YAZOO BUSINESS.

he debate on this subject has been too ex-Peasive to be inserted in a weekly paper but as the quescion is apportant, we are of opinum our resours will be granted by seeing two or times of the most prominent speeches upon it. We therefore give Mr. Randolph's, which opened the debate, and which, pernaps, is as luminous a speech as was ever pronounced in Congress.

The report of the committee of claims being under consideration, which concludes with submitting the following resolution:

" Reschool, That three commissioners be authorized to receive propositions of compromise & settlement, from the several companies or persons having claims to public hands within the present limits of the Mississippi territory, and finally to adjust and settle the same in such manner as in their opinion will conduce to the interest of the United States: Provided, that in such settlement the commissioners shall not exceed the limits prescribed by the convention with State c. Georgia."

It was agreed to in committee of the whole, and the house took it up; when

Mr. Clark moved a proviso as an amendment, that no pare of the five millions of acres reserved should go to compensate the claimants under the act of Georgia, passed in 1795.

Mr. J. Randolph called the yeas and nays on the amendent.

Mr. Dana observed that the report on the table had been made on the application of persons claiming land under the act of 1795. The | Speaker in his reading. amendment, said he, is nothing more or less than a denial to comply with the prayer of the petitioners, and whether it was not to all intents and purposes a substitute for the resolutions agreed to in the committee of the whole, he would leave to the Speaker. If it were decided to be a substitute, it could not be received conformably to the rules of the house.

The Speaker said the resolution eported from the committee of the whole was a general one, including all claims; the amendment went to limit and confine the resolution to a particular class, and therefore he conceived it to be in order.

Mr. 1. RANDOLPH. It must be manifest to the house that this discussion is forced upon those who ere opposed to the report of the committee: that we are not prepared at this time to meet it. I am among those who hoped that some reasons would be assigned, if indeed reasons can be found, to warrant the step about to be taken. I did hope that, instead of a string of facts and statements which were a ready before the house, the committee would have given us some. thing new in the shape of argument, justificatory of the resolution which they have recommended. But I have been disappointed. Nothing to offered either in the report itself. or in debate, which throws a single gleam of light upon the subject. I have particular reasons to deprecate a discussion at this time. I shall not trouble the house by eletailing them. but briefly state that I feel myself unequal to an immediate investigation of this subject, as well from personal indisposition as from the pressure of other important business, winch has left me but little leisure to attend to this. The few moments which I have been able to devote to it, have convinced me that much new and important matter remains to be bro't to light. But no apology will be received: we are driven to a vote by an inflexible majority.

The objection taken by the gentleman from Connectiout (Mr. Dana) and the doubt which he raised on the point of order, respecting the amendment offered by my wotthy colleague, (Mr. Clark) disclo-

gentleman has stated truly that his object was to further the claim of the New-England Mississippi land company. As I fear I shall have full occasion to exert my voice, I must beg that the memorial of the agents of that company may be read by the elerk.

The petition was read accord-

Mr. J. Randolph then called for the reading of of the act of Licorgia Pebruary 1796, generally called the rescinding act, and he hoped they would have silence whilst the act was reading, as it was a very important one and ought to influence the decision on the present ques-

The act was read in compliance with the request.

After it was finished, Mr. Clark moved to adjourn.

On the division, there was 52 aves and 55 noes; so the motion was lost.

Mr. Clark requested that the act of 1795, under which they derived

their pretended titles might be read. While the Speaker was reading the same, Mr. Dana rose and enquired whether it was necessary to read the whole of the law, or whether gentlemen would not be satisfied with the reading of such part of it as bore upon the present ques-

Mr. J. Randolph called the gentleman to order for interrupting the

Mr. Speaker. The objection ought to have been made (if at all) when the reading of the law was first called for.

The reading was continued to the end of the act—when

Mr. J. Clay moved that the house adjourn.

On a motion there were 53 ayes

and 60 noes-Mution lost. Mr. J. Randolph. Perhaps it may be supposed, from the course which this business has taken, that the adversaries of the present measure indulge the expectation of heingable to come forward at a future day-not to this house, for that hope is desperate, but to the pubon than it is in their power now to make. But past experience has shewn them that this is one of those subjects which pollution has sanctified -that the hallowed mysteries of corruption are not to be profuned by the eve of public curiosity .-No, sir, the orgies of Yazoo speculation are not to be laid open to vulgar gaze. None but the iniciated are permitted to behold the monstrous sacrifice of the best interests of the nation on the altars of corruption. When this abountnation is to be practised we go into conclave. Do we apply to the press? that potent engine, the dread of tyrants and of villains, but the shield of freedom and of worth-No, sir, the press is gagged. On this subject we have a virtual sedition law-not with a specious title, but irresistible in its operation, which, in the language of a gentleman from Connecticut Mr. Grisworld) goes directly to its object. The demon of speculation at noe

by whom or for whom it is done. We have often heard of party spirit, of caucuses, as they are termed, to settle legislative ques tions-but never have I seen that spirit so visible as at this time, The out-door intrigue is too palpable to be disguised. When it was

sweep las wrested from the nation

their best, their only defence, and

closed every avenue of information.

Lut a day of retribution may yet

come. If their rights are to be bar-

tered away, and their property

squandered, the people must not,

they shall not be kept in ignorance

from spoliation and plunder. The | before this house, it could not be taken until midnight, in the third or fourth week of the discussion. When the great and good man who now fills, and who [whatever may be the wishes of our opponents] I hope and trust will long fill the executive chair, not less to his own honour than to the happiness of his ! fellow-citizens: when he, Sir, recommended the repeal of the intermal taxes, delay succeeded delay, and discussion was followed by discussion, until patience healf was worn threadbare. - But now, when public plunder is the order of the day, how are we treated? Driven into the committee of the whole, and out again, in a breath, by an inflexible majority, exulting and stubborn in their strength, a decision must be had, instanter. The advocates for the proposed measure feel that it will not bear a scruciny. Hence this precipitancy. They wince from the touch of examination, and are willing to hurry thro' a painful & disgraceful discussion. But it may be asked why this tenacious adherence of certain gentlemen to each other on every point connected with this subject. As if animated by one spirit, they perform all their evolutions with the most exact discipline, and march in firm phalanx directly up to their object. Is it that men combined to effect some evil purpose, acting on previous piedge to each other, are ever more in unison than those wire seeking only to discover truth, obey the impuise of that conscience lacts of the legislature of Georgia, which God has I laced in their bo. soms. Such men do not stand compromitted :- They will not stille the suggestions of their own minds, perhaps some nelarious object.

Having given vent to that effuion of lodignation which I Let, and which I trust I shall never fail o feel and to express on this deoffer some crude and hasty remarks Georgia, to satisfy such claims not "them before Congress." specially provided for in that com- Here, sir, is simple notice to the pact, as we might find worthy of whole world. This message was recompence. I shall direct my ob- referred to a select commutee: conservations more particularly to this ! sisting of Mr. John Nicholas, Mr. insisted upon, and more zealously | ray, Mr. Boudmot, Mr. Ames, defended than any other. It is al- | and Mr. Sherburne; on whose reignorant of the corruption and fraud | dent of the United States be authoby which the act from which their rised to obtain a cession from the unprincipled and flagitious men, al any state of this union, it caused a sensation scarcely less violent than

necessities and wrongs of their abused and insulted country men .-I repeat, that this infamous act was succeeded by a general burst of in dignation throughout the continent; This is matter of public notoriety, and those, (I speak of men of injelligence and education, purchasers too of the very country in question) those who affect to have been ignorant of any such circumstances, I shall consider as guilty of gross and wilful prevarication. They offer indeed to virtue the only homage which she is ever likely to receive at their hands—the homage of their hypocrisy. They could not make an assertion within the limits of

possibility less entitled to credit. Yes, the act of the 7th of January 1795 excited emotions of detestion tion and abhorrence, equal to those produced by the stamp act, or port bill of Boston. But this was not all. It drew upon it the imtnediate attention of the federal government. The authority which is about to be produced to the House is one which I am not in the habit of prostituting to every light occasion. It is one from which those who are daily endeavouring to shelter their crimes. and their follies under its venerable shade will not dare to appeal. Up-House, I find the following message from the President, dated on the 17th of February 1795.

" Centlemen of the Senate, and Gentlemen

of the House of Representatives, "I have received copies of two one passed on the 28th day of December, 1794." [This, sir, is the at which the wavering virtue of the Governor induced him to reand sacrifice when private optimins [ject.] " The other on the 7th of to the attainment of some comment, I January, 1795." [The act under which the different companies, from one of which the memorialists derive their pretended title, claim " for appropriating and selling the Indian lands within the territoria! testable subject, permit me now to ! limits claimed by that state. These copies, though not officially certion the point in dispute. They will hed, have been transmitted to me be directed chieff, to the claim of | in such a manner, as to leave no the New-England Mississippi land Froom to doubt their authenticity. company, whom we propose to de- | I have acts embrace an object of such lic, with a more masured oppositi. | bar (with all the other claimants | magnitude and in their consequence under the act of 1795) from any may so deeply affect the peace and benefit of the five millions of acres, I we fare of the United States, that I reserved by our compact with have thought it necessary now to lay

chaim, because it has been more | Macon, Mr. Eindley, Mr. Murledged by the menorialists, who i port, after solemn deliberation in style themselves the agents of that the committee of the whole, the company, that they, and those House on the 26th of the same depravity. whom they represent, were inno. | month came to the following resolucent purchasers :- in other words, tion. " Resolved, That the Presipretended title was derived, was ! state of Georgia of their claim to passed. I am well aware that this I the zohole, or any part, of the land fact is not material to the question! within the present Indian boundar. ofany legal or equitable title which !! ies." [The very land which the act been made a pretext for exciting to alienate and sell; and the bill the compassion of the legislature, which I now hold in my hand, was upon which this allegation rests. I to the resolution and passed the villany was passed in 1795, at- But unfortunately the session cloblance of law, to rob unborn mil- I day, and this House is well apprilions of their birth-right and inhe- sed that the forms of the Senate ritance, and to convey to a band of | will not permit any bill to be hurris ed through that body. A single, territory more extensive, and be- negative is sufficient to prevent in yould comparison more fertile than ! The subject was not suffer I to sleep -An act was subsequently passed opening negotiation with Georgia

that produced by the passage of the for the territory in question, of stamp act or the shutting up of the || which we have received from her port of Boston ;-with this differ. | a solemn transfer. Is this notice, | ence, that when the port bill of Bos or is it not? On a formal message ton passed, her southern brethien from the President laying before ses his drift, and that of the com- proposed to abolish a judiciary system of take advantage of the them the act of 1795—so totally mittee of claims, whilst it proves tem reared in the last moments of forms of law, by which a cor- invalid as I worthless was that act the necessity of some such amend- an expiring administration, the de- rupt legislature attempted do de- in their eyes, in such utter con-United States and their property I when the question of repeal was like did not speculate on the rights of the grantees under it that

the House of Representatives inmediately passed a bill empowering the President to receive a grant of he very land which that act had previously & fraudulently attemptto convey to the four companies. With what face could the President recommend, or Congress endeavonr to obtain from Georgia a cesson of the whole, or any part of the land within her Indian bounda ties, if they believed that the land in question had been conveyed to others by a fair and bona fide sale? If they attached to the act of January 1795 any aca of validity? The man who answers this objection. shall have my thanks. But, perhaps I shall be told that this was the act of a single branch of the legislature and not a law. True, air; but it was a solemn averment to the whole world that Congress had a right to legislate on the subject. It was noticed on the 17th and 26th days of February, 1795, that the act passed by the state of Georgia, in the preceding month, was void and of no effect-it was loudly proclaimed by the convention of that state, which met in the succeeding May, and was finally consummated by the rescinded not of the 13th of February, 1796, which was conon looking mio the journals of this sequently engrafted on the constitue tion of Georgia. And yet the New-England Mississippi land company, under a deed of cotemporaneous date (as they say) with this last act, a deed containing not merely a special warrenty, but a special covenant that no recourse shall be hed against the sellers, for any defect of title in them: a covenant which clearly indicates notice on the part of the buyers of such defect; claim ing under a cleed by which they purchase such title only as the grantecs of 1795, had to sell, in whose stead and pince they agree to stand. this company affect to have no notice of any defect of title in those of whom they bought. Sunction the claim of this company, or any other derived from the not of 1795, and what in effect do you declare? You record a solemn acknowledgment that Congress have unfairly and dishonesty obtained from Georgia a grant of land to which that state no longer possessed a title, having previously sold it to others for a valuable consideration, of which transaction Congress was at the time fully apprized. Are you prepared to make this humiliating confession? To identify yourselves with the swindlers of 1795? To acknowledge that you have unfairly obtained from another that to which you knew he had no title? I trust, sir, we have not yet reached this point of shoral and political

The agents of the New-England land company are unfortunate in two points. They set out with a formal endeavour to prove that they are entitled to their proportion of faty minious of acres of land, under the law of 1795, and this they make their plea to be admitted to a they may set up, but as it has lof the 7th of January had attempted | proportional share of five. If they really believed what they say, would they be wiking to commuter I wish to examine into the ground accordingly brought in, pursuant a good legal, or equitable claim for one tenin of its value. Their Sir, when that act of stupendous House on the 2d day of March. | memorial contains moreover a suggestion of falschool. They aver tempting under the form and sem- sed, of necessity, on the following that the reservation of five millions for satisfying claims not otherwise provided for, in our compact with Georgia, was specially intended for the benefit of the claimants under the act of 1795, and that we are pledged to satisfy them out of that reservation. Now, sir, turn? to the 6th volume of your laws, and what is the fact? In the hisopiace so much of the reserved five millions, as may be necessary, is a propriated specifically for sat slyic, claims derived from British grants not regranted by Spaint and a much of the residue as may be be-

(Command in the last 1324.)