



AND
North-Carolina State Gazette.

Ours are the plans of fair & delightful peace,
Unwarlike party rage, to live like brothers.

Vol. VII.

MONDAY, MARCH 31, 1806.

No. 340

DISPUTE WITH GREAT BRITAIN.

To give the whole of the debate which has taken place on the subject of non-impertation with Great-Britain, would occupy the Register for several months. The subject is, however, too important to be passed over. The heads of the debate will, therefore, be given; and where it is thought necessary to enlighten the subject, entire speeches. The Debate commenced in committee of the whole on the state of the union, on the 5th instant, Mr. Gregg's resolution, in the following words, having been read—

"Whereas Great-Britain impresses citizens of the United States, and compels them to serve on board her ships of war, and also seizes and condemns vessels belonging to citizens of the United States, and their cargoes being the bona fide property of American citizens, not contraband of war, and not proceeding to places besieged or blockaded, under the pretext of their being engaged in time of war in a trade with her enemies which was not allowed in time of peace:

"And whereas the government of the United States has repeatedly remonstrated to the British government against these injuries, and demanded satisfaction therefor, but without effect,

"Therefore Resolved, that until equitable and satisfactory arrangements on these points shall be made between the two governments, it is expedient that from and after the day of — next, no goods, wares or merchandize, of the growth, product or manufacture of Great-Britain, or of any of the colonies or dependencies thereof, ought to be imported into the United States. Provided however, that whenever arrangements deemed satisfactory by the President of the United States shall take place, it shall be lawful for him by proclamation to fix a day on which the prohibition aforesaid shall cease."

Mr. GREGG, after congratulating the house on their having at length taken up the subject, spoke of the injuries which this country had experienced from both Spain and Great-Britain. The former, from the manly spirit with which they had been resisted, had been checked, and an amicable adjustment of the points in dispute seemed likely to take place; but, notwithstanding the repeated remonstrances of our government with Britain, there was no room to expect an accommodation, until we resort to such measures as would make her feel our importance to her, as the purchasers and consumers of her manufactures, and of the great injury she will sustain through a total privation of our friendship.

This, it must be acknowledged, is a very important subject, and one which cannot fail to engage the earnest attention, not only of this committee, but must also excite the anxious solicitude of every member of the community. On the course we may now take, on the measures we may now pursue, will in a great measure depend, in my view, the determination of the question, whether we are to be a free and independent nation? Or whether we are to surrender that boasted privilege, and tamely submit to such indignity and oppression, as our forefathers even in their state of colonization, would have resisted with indignation. In the discussion of a question of such magnitude, in which we are all so materially interested, and on which the honour and true interest of our country so much depends, I trust we shall direct ourselves of all party feelings, whether arising from our different pursuits in life, from geographical distinctions, or from political considerations, so that our decision may be the result of a sound judgment, uninfluenced either by improper partiality or prejudice.

From the hostile conduct of the nations to which I have alluded, and from the pointed manner in which the President has recommended preparations for defence, an idea appears to have gone forth that we are to be immediately engaged in war. This opinion has received additional currency from a report made by a select committee of the house, recommending an appropriation of money to a considerable amount, for the purpose of erecting fortifications for the defence of our ports and har-

bors, and for building gun-boats and ships of the line. Did my sentiments accord with this opinion, I should certainly give this report my countenance, so far as respects fortifications, which from their situation are thought to be capable of affording any substantial protection. Even as things are, I may perhaps be induced to vote in favor of the appropriation for gun-boats, to relieve the anxiety of some of our fellow-citizens, whose alarms appear to be greatly excited; and because I believe, from all the information I have been able to collect from naval and military gentlemen, gun-boats will afford the most effectual protection, in the event of our being compelled to have recourse to defensive measures, by any occurrences that may hereafter take place.

But here I must be permitted to declare that I have no apprehensions whatever of a war. The present situation of the nations of Europe furnishes no ground for entertaining such apprehensions. Their present engagements furnish ample employment for all their resources, both of men and money. The important contest which now occupies their attention, is not likely to be brought to a speedy termination. Even if the minor powers should withdraw from the confederacy, there is no prospect of a speedy peace between the two great rival nations. They will no doubt soon return to the situation they respectively occupied at the commencement of the present campaign. The scene of Boulogne will probably be acted over again. The fleet of one nation must be employed in guarding its coast against an invasion by the army of the other. In this situation neither will be disposed to add to the number of their enemies. Great-Britain derives some advantage from that predatory war which she permits and authorises to be carried on against our commerce, and she also experiences some convenience in manning her navy, from the facility with which deficiencies are supplied by impressments of our seamen from on board our trading vessels. Interest and convenience will induce her to pursue this system, so long as we discover a disposition to yield implicit submission; but let us once tell her in the proud spirit of independence, that we will no longer submit to this indignity and oppression, and we will find the practice relinquished, and our fellow-citizens liberated from that degrading bondage in which they are now held, and restored to their country and friends. Great-Britain is too well versed in the business of calculation and too well acquainted with her own interest to persevere in this lawless system, at the hazard of losing customers, whose annual purchases of her manufactures and other merchandize, exceeds, I believe, thirty millions of dollars.

In searching for materials to substantiate the facts stated in the preamble to the resolution, it is only necessary to refer to the history of the conduct of the British government towards us for a very short period. By turning over a few pages of that history we will find, that a large number of our fellow-citizens have been forcibly taken from their homes (for his ship is a seaman's home) have been put on board British ships of war, and compelled to fight her battles against a power, betwixt whom and their government there exists no difference. The general notoriety of this truth precludes the necessity of a reference to any particular documents to prove the correctness of the statement. Was such a reference necessary, I might point to a report from the department of state made at the last session of Congress. In that report we find, that at that time fifteen hundred and thirty-eight persons claiming to be American citizens, had been able to extend their application for relief to their own government; and though Great Britain claimed some of these as her subjects, agreeably to her doctrine of non-expatriation, the great mass was acknowledged to be Americans, for whose detention no other cause could be assigned, but because she stood in need of their service. And is it not a fair presumption that this number

was but a small proportion of those who were actually impressed? Being changed from ship to ship, and the vessels in which they are frequently changing their station, guarded with the most scrupulous attention, it is almost impossible for them to find any opportunity of applying to their own government, or any of its officers, for relief.

This open, this flagrant violation of our rights as men, and as citizens of an independent nation, certainly demands the interposition of government. To what cause are we to ascribe the neglect with which these unfortunate men have been treated? A few years ago, when some of our people had the misfortune to be made prisoners by the Algerines, and at a later period when some others fell into the hands of the Tripolitans, the feelings of the government and of the whole country were alive. All voices united in requiring the energy of the government to be exerted, and its purse to be opened, so that no means to obtain the liberty of the captives might be left untried. Success has crowned these endeavours, and those who were unfortunately slaves, are now enjoying their freedom. In what respect I would ask, does the situation of those who have been impressed from on board their own vessels, and who are forcibly detained on board British ships of war, differ from the Algerine and Tripolitan prisoners. So far as respects the government, the infringement of its rights are greater in the former than in the latter case. The situation of the individual is no better. A wound inflicted by a British cat of nine tails, is not less severely felt, than if it had proceeded from the lash of an Algerine. The patient submission with which we have so long endured this flagrant outrage on the feelings of humanity and on the honor of our country, must have excited the astonishment of the whole world; but it must also have impressed them very forcibly with an idea of the moderation of our government, and of its strong predilection for peace. I trust, however, we will now show them, that there is a point beyond which we will not suffer, that even although we may not think it advisable to make reprisals, we will at least withdraw our friendly intercourse from that government, whose whole system of conduct towards us has been that of distress, and degradation; and that as the business is now taken up, it will be pursued with zeal and ardor, until relief is extended to this unhappy class of sufferers, and security obtained against similar aggressions on their persons in future, by such arrangements as ought to be deemed satisfactory.

In relation to the capture and condemnation of our vessels, contrary to what I consider, and to what I verily believe to be the law of nations, I shall not detain the committee with many observations. I have no intention of entering into a discussion of the abstract question, whether a trade is justifiable in war, which is not open in time of peace. I wish only to observe, that on the principles of reason and justice, and from such authors as I have had an opportunity of consulting, the right for which we contend does appear to me to be clearly established. In some late publications this question has received a very luminous and ample discussion, and the right insisted on by us has been placed on such ground, and supported by reasoning so clear, so cogent, and so conclusive, that Great-Britain, with all her boasted talents, will find it extremely difficult to find answers for them.

But even admitting the British doctrine to be correct, what, I would ask, has been the conduct of that government under it? Has it been that of a nation actuated by motives of liberality and friendship? Has it been that of a civilized and polished nation? Has it been such as justice and the fair and honorable government has given us a right to expect? No person, I think, is prepared to answer in the affirmative. It does not appear that the principle was practised on during the last, nor for some time after the commencement of the present war. I will not undertake absolutely to say that they relin-

quished it, but the trade which it now prohibits was permitted to be carried on to a great extent without any interruption from their cruisers. Numbers allured by the prospect of gain, were induced to engage in the profitable business, and supposing themselves safe under the protection of law, had their vessels and effects seized to a large amount. The capture and condemnation of their property was to them the first promulgation of the law. Ignorance of what it was impossible for them to know was imputed to them as a crime, and an honorable dependence on the justice of a government professing to be friendly, was prosecuted with penalty and forfeiture.

But even independent of our just complaint arising from this principle, apparently new, thus unjustly brought into operation, how has the government conducted in relation to captures, in which after the most minute investigation, all the ingenuity of her courts have not been able to discover any principle to warrant the condemnation. The perplexing difficulties, the vexatious delays, and the enormous expence attending the prosecution of a claim through every stage of its progress, place an almost insurmountable barrier in the way for obtaining justice. In fact, all her commercial maxims, and the whole system of her conduct, discover a manifest intention, a fixed determination to consummate the ruin of the commerce of our country.

I am aware that many of the captures and condemnations, of which we have heard such loud complaints, are strictly warranted by the law of nations. An immoderate desire of gain very frequently leads the merchants to engage in a trade, which the universal consent of all nations has declared to be unlawful. This observation will, however, perhaps apply with more propriety to foreigners, who have fixed their residence among us, to enjoy the advantage of trading under our neutral rights, than to the real American merchant. This description of men, under no influence of patriotism, and too generally unrestrained by any principles of justice, pursue their object, wholly regardless of the interest of our country, or of any injurious consequences to which it might be subjected through their misconduct. I have no idea of involving my country in any difficulty on account of these people or their trade. As they are citizens of the world, equally attached to every country, I would always willingly surrender them to be punished according to the laws of whatever country they might be found transgressing. But in withholding protection from these lawless adventurers, let us not withdraw it from the real American merchant. Acting from motives of patriotism as well as of gain, he combines his own interest with that of his country. While he is accumulating wealth to himself, he is adding to the revenue and riches of his country, and while he is searching a market for the productions of the farmers, he is discovering something that may contribute to their convenience and comfort. He is a necessary link in the chain of our society. There is a mutual dependence betwixt him and the farmer. The interest of the one is promoted by the success of the other. This being the case, these two classes should be extremely cautious how they suffer that kind of jealousy to grow up betwixt them, that might eventually prove a source of distress and calamity to them both.

Nor would I have it inferred from any thing that I have said, that I am going to be an advocate for the protection even of American merchants, in that wild, extravagant carrying trade, to which some of them appear to extend their views. I shall never agree to risk the peace and safety of the nation in such a cause. Even in doubtful cases, or where the law was not perfectly clear, if they would embark their property, let it be at their own hazard. But in carrying on the direct trade of our country, and even in the carrying trade, while they confine themselves within the acknowledged law of nations, I think they are entitled to protection. And in affording this protection, I

make it that we are promoting the real interest of the country. By cherishing navigation to a certain extent, we secure to ourselves at all times the means of procuring a market for such articles as we have for sale, we furnish means of promoting industry, and we make provision for the maintenance of men, on whose bravery and exertions in the event of war, we must always rely very much for our defence. On this subject I cannot express sentiments better than I find them expressed in a report made by the present Chief Magistrate, while Secretary of State, and which I consider as declaratory of the true interests of the nation. I shall therefore take the liberty of reading a paragraph of that report.

"It (that is navigation) as a branch of industry is valuable.—but as a source of defence, essential. Its value as a branch of industry, is enhanced by the dependence of so many other branches on it. In times of general peace it multiplies competitors for employment in transportation, and so keeps that at its proper level; and in times of war, that is to say, when those nations who may be our principal carriers, shall be at war with each other, if we have not within ourselves the means of transportation, our produce must be exported in belligerent vessels, at the increased expence of war-freight and insurance, and the articles which will not bear that, must perish on our hands. But it is as a resource for defence, that our navigation will admit, neither neglect or forbearance. The position and circumstances of the U. S. leave them nothing to fear on their land-board, and nothing to desire beyond their present rights. But on their sea-board they are open to injury, and they have there, too, a commerce that must be protected. This can only be done by possessing a respectable body of citizen seamen, and of artists and establishments in readiness for ship building."

From this very brief view of the conduct of the British government towards us, and I have confined it merely to the points stated in the preamble to the resolution; every candid, every unprejudiced person, I think must acknowledge, that we are arrived at a crisis; that we have reached a period at which the honor, the interest, and the public sentiment of the country so far as it has been expressed, call loudly on us to make a stand. The evil we have already suffered is great, and it is progressing. Like a cancerous complaint it is penetrating still deeper towards our vitals. While we yield year after year, Great Britain advances step by step; yet a little longer and our commerce will be annihilated and our independence subverted.

Here the great difficulty presents itself. What are the proper steps to be taken, what measures that we can adopt will be most likely to effect the object we have in view, and in its operation produce the smallest inconvenience to ourselves? I have reflected much on this subject, I have considered, so far as I was capable, the bearing which every measure, which I have heard proposed, would have on it. The result of my reflections is, that under all the circumstances of the case, the resolution which is now the subject of immediate discussion ought to be adopted. What is the resolution, what does it say? It addresses G. Britain in this mild and moderate, tho' manly and firm language: "You have insulted the dignity of our country by impressing our seamen, and compelling them to fight your battles against a nation with whom we are at peace: You have plundered us of much property by that predatory war which you authorise to be carried on against our commerce: To these injuries, insults, and oppression we will submit no longer. We do not, however, wish to destroy that friendly intercourse that ought to subsist betwixt nations, connected by the ties of common interest, to which several considerations seem to give peculiar strength. The citizens of our country and the subjects of yours, from the long habit of supplying their mutual wants, no doubt feel a wish to preserve their intercourse without interruption. To prevent such an eruption, and secure against