Ours are the plansof fair delightful peace, Unwarp'd by party rage, to live like Brothers,

THURSDAY, JANUARY 12, 1809.

North-Carolina State Gazette.

Vot. X.

CONGRESSIONAL DEBATES FOREIGN RELATIONS. (Continued.)

Mr. MACON soid, before the question was taken, he wished to make a few observations, in doing which he would endeavor to follow the advice of the gentleman from Maryland, (Mr. Nelson) who had just sat down ; neither to repeat what others had said, nor to make a long speech. He felt no disposition to fatigue the House or to 'tire himself.

Mr. M. said he had stated, when he introduced several resolutions some days past, that there were but three alternatives-submission to the edicts of the two belligerents who had injured us-war-or a continuance of the embargo. If there were any other he had not discovered it, nor had any one yet told the House of another ; but if any other, productive of the same ends, should be offered, that would be more satisfactory to others, he was not so much attached to the embargo, as to prevent his voting for that.

Do we know, said Mr. M. that

mind is an additional reason, to induce us to try the embargo some time lopger. To me it is as clear as noon day, that you cannot trade except to G. Britain and her dependencies without fighting your way thro' her fleet. I have another reason for wishing to try the embargo some time longer, and to have it amended in such manner as to prevent evasion. It is this ; that from the earliest age of our, country, we have believed, that by withholding our supplies and withdrawing from the ocean for a while, we could bring the most powerful European nation to fair terms. The experiment is now making, and I am desirous that it should be fairly made ; that if it succeed we shall know the value of the measure, and if it fail, hereafter we may not depend on it.

RALEIGH

Some gentlemen, living not very far from me, have advanced opinions. on the subject of the carrying trade, against which I now, as I always have done, whenever I have heard them advanced protest, I am now willing, and always was willing to go as far as any member of the House in the protection of the trade which fairly grew out of the agriculture and fisheries of the U. s. I never will consent to risk the best interests of the nation, for a trade which we can carry on only when Europe is at war. I do not hesitate to maintain this opinion at this time, notwith-tanding the cry, that a part of the U. S. are not only willing to cramp trade but to destroy it. A recurrence to the laws will shew he great encouragement which has been given to it. Is the drawback nothing ? Are the discriminating dutics nothing ? Besides these, many other things have been done for rade. Attempt to repeal only one of them, and you will immediately produce a ferment among the merchants. Ask the sailor too, who is brought home by the government, if nothing has been done for him ? The uncommon state, in which Europe has been placed by the last and the present war, ought to make us at least cautious, not to enter into war with France and England, provided we can by just means keep out of it. There is no visible object for which they are contending, unless it be for the mastery of Europe. They have by some means. or other involved every nation in Europe in their wars and quarrels, and have nearly ruined and destroyed all of them. When gentlemen speak of the evils which we suffer, not I say in consequence of the embargo, but of their wicked orders and decrees, let fnem compare our situation, and that too as bad as they declare it, with that of any nation which has gone into the war, and they will find that we have cause to rejoice. Compare it with that of Sweden, who has not suffered so much as many others, and they must be satisfied that our situation is far better than that of the Swedes. We have enough of the necessaries of life to make us content, and there is no nation in the world, at this time, that enjoys more of the luxuries of Europe and of the East and West Indies than we do; in a word, none that enjoys more of the good things of this world.

to surrender the rights of the nation (and further, that it was a pacific spirit; having told the world, that the United States were independent, it only required of Great-Britain to withdraw her troops and do us justice.

Gentlemen seem from their arguments to suppose, that only one part of the union is interested on this subject. It is a subject, sir, in which the whole nation have a common interest. Foreign nations undertake to dictate to you, and to tax you, and yet only one part of the people interested ! . The same goutleman from Massachusette, seemed to consider it a question of trade. Be it so, for the sake of argument. Then he fully proved to my mind, that if the 'embargo was off, we should have little or no trade, because he says there. is no danger of your paying tribute to England, for this plain reason, that if you go there. you cannot go thence to France or her dependencies, without your property being confiscated. Then, sir, carry all the produce of this nation to Englandy without the right to go elsewhere for a market. and you instantly glut that market ; and experience has taught us, that under such circumstanes, the price would scarcely pay the charges and duties. Let it not be forgotten that when we had a sort of war with France, the price of tobacco fell to almost nothing, compared to that which it bore before. I speak of tobacco, because I am better acquainted with the price of that than any other article which we export. Before the war it was ten dollars per hundred at Petersburg, in Virginia, and in great demand ; and before the war ended, it was not more than three dollars at the same place, and not in demand. The true muse of this fall in the price, was understood to be, that all the British markets were completely glutted, and that there was great difficulty in getting it to a market on the continent of Europe. How has this same article been affected at the same place by the embargo? When it was laid. the price there did not exceed four and a half dollars, and it has not been less than three since. This, to my mind, fully proves, that the embargo has not injured the price of it whatever the orders and decrees of France and England may have done. No one can doubt, that you were to raise the embargo, the first cargoes to Great Britain would command a good price ; but the moment the market was glutted, it would scarcely pay the charges. If I understood the genileman from Massachusetts rightly he said, that when I introduced the resolutions, I spoke with uncommon zeal, [Mr. Quincy explained. He had said the gentleman had spoken with a zeal which did him honor.] Sir, permit me to assure that gentleman, that it was with no other zeal than for the welfare of our common country. The gentleman from Virginia (Mr. Bandolph) used an argument against the embarger and the plant now proposed, which to my mind was conclusive in favor of both. thank him for it, because it is a better one than had occurred to me. He asked, who could have supposed that the two great conflicting interests of the nation, the agricul u al and commercial, would have united to sup. press all trade ? Can there be a stronger evidence of the correctness of the measure, than the union of these great conflicting interests in support of it ? Would they join to support a measure which injured them both ? No, sir, it was the interest of both that united them. An attempt has been made to compare the plan before you to the Chinese policy. No man in the n tion can, it seems to me, seriously believe, that we intend to introduce such a system ; but I will ask, would not a Chinese policy have been better for some of the nations of Europe, than the policy which they have pursued ? Prussia ? In the part which I have taken in the whole of this business, if I know my own heart, there has been no-

question in any shape in which any member might wish to have it discussed ; and I differ very much from the gentleman from Virginia, (Mr. Dawson) who has expressed a desire to have the question immediately aken. I hope that every member who wishes to give his sentiments. will be heard, and heard patiently ; and if any one should be desirous of speaking more than once, he will have my consent to speak twenty times, if he desires it. If the embargo has produced no good, and is now only producing evil, it ought to be repeated. But I firmly believe that it has produced much good, and if the laws be properly amended, that it will produce all the good that ever was expected from it ; for I cannot believe, notwithstanding the opinion of the gentleman from Massachusetts, that any respectable man will be found violating the laws of his country.

REGISTER

If you raise the embargo, where will you trade ? You cannot calculate on trading to Spain and Portugal, & their dependencies, without the consent of Great-B-itain? For notwith standing they are contending for their independence, it is with the aid of England ; and without that aid they could not do much, because they could not get all the supplies that tors who took advantage of it. He they stand in need of. It is not material to me, in the present question. for what Spain and Portugal are contending ; but so far as they are contending for self-government I wish them success. It is not in their power with France on one side, and G Britain on the other, to afford us commerce on any just terms. I agree with gentlemen that in a state of war, some of the sea port towns will suffer more than the interior country; but it is equally true that they will have all the advantages resulting from the expenditure of the public money, by which they will be enabled to speculate with profit on the country. There is but one way when bac laws are passed, to have them al tered, and that is by elections. They are the only correctives not only against them, but against every abuse of power. These have lately taken place, and so far as I am acquainted they terminated favorably to the embargo; in some districts it was made a question but without effect. In others where a change has taken place, it is not pretended that the embargo had much effect, probably none.) It appears to me, that there never was a time, in which it was more necessary than the present, practically to observe one of the admonitions, printed on the old continental money; UNITED WE STAND, DIVI-DED WE FALL. Nothing but a strict attention to this can secure, our rights ; it will, as formerly, secure to us all that we ought in justice to expect. If on a fair experiment, the proposed plan does not produce the desired effect, and I then have a seat in the national legislature, I will go as far as any member in adipting such measures, as shall be best calculated to enforce our na. tional rights. I have nothing more to say ; have expressed my ideas in a very desultory manner just as they have occurred. I did not expect to address you to-day. I have endeavoured to do that which I promised

but in consideration of public services "-Carried.

No: 446

Mr. SHOBER moved to amend the bill, by adding that part of the 5th section which exonerates from the operation of the law, clerks, sheriffs, &c. which was agreed to.

Mr. HOYLE proposed the following proviso : * Provided, that nothing herein contained shall prevent any execution from being issued and levi-d as heretofore, for costs accruing in any suit.'

Mr. Toole was opposed to this amendment. The costs of suit frequently were greater than the original debt. Such a provision would therefore defeat the object of the bill. Mr. PICKINS said, that except some provision similar to this was introduced into the bill it would operate with great hardship upon witnesses, who would be obliged to attend to give evidence without receiving any thing for their expences. Nor did he think it would be right to oblige the officers of the court to perform services, and at the same time say they shall receive no compensation therefor for twelve months.

Mr. WELLBORN agreed that the law would bear hard upon witnesses; but it would bear hard upon creditors, and upon all except those debhoped the amendment would not be received. Mr. SELBT hoped the amendment would obtain. Many officers of courts depended upon their fees for support, and it would be wrong to keep them out of these as contemplated by this bill. The amendment was negatived. The bill was then put upon its passage : Mr. WELLBORN said, when he came to this place, his mind was endecided as to the propriety of passing a bill of this kind; and if he were to vote for it, it would be for the accommodation of other parts of the State, and not that which he represented. But, as yet, he had heard nothing which shewed the necessity of the measure, & until he heard something to convince him that the peor ple are unable, from the low price of produce, to pay their debts without being driven to distress, he should vote against the passage of he bill, if he stood alone. He was unwilling to pass a bill of this kind, not only on account of the derangement which it would produce in society, but from the impression it was calculated to have on Foreign nations. .. It will them, that the people of North-Ca olina are unable to bear the effects of the Embargo, which their unjust mea uses have obliged our General Loverament to adopt. This he did not wish, hecause he did not believe this was really our situation. He did not believe that so much distress existed in the country as some gentlemen represented. And if the bill were to dass, Mr. W. thought the Senate had very impropering struck out of it the clause which excluded from its operation the banks. If the bill was passed without this pro-vision, it would be a law prohibiting the Banks from lending money, be-cause it would certainly be very im-prudent in those Institutions to word. money when they could have not liance on the punctuality of the ho rowers. Besides, this is greatly a gainst the interest of the Govern-

we have a single friend in the civilized world. We have no Hannibal at the gate ; but Rome and Carthage have both declared against us. Upon our own means alone we must depend, and if properly managed, they are equal to the cri-Sis.

The second resolution reported by the committee, Mr. M. said was in substance the same with two that he had moved. On this subject he had reflected much, not only since his arrival here, but whilst at home. On the subject of the embargo, he had endeavored to ascertain the opinion of well informed merchants and navigators, bot of one political party but of both The result of the enquiry was, that the embargo was a wise measure, and it was considered by some, to have saved to the U. S. fifty thousand sailors and fifty millions of property. This was the smallest number of either which had been mentioned to him, and was not consitiered too high.

If you raise the embargo, said Mr. Mr. what will you do ! Sub mission is out of the question ; and there is no proposition for war on your table. This is a proof that all consider our present situation better than actual war; and in my opinion, the embargo, aided by the second resolution which proposes a non-intercourse, will produce all the effects which can be desired by us on the two great belligerent nations. The second resolution proposes to put both these nations on the same footing. In their conduct to us there is not much, if any differences G. Britain impresses our seamen and "ttacked the Chesapeake frigate, and France pays no regard to the treaty which now exists betw.en the two countries. In every thing else I consider them as acting towards us alike, and at all times on the same principles. At this moment we have a law prohibiting the importation of certain goods from G. Britain, and the armed ships of that nation are prohibited by proclam tion from coming in to our waters. Adopt the resolution and you place the two nations on the same footing, and if one or both shall not like the situation, they know how to get out of it, We only want them to act justly towards us, and pothing more. When gentlemen talk of trading to this or that place under present circumstances, they seem to forget the ounnipotence of the English fleet, and that to carry on their desired trade, they must fight their way through that fleet. Permit me, sir, to add a few words as to war with both nations. Both have long endeavored to get us into the war on their side, and if we now go to war with both, it will be only a nominal war with France, while it will be an actual war with England: and so long as the British fleet prevents the French vessels from saiting on the ocean, so long the war will be only nominal with France, because we shall never meet. This to my

We have heard as much said about the possibility of a trade to Spain, to

Portugal, to the West Indies, and to South America, as if that trade was fairly open to us and perfectly secure, But when you compare this with what the gentleman from New-York (Mr. Mumford) told us about the French officer, and the dispositions of the people of Orleans, (and I regret he mentioned them, because they have no delegate here) your atteation will be drawn from this possible trade, to the troops in Nova Scotia, and Jamaica ; I will not hazard a conjecture as to their destination, but I will say we ought not to forget them, When I was up before I mentioned the spirit of 76, A gentleman from Massachusetts Would it not have been better for (Mr. Quincy) has since made many remarks on that spirit, but as he has already been satisfactorily answered by others, I shall only add, that it was a spirit of nemness, a spirit of thing of party in it. I have been perseverance, a spirit determined not willing from the first to discuss the

not to repcat what others have said, and though I have tired myself, hope I have not fatigued the house.

General Assembly.

IN SENATE, Dec. 20. DEBATE on the Bill to suspend Execu tions for a time therein mentioned.

The bill being on its second read ing, and a number of verbal amendments having taken place,

Mr. TOOLE moved to strike out of the bill a part of the last section, I did not believe any measure could which excepted from its operation judgments or executions obtained by the Banks of Cape Fear or Newbern, as being in conflict with the 3rd section of our Bill of Rights, which is in these words " That no. man or set of men are entitled to sive or separate emoluments or privileges from the community, which, he had n

ment ; for having considerable stock in each of the Banks, it will be locking up our money in the vaults of the Banks, where it will lie without producing any interest.

Mr. B. SMITH said, the gentleman from Wilkes would not be alone in his vote against the passage of this bill, He believed that a law of this kind would impair the obligation of contracts, and therefore be a viola-tion of the constitution of the United States. It would also impress Foreign Nations with the opinion that we are a distressed people i and he be adopted which would give greater pleasure to the British Minister. Mr. PICKENS was also unfavourably impressed towards this bill, but should vote in favour of its man a second reading. The bill on this is of great imports det inst Man ended to remedy eviluplock, ter