray's, or some other works, equally as futile. We have studied and taught the latter works, and some twenty-two or three others; but we shall never do so again, at least, while such works as Prof. York's can be obtained. Antecedent to the appearance of the works under consideration, it was a noted fact, that no student could become a good grammarian, by the study of any one author. The reasons, which were very obvious, were the following:

1. Not a single author properly understood the subject himself, and consequently, his Grammar was very defective.
2. Nearly every author wrote simply because he was a Latin and Greek scholar, and having devoted most of his school-hours to the learning of other languages, his Grammar was based upon the analogies of languages, and, therefore, was not what an English Grammar should have been.
3. The leading object of previous authors, seems to have been, *Analysis* only; which, indeed, is but *half* of what should be contained in an English Grammar proper. Analysis may teach us to understand the component elements of a compound; but it cannot teach us how to make that compound. *Synthesis* is or should be, the most important item in an English Grammar.

In Prof. York's Grammar, both these subjects have been treated in a masterly manner. We have done so, and we make no hesitancy in saying, that in our humble judgment, the books have no superiors upon the subject, upon this continent.

The subscriber will furnish schools, academies, or colleges, that may apply to him, in any part of Western North Carolina, up on the same terms that the books can be obtained from the author himself.

</