RALEIGH REGISTER Safety and an and an and North-Carolina State Gazette. A WALL STONE WILL BRE WE HOUR AND HE · 金集: (新闻1-18-12)5 are are the plan tol fairdelightful peace, warp'dby party rage, tolive like brothers, Vol. VII. O'at dato fill ales, 3ort MONDAY, MAY 12, 1805 is the third visit and Thin 84

DISPUTE with G. BRITAIN. Debate on

Mr. GREOG'S RESOLUTION

Continued from our last.

at the commencement of this debate, proposed to give only such a sketch of i as should possess our readers with the merits of both sides of the question. Ha ving, we believe, pietry well done this already; and supp sing that to go through the whole would prove tite-some; we shall generally only mention the Speakers, and which side of th uestion they sustained, except wh the Members from our own State fal a part in the debate, when we shall feel surselves bound to give their speeches en-tire. Mr. Macon's is commenced in today's paper, and will be concluded in our next. After that will be given Mr. Campbell's of Tennessee, in fayor of the resolution.

After Mr. M'Creary (whose speech appeared in our last) sat down. Mr. ELMER (from New-Jersey) rose and spoke in favor of the resolution.

He was followed by Mr. ELLIOT (from Vermont), in a long speech on the same side of the question. Mr. D. R. WILLIAMS (from S. Carolina) rese against the resolution, and spoke at considerable length. Mr. BIDWELL (from Massachusetts) spoke in favor of the resolution Mr. CLARK (from Virginia) was decidedly opposed to the resolution. Mr. EFFES (from Virginia) was opposed to Mr. Gregg's resolution, France, before the revolution, and the' in favor of some effective mea sures being taken; he preferred to the present resolution, one that should prohibit the importation o sertain enumerated articles only." Mr. Nichouson (from Maryland) followed Mr. Eppes. He was deci. dedly opposed to Mr. Gregg's resolution, as the mover at the same time that he profesed it to be a perce measure, had declared it would stab G. Britain in her vitals ; and if it was calculated to have this effect, he had no doubt it would be considered a war measure. He concluded a long speech by recommending the resolution he had brought forward, which was finally carried, and made the foundation of the law which appears in to day's paper. After Mr. Nicholson had sat down Mr. MACON (the Speaker) rose and spoke as follows : Mr. Chairman, I feel my self bound by the call which has been made by three gentlemen from Pennsylvania, (Messrs, Gregg, Smille, and Findley,) with whom I have long been in the habit of friendship; a friendship on my part sincere, to state the reasons which will govern my conduct on this occasion, whether they may be satisfactory to others or not, they are perfectly so to me. That a difference of opinion subsists between the members with regard to the measures best adapted to the present crisis of our affairs, is evident from the number of resolutions on your table. An attempt has been made to liken this resolution to one agreed to in 1793; but are they alike? I think not. That was general and operated alike on every part of the union, while this, in my opinion, is special, and will operate only on one part of the union; and this, partial observation will be seserely felt by that section of the country which I in part represent. Besides this clear difference in the two resolutions, will not all the three gentlemenagyee, that there is a great and striking difference in our affairs with Great-Britain, in 1793 and now Her motives may be as unfriendly now as then ; but I speak of facts known to all, not of motives. She then held the western posts; she then detained an immense property belonging to the southern people, both in violation of the treaty of peace. She then instigated the Indians to war on the frontiers, and then, as at this time, impressed our Bailors or captured our vessels; besides the United States had not then relinquished the principle that free ships should make free goods, in relinguishing this principle, they in a great measure lost sight of the carrying trade, by peaceable means; but if gentlemen wish to return to Europe, they will find that in 1793, the treaties of Pavia and Pilnitz were in force. Let the facts which I have stated be compared with those of the present day, and all must con." less, that there is a considerable dife

A1S.

in. the inte

patch

ference. I have said this much, to shew that there is no analogy in the facts of the present time, and those of 1793, and that there is no change of opinion in me. If however, 1 am mistaken; it is an honest mistake. This nation, in my opinion, must take their choice of two alternatives; to be happy and contented, without wer, and without internal taxes; or to be warlike and glorious, abounding with what is called honor and dignity, or in other words taxes and blood. If to be the first, the people will continue to enjoy, that which they have hitherto enjoyed, more privileges than has fallen to the lol of any other nation, with whose his. tory we are acquainted ; they will, as they have done; live plentifully on their farms, and such as choose, will carry on a fair trade, by exchanging our surplus productions for such foreignaricles as we may want. If we take the other ground, we shall, I fear, pursue the same carcer which has nearly, or quite ruined, all the other nations of the globe. Look at the people of England, legally free but half their time fighting for the honor and dignity of the crown, and the carrying trade, and see whether they have gained anything by all their battles, for the nation, except taxes ; and these they have in the greatest abundance. Look also at

I not quote it to establish a fact, which is clearly established by the other two. A country may also be commercial without being highly agricultural, as was the case with Venice, and some other European powers. But let us pursue that system, which our own experience has proved to be the best for the United States ; for since the adoption of the present constitution, and before this day, we have had trying times. It will be remembered, that during the French resolution, we had complaints againstFrance; hergovernment issued orders of which we justly complained: one of them I believe, declared all the productions and manufactures of Great-Britain to be contraband of war; this if executed, would have nearly cut off all communication with a nation with whom we carried on the greatest trade. What did we then do? We sent Ministers to France, with two of whom she refused to have any intercourse, but pretended to be willing to negociate with the other; all the Ministers finally returned home, and we took half way measures against her, which are the worst of all measures, and which produced a sort of half war, which, I believe, injured us more than her; for besides the actual expense, which may be estimated at not less shan 10,000,000 of dollars, it nearly ruined the agr cultural people, by reducing the price of produce ; flour it reduced from 12 has been completely answered by a lithe interest of the husbandman in and 14 dollars per barrel to sixand tobacco from ten and twelve dollars per hundred to three-and had no doubt the same effect on other articles of exportation. And how were we relieved then from these evils? We again sent Ministers, they were received and made a treaty. Besides what has been before stated of the conduct of Great-Britain, it will not be forgoiten that she declared all France in a state of blockade, and this order would have cut off all Assembly of Jamaica have acknowcommercial intercourse with her, who then wanted much of our produce. To Great. Binain also, a Minister was sent, and he also made a treaty. I am now desirous that the same steps should be pursued, before we adopt decisive measures. We once haid an embargo of which we tired. This shews the n cessity of acting cautiously, and of taking no measures which we cannot adhere to. All the gentlemen who have supported the resolution now under consideration, have expressed doubts whether it would produce greater effects on Britain or ourselves : this is surely doubting its policy, and if its policy be doubted by its friends, what ought to be the result of our enquiries, especially when it is believed that its adoption will materially inure one part of the country, and hat part entirely agricultural; does the public good about which we have Lead so much, that a n'ensure require which its triends seem to think of doubtful policy, dught to be adopted, when none can doubt it will injure, f not sacrifice the real interests of a part of the community. Examine the report of the Secretary of the Treasury, and it will at one glance shew from what quarter the great export is made to Britain ; cut off the import, and you will lessen the price of the export, if it should be exported. But we are told that we are bound to protect commerce, meaning I suppose that this resolution must be adopted; then if we are really bound, and there is no discretion, nothing of expediency; there is no occasion for this investigation. But gentlemen well knyw, that on sum of 800,000 dolls. which addi- cept Great Britain. I wish most every question discretion may and tional sum you will lose as soon as will be exercised. But have we really done nothing for commerce and nat vigation? On this subject I can confidently appeal to those most interested. What, since the adoption of the press of constitution, has made this the second commercial nation in the world ? (Before that we had but little claim to the character of a commercial people.) Has not the protecting duties on the tonnage of foreign vessels; and goods imported in them produced the effect? They have secured to our vessels the carrying of our own productions, which encourages navigation in proportion to their bulk. Let gentlemen enquire the number of cargoes which

couragement given to navigation, brought home, that the sugar is as has not a law been passed to favor | much ours as the piorluce of four the fisheries, and thereby to form own soil, and this sugar so obtained sailors for the use of the merchant, we have a right to carry to those service ? . It muy be right here to that may want it. But the question observe that I neither approved or before the committee is not a quesvoted for the law, but no auempt has i tion of right, but of expediency. been made to repeal it. This is the I Is the protection which will be given encouragement by which, during a time of peace, we have become the ! second commercial nation in the land of such certainty, as to justify world, and this foo in the short spare of time since the adoption of the present constitution-say, if you please, since the 3d of March, 1789. One consequence a little curious is produced by this encouragement, which is this-When Europe is it peace, the protecting duties prevent any competition by foreign vessels to carry dur productions, and when at war, so many of our vessels carry for the belligerent nations, that freight is nearly as high with us as it is with them, so that what the agricultural people paid in time of peace to en- our rights by arms ; but notwithcourage, they lose the benefit of standing ull this, we adopted no when Europe is at war, and when it || monsures like the present, we then is most wanted.

Among the arguments used to show the effects of this measure on G. Britain, one is that it will ensure, us a powerful aid in that country ; it does not, I am willing they should that the British merchants and ma- have as many as they please, and nufacturers, whose interest will be do what they please with them, so seriously affected, will give you all that they do not involve the mation their assistance. This argument |in. war by them. On this subject gentleman from Georgia (Mr. Barly.) But if gentlemen really calculate to make friends on the other side of the water, it seems to me that a different plan would produce more effects Cut off all intercourse between them and us, and adhere debate. If there was no cause for to the plan long enough, and you will find the merchants and manufacturers of England joined by all the inhabitants of the W. Indies, to have the intercourse opened. The ledged that they cannot get supplies in plenty except from the U. States; but this plan would operate as much on beef, pork, fish and flour, as on todacco and cotton. But this would be too strong for them, while they are desirous to adopt a measure which will have the same effect on cotton and tebacco. What is this, but a sacrifice of a part of the agricultural interst of this country to what they believe will be a protection for the carrying trade. I should like it quite us well if the attempt. was not to be made solely at the risk of one part of the union. The evil is felt in one part, but the remedy is to be applied in another. Adopt general measures, which will operate equally in every part of the country, and if the shee is to pinch, let it pinch all alike, and all will be then willing to have it off as soon as possible. I am willing to acknowledge that a dollar got by this carrying trade, and made the property of the nation, is just as good as a dollar got any other way, even by the cultivation of the land. But does it follaw from thence that you are to make more sacrifices to get the dollar in that way than it is worth ? I think not. The adoption of the resolution; besides its unjust and partial operation, will considerably affect the revenue. and no ways and means are proposed to meet any deficiency. On the present question, we risk a revenue of ten millions of dollars, raised on the consumption of foreign articles in the union, to gain, what? (I

American vessels. Besides this en- in exchanged for sugar, and the sugar to this carrying trade, by the adoption of the resolution, of that sort the adoption of a measure, which will operate exclusively hard on one part of the union ? The right of deposit at New-Orleans before we purchased the country, is as certainly as well established as our right to carry coffee and sugar to France and Spain, or any where elac-it was a right acknowledged by treaty a but when the deposit was refused; what did we do ? We took pacific measures and succeeded. We heard then much about honor and dignity; and that it was our duty to enforce acted for the general welfare : does It follow, because I am opposed to the resolution, that I am unwilling, for our citizens to own vessels-

we shall see a people possessing a fertile country and fine climate, ha ving the honor to fight and be taxed as much as they could bear, for the glory and dignity of the grande Monarque. Let us turn from these two great nations, and view Switzerland during the same period ; though not powerful like the others, we shall see the people free, and happy without wars, contented at home, because they had enough to live comfortably on, and not over taxed. The history of these three nations, ought to con vince us that public force and liberty cannot dwell in the same country.

I mean not to impute improper motives to any one, nor to examine the journal after changes, though I am perfectly willing to have it thumbed over from the day I took a seat in the House to the present, after my name ; and if on examination, it shall appear, that I have changed my political principles, or have not uniformly adhered to them, I am will ling to bear the name of a political hypocrite. I have formerly been on very great questions in small minor ities, a mir ority not sufficient to command the yeas and nays, on a most important question. I will say no more on this subject; nothing can be more disagreeable than talk. ing of one's self, and nothing could justify it, but the call which has been made; perhaps I have already said too much on it, but it was impossible to say less.

The dispute with G. Britain is most unquestionably for the carrying trade ; a trade which is less beneficial to the nation than any other. and a trade which has produced most of our disputes with foreign nations. and it is the only trade that requires expensive protection. Will any one contend, that this trade is half as important as the coasting trade? This cannot and will not be contended for every one knows that the coasting is the best trade. ' It not dnly exchanges the products of one part of the nation for those of another, but it also tends, by making us better acquainted with each other, to connect us more intimately, and to make every part harmonise for the public good - The trade which I consider the next best for a nation to carry on, is the direct trade for home consumption, by which the surplus produce of one country is exchanged for that of another ; and in this as in every branch of trade, this great rule will be adhered to, buy cheap and sell dear if you can. With the coasting and direct trade. agriculture is more nearly connected than with any other. But a nation may be agricultural without being commercial. The Swiss cantons and Milan, were of this description, and it may be remarked that Milan is the most populous country in Europe. China is said to be of the same character; but as little is knowh of that country, I shall New-Hampshire and Georgia are the samel de t

The gentleman who introduced the resolution, expressed a wish that no party or geographical feelings, should be brought into the geographical feelings, why the wish, or why anticipate them ? Let the report of the secretary of the treasury be examined, and it will be seen that there is cause for this feels ing; indeed the statement, made from that document by a gentleman from Georgia (Mr. Early) must have convinced all of the partial operation of the resolution. On the first page of the report it appears that the annual exports to Great Britain and her dependencies, are estimated at about 15,690,000 dolls. of which sum tobacco and cotton alone make 8.860,000 dollars-it also appears that we exported to the dominions of Great Britain in Europe for each of the three years end ding on the 30th September, in 1802, the sum of 12,066,521 dollars; and cotton, tobacco, rice, pitch, tari and robin, made of that sum 8,485,762 dollars ; in 1803 the sum of 16,459.264, and the same articles made of that sum, 11,912,493 Hola lars; in 1804, the sum of 11,787,659 dollars, and that the same articles made of that sum; 9,443,807 dollars. These articles are selected, because they are the produce of one section of the Union. The same part of the country produces the following articles in common with other parts of the nation, but the proportion of each is not known, flour, wheat, beef, pork, staves, heading, boards, plank, scanding, timber, flax-seed, skins, wax, hams, bacon, turpentine, spirus, latd, and Indian meal, and I may add, pickled fish ; some of these articles are carried to the middle and perhaps to the eastern states, and are there exported, or consumed, and enable them to export more of their own productions.

It has been said, that we have no complaint against any nation, exsincerely we had not, and that all hations would act as honestlytowards us; as we have done and are willing to do towards them ; but in examso much has been said ? If the doc: || ining this subject, we ought not to deceive ourselves ; trath, and trath alone should be our aim and guide. Have we no complaints against France and Spain for the conduct of some of their people on the ocean? I mean not to say a word about the conduct of one of them on our south western frontier. What has been the practice at Euba; to take our vessels, and sell them and their cargoes, whether with of without a trial I know nut. The owners I believe, have generally lost both vessel and cargo ; and

you depart from your neutrality. It is asked again and again if we have not a right to the trade aboilt which trine that free ships should make free goods had obtained, there could be no doubt on the question; but I mean not to examine the right but the effect of the resolution ; nor do I mean to deny that the trade is of some use to the nation. Merchants would not so anxiously pursue it, if they made no profit by it; and their profit adds to the national stock, and may affect the price of native articles offered for sales I am also willing to acknowledge that a cargo of flour or any other native production sent tobacco and cotton alone furnish the V to the West-Indies, and there fairly how have they treated 1 our se. men ?

speak only of revenue,) an additional