

# RALEIGH REGISTER,

AND NORTH-CAROLINA GAZETTE.

"OURS ARE THE PLANS OF FAIR DELIGHTFUL PEACE, UNWARD BY PARTY RAGE, TO LIVE LIKE BROTHERS."

VOL. XXXI.

THURSDAY, AUGUST 4, 1831.

NO. 38.

PUBLISHED EVERY THURSDAY,  
By Joseph Gales & Son,  
Raleigh, North-Carolina.

## TARMS.

THREE DOLLARS per annum, one half in advance. Those who do not, either at the time of subscribing, or subsequently, give notice of their wish to have the Paper discontinued at the expiration of their year, will be presumed as desiring its continuance until countermanded.

ADVERTISEMENTS,  
exceeding seven lines, will be inserted three times for a Dollar; and twenty five cents for each subsequent publication: those of greater length, in the same proportion. If the number of insertions be not marked on them, they will be continued until ordered out, and charged accordingly.

## MR. BERRIEN'S APPEAL.

TO THE PUBLIC.

Circumstances beyond my control have placed me under the necessity of presenting myself to your notice. I assert no claim to your attention, which does not belong equally to every free citizen of the public. But I ask, and I feel that I have a right to expect, your candid consideration of this address. Its subject is one of awakening interest to us all. The position in which I find myself has nothing inviting in it. It is one which I have sought, but which has been forced upon me, and one in which I am called upon to vindicate not myself merely, but the cause of truth, and the best and dearest interests of the community, at a hazard to which fatuity alone could be insensible.

The misrepresentations of a public journal, professing to speak the language of the President of the United States, and published under his eye, have presented me the alternative of submitting to an imputation, alike dishonorable & unbecoming in fact, or of meeting the issue which has been tendered to me under the alleged authority of that high officer. If I do not shrink from this unequal strife, it is because I have a confidence which has never wavered in the intelligence of my countrymen, a firm & unshaken reliance in the justice of that tribunal, whose high prerogative it is at all times, and under all circumstances, to vindicate the cause of truth.

I have studiously abstained from any effort to excite public feeling in relation to the dissolution of the Cabinet. I have felt that the question of its propriety was one, the decision of which belonged alone to the American People. Personally I have not been disposed to deny the right of the President to exercise his own free will, as well in the change, as in the original selection of his Cabinet; and with a perfect sense of the delicacy of my own situation, I would have been at all times a reluctant witness in the investigation which led to the recent events. It was not however enough that I should submit myself to his will, although the principle by which it was avowedly regulated, could have no application to me; for this I have unhesitatingly done. But I have been required silently to witness the entire misrepresentation of occurrences which the public were well aware must have come under my observation; nay, to be publicly vouchsafed as authority for that which was directly in conflict with my convictions of truth—and finally to be called to vindicate my own claim to veracity, assailed as it is under the alleged authority of the President of the United States, or to submit to an imputation which no honorable man may bear. I mistake the character of the American People, if they would require this. I am totally ignorant of my own, if, under any circumstances I could yield to it. If, in the face of this great community, the cause of truth can be prostrated by the use of power, at least the privilege of vindicating it, shall not be tamely surrendered in my person. I will bow to the decision of my countrymen—but whatever that decision may be, the high consideration of having faithfully discharged my duty to them, and to myself, shall not be taken from me.

The disingenuous and unmanly suggestion of my desire to remain in the Cabinet of General Jackson, notwithstanding the occurrences which produced my resignation, will be my apology for advert- ing briefly to the origin of my connexion with it, and to the circumstances which induced its continuance.

It was without any solicitation on my part, so far as I know or believe, on the part of any of my friends, that I was invited to accept the office of Attorney General of the United States. There were circumstances, temporary in their nature, but still strongly operative, which rendered it not desirable to me. I felt, however, that I was called to decide upon the question of my acceptance, not merely as an individual, but as a citizen, and especially as a citizen of Georgia. On certain principles of general policy, some of which are particularly interesting to the people of that State, the views communicated by the President, were in accordance

with my own; and I felt it to be my duty, not to withhold any assistance which I could give to carry them into effect. The announcement of the names of the intended Cabinet seemed to me, however to present an insuperable bar to my acceptance of the office which was tendered to me. I thought I foresaw clearly the evils which have too obviously resulted from this selection. A stranger to Gen. Jackson, I could not with propriety discuss these objections with him. I knew moreover, that some of his confidential friends had faithfully discharged their duty to him, and to the country, by a frank communication of them. In this state of things, I sought the counsel of those around me. To a gentleman high in the confidence of the President, and to a distinguished citizen of my own State, I submitted the inquiry, whether, with this view of the Cabinet which the President had selected, I could with propriety become a member of it. The former expressed his decided conviction, founded on a long and intimate knowledge of the President's character, that he would himself speedily see, and correct the evil. The latter urged the peculiar relations of Georgia with the General Government, as presenting a strong claim upon me not to refuse the invitation which had been given to me. I yielded to these suggestions, and took my place in the Cabinet, with a firm determination to avoid the controversies which I feared might occur. To that determination I have steadily adhered. Associating on terms of courtesy with my colleagues, my official intercourse with them was never interrupted by discord.

If there were any combinations growing out of the supposed conflict, between the interest of Mr. Calhoun and Mr. Van Buren, I had no part in them—and as little in the supposed measures of that character, having for their object to coerce Major Eaton to retire from the Cabinet—or to exclude his family from the society of Washington. With mine they did not associate; but no advance had been made on either side, and their actual relation seemed therefore to furnish no just ground of offence to either party. In this posture of things, and shortly after I had given an evening party to which Mrs. Eaton had not been invited, I received and heard with infinite surprise the message of Col. Johnson.

I could make no mistake as to its character, for there was a direct and repeated reference to the large parties, which had been then recently given by Messrs. Branch and Ingham, and myself. Such a mistake, if it had been one, would have been instantly corrected, from the nature of my reply. If the complaint had been of a combination to *exclude Major Eaton from office*, and not to *exclude his family from society*, the reference to those evening parties would have been idle; and my declaration that I would not permit the President to control the local intercourse of myself and family, would have been instantly met by an explanation, which would have removed the impression from the minds of Messrs. Branch and Ingham, and myself. Yet we all parted with Col. Johnson, with a clear conviction that such a proposition had been made, and feeling as we all did, that an indignity had been offered to us, there was, as I believe, no difference of opinion between us as to the course we ought to pursue, if this proposition should be avoided and pressed by the President.

This conversation took place on Wednesday evening, and the rumor of its intended removal speedily became general. On the succeeding day, the personal friends of General Jackson interposed, and he was awakened to a sense of the impropriety of his projected course. It was then, according to Col. Johnson's statement to Mr. Ingham, that the paper spoken of by the Editor of the Globe was prepared. My two colleagues had their interview with the President on the succeeding day, (Friday) and as Mr. Ingham's statement, made from full notes taken at the time, proves, no paper was shown to him at that occasion. Owing to a mistake in the communication of the President's wishes to me, I did not see him until the succeeding day, (Saturday), and then the excitement of his feelings had so entirely subsided, that he seemed to me to be anxious to dispose of the subject as briefly as possible. He spoke of the falsehood of the reports against Mrs. Eaton, of which he said he had sufficient proof; and upon my declining to discuss that question, he complained of the injustice of excluding her from society; referred to the large parties given by Messrs. Ingham and Branch, and myself, and told me if he could have been convinced that there was a combination between those gentlemen and myself to exclude her from society, that he would have required our resignations. He immediately added, that he was entirely satisfied that there had been no such combination, and again referred to those large parties, and to the rumors to which they had given rise, as having produced that impression. So far from then suggesting that information had been received from any member of Congress, when I claimed the right of having the names of any persons who had made to him representations unfavorable to my conduct,

he still referred to the thousand rumors which had reached him as the origin of such impression which had been made upon his mind. He showed me no paper spoke to me of *none*—intimated to me no terms which he would hereafter require.—By his declaration that he did not intend to press the requisition which he had made through Col. Johnson, I considered the object of the interview to be to explain to me the motives under which he had acted, and to announce the change of his determination. He accompanied this with expressions of personal kindness, which I thought were intended to soothe the feelings which he must have been conscious of having excited. Still I thought it was improper for me longer to remain in the Cabinet. Admitting that sufficient atonement had been made for the indignity offered by the message sent through Col. Johnson, there was a perpetual liability to the recurrence of similar outrage. I believed it, therefore, to be my duty to retire. My friends thought otherwise, and my own sense of what the interests of Georgia at that particular crisis required, induced me to repress my feelings.

When at a subsequent period, the controversy occurred between the President and Vice President, I thought I saw in this, the evidence of an intention again to agitate the question, which by the agency of the personal friends of Gen. Jackson, had been before happily repressed. The connection of Mr. Crawford with this controversy, and my own relation to Gen. Jackson, forbade me to take any part in it.—and I studiously avoided all interference, except to deprecate Mr. Calhoun's publication. I left Washington on the fourth day of April, one day after Major Eaton had announced to the President his determination to resign, according to the statement in his (Major Eaton's) letter of resignation, and not the slightest intimation was given to me of the intended change in the Cabinet. But when I saw the correspondence between the President and the several Heads of Department, I could not doubt for a moment how, and by whom, the dissolution had been produced. I did not feel at liberty to express my views generally, until my return to Washington should enable me to dissolve my connection with the President; but to a few friends who had the right to understand my actual position, I stated the utter impossibility of my continuance in the Cabinet, unless the President could place the retirement of my colleagues on other grounds than those which I believe to have occasioned it, and such as I could approve. In full view of the speedy dissolution of all connection between the President and myself, I availed myself of the occasion afforded by the kindness of my fellow citizens of Savannah, to do an act of justice to his public conduct, on a question vitally interesting to the people of Georgia. If there be any man who is incapable of understanding, or of appreciating the motive which prompted this act, I cannot envy his feelings, and will not attempt to enlighten his understanding. I returned to this city, had a conversation with the President, of which the prominent points are adverted to in my letter of resignation which immediately followed it, and having brought up the public business, which was in arrears, retired from office.

While these occurrences were in progress, Major Eaton addressed to me a letter of like import with his first communication to Mr. Ingham. He called upon me to sanction or disavow the statement in the Telegraph, that my family had refused to associate with his. I answered by detailing the conversation which had passed between myself and Col. Johnson, and stated that I had subsequently expressed the same views to the President, who had disclaimed any disposition to press this requisition, referring to that which I had previously stated to have been made through Col. Johnson. The Editor of the Globe has published this detached sentence of my letter, and has made an ineffectual attempt to distort its meaning. The public shall judge of the whole correspondence for themselves. I had no disposition to publish this correspondence. Perfectly satisfied that it would at all times speak for itself, and not emulous of reputation to be acquired in such controversies, I have resisted the numerous calls which have been made upon me through different journals to give it to the public. But the Editor of the Globe is in possession of it, and by the publication of an isolated extract, attempts to do me injustice. I exercise a right, therefore, which belongs to me, when I take from him, this unfair means of annoyance, by giving the whole to the public.

Friday Night, 17th June, 1831.

Sir: I have studied to disregard the abusive slanders which have arisen through so debased a source as the U. S. Telegraph. I have been content to wait for the full development of what he had to say, and until persons of responsible characters should be brought forth to enforce his vile abuse of me, and my family. In that paper of this evening is contained the following remarks of my wife: "It is true that the Secretary of Treasury and of the Navy, and of the Attorney General, refused to associate with her." This publication appears in a paper which professes to be friendly to you, and is brought forth under your immediate eye. I de-

sire to know of you, whether or not you sanction this statement; or disavow it. The relation we have sustained towards each other authorizes me to demand an immediate answer. Very respectfully,  
J. H. EATON.

J. H. EATON.

J. M. BERRIEN, Esq.

WASHINGTON, 19th June, 1831.  
Sir: I received to-day your note of last night, in which you call my attention to an article in the U. S. Telegraph of the 17th inst. relating to your wife—and desiring to know whether I will sanction or disavow that statement, you add, "the relation we have sustained towards each other, authorizes me to demand an immediate answer."

To this inquiry preferred as a matter of right, and presented in the form of a demand, my answer must be brief. It consists in the simple denial of the claim which you assert. I cannot recognize your right to interrogate me, concerning the statements of the Telegraph, or of any other public journal which are made without my agency. You might with equal propriety select an article from any newspaper in the Union, for the purpose of putting me to the question—and if the claim which you assert be well founded, I might be required in the instance of any person aggrieved to give my confession of faith, in relation to the various statements to be found in any of the journals, in which my name may chance to be mentioned. Such a demand, therefore, cannot be admitted for a moment. But although I cannot recognize your right, either as derived from the relation which we have sustained towards each other, or from any other source, to make the demand presented by your note, I am not quite so sure, looking to the position in which we stand before the public, that I can acquit myself to the community, or to myself for declining to answer your inquiry.

In the progress of those events which have at length resulted in the dissolution of the Cabinet my determination, has been not to do any act which was calculated to provoke controversy, nor to deviate under whatever urgency from that line of conduct, which my own sense of propriety prescribed. Acting upon this determination, I have necessarily pursued a course, which a refusal to answer your inquiry, might seem to indicate an unwillingness to avow. Such an inference would be unjust as it regards myself, and delusive in relation to the public. Although, therefore, I have the most unflinching reluctance to enter upon such a subject, and to do so, is not acquiesce in your right to demand it, I consent to do so, in order to remove the impression upon you, that I have by making the inquiry, imposed upon me the obligation to do so, from a just consideration of what I owe to myself and to the public. I have then to state to you, that up to the time of your marriage, I had not heard the rumors, which have since in various forms, been presented to the public, and were ignorant of Mrs. Eaton's relation to the society of this city. I accepted your invitation to be present at your wedding, therefore, with no distrust of the propriety of my doing so, other than that which resulted from my own situation at that period. You are yours, if no doubt, aware how much that event, and your subsequent introduction into the Cabinet, made these rumors the subject of conversation. I could not, however, continue in ignorance of that which was publicly and generally spoken of, and it consequently became necessary for me, embarrassed as the question was, by the official relation in which we stood to each other, to determine upon my future conduct.

In doing this, it did not seem to me to be necessary, to decide upon the truth or falsehood of the statements which were made. It was sufficient to ascertain the general sense of the community of which I had recently become a member, and having done so, to conform to it. In the winter of 1830, as I presume, he known to me, I was called upon by a gentleman, who presented himself as acting, and who I doubt not did act, under the authority of the President, to express, with precise reference to this subject, the regret which he felt at the want of harmony, or of social intercourse among the members of his Cabinet, and to announce his determination at any rate to have it. Messrs. Ingham & Branch were present at this interview. The fact was distinctly stated that they and myself had successively given large parties to which Mrs. Eaton had not been invited. We were then told that on such occasions at least the President would expect in future a social intercourse between our respective families. There were various other suggestions made during this conversation, but the recapitulation of them is not rendered necessary by your inquiry. I answered to this communication for myself, that I would not permit the President, nor any other individual to regulate the social intercourse of myself or family—and that if such a requisition was persevered in, I would retire from the official situation which I held. In the interview to which I was invited by the President some few days afterwards, I frankly expressed to him my views on this subject, and he declared my disposition to press such a requisition. I am not aware that any other occasion has occurred, in which the question of an intercourse between your family and mine has been presented to me in your family.

I am, respectfully, your obedient servant,  
JOHN MACHPHERSON BERRIEN.  
To J. H. EATON, Esq.

MONDAY MORNING, 8 o'clock.

Sir:—I have received your note of the 18th inst. It may become necessary for me to offer something in reply. For the present I have engagements which prevent me from doing more than to acknowledge that it has been received. Very respectfully,  
20th June, 1831. J. H. EATON.

Mr. BERRIEN.

JUNE 23d, 1831.

Sir:—I have not had leisure to reply to your letter of the 18th until to-day. It involved matter which it behooved me to give a full and calm consideration to. That has been given. I felt indisposed to believe that these attacks of General Green could be authorized by you, or were made under your sanction. Your declaration is evidence of the correctness of what I was before impressed with. I take occasion, therefore, with pleasure, to acknowledge the frankness with which you have disavowed an agency in these-acting business. Respectfully, your most obedient,  
J. H. EATON.

J. M. BERRIEN, Esq.

WASHINGTON, 23d June, 1831.

Sir:—Your note of yesterday was received in the course of the day. It was too much indisposed, however, to reply to it at the moment, and do so now merely to prevent misconception. In your note of the 17th inst. you called upon me to sanction or disavow the statements contained in a publication in the Telegraph of that

date. I could not recognize your right to make this demand, but for the reasons mentioned in my reply, I thought it was proper to state to you what I had done, in relation to this matter. To do this was the only object of that note.

You are quite right, however, in believing that I had no agency in procuring the publication of the statement referred to. And adhering to the spirit of your last note, I have no hesitation in thus confirming the conviction which you have expressed.

I am respectfully,  
J. M. MACHPHERSON BERRIEN.  
To J. H. EATON, Esq.

Shortly after this, I received a letter from Col. Johnson, which with my reply, I feel myself bound now to give to the public. I have anxiously desired to delay this until I could receive Col. Johnson's answer. Perhaps I have waited long enough; for my reply, according to the memorandum which I have of it, was dated on the 7th inst. But it is not this circumstance which has determined me. Col. Johnson has furnished to the editor of the Globe a statement full of otherwise, of what passed between Messrs. Branch and Ingham and myself and himself, on the occasion so often referred to. Extracts from this statement are used to do me injustice. This is done, to be sure, without the authority of Col. Johnson, but he has furnished the means which are thus improperly used, and I have no alternative but to give the correspondence, or submit to continued misrepresentation. I publish Col. Johnson's letter, as an act of justice to him, that the public may be in full possession of his statement of Mr. Ingham, to whom as well as to Mr. Branch I forwarded a copy of Col. Johnson's letter. From Mr. Branch I have received no reply—owing, as I suppose, to his absence from home.

Colonel R. M. Johnson to Messrs. Berrien and Ingham.

GEORGETOWN, 30th June, 1831.

Gentlemen: The Telegraph has alluded to some communication made to you by a member of Congress, authorized by the President—the substance of which is, that the President wished to co-erce a social intercourse between your families and Mrs. Eaton. I see the Globe denies it. I have thought it barely possible that the allusion could be made to me, because if I had ever communicated such an idea, I should have done the most palpable, gross, and wanton injustice to the President. I have disclaimed on all occasions, any right, or desire, or intention to regulate the private or social intercourse of his Cabinet. The President had been induced to believe that a part of his Cabinet had entered into a deep laid scheme to drive Major Eaton from his Cabinet, and of this he complained. I did not believe it, and as the mutual friend of all concerned, I proposed that I should have the opportunity to converse with that portion of his Cabinet before he had an interview with them, and he acquiesced—and the interview which I had with you, resulted, as I understood in a better understanding, and in fact in a reconciliation. Whatever came from me, upon the subject of a social intercourse was the suggestions of my solicitude to restore harmony among friends. My object was peace and friendship. I have never considered myself at liberty to say anything about this interview except to a discreet and confidential friend. I certainly should not think any of the parties justified in representing for publication or news papers, what any of the other parties said, without submitting each statement for mutual examination: for the plain reason that such conversations are so easily misunderstood. I may well permit myself a reconciliation, but may not possibly represent what you have said, or intended to say. I have not myself seen the necessity or propriety of any allusion in newspapers, or my interview, which was among intimate and bosom friends, where the conversation was free and unreserved, and for the object of peace and friendship. But if any should consider it necessary, then the great object should be, to state the conversation correctly; for there can be no motive to misunderstand the facts. For fear that allusion should have been made to myself, as a member of Congress, and believing it barely possible that I may have been misunderstood on the particular point alluded to, I have felt it my duty to state to you, that perfect friendship which has ever existed between us, to make known these views, that the proper correction may be made as a misunderstanding, without the necessity of any formal publication from either of us and without even a disclosure as to what member of Congress allusion was made.

Sincerely and truly your friend,  
RICHARD M. JOHNSON.  
Messrs. INGHAM & BERRIEN,  
City of Washington.

The absence of Governor Branch has been the only cause why this letter was not also addressed to him.

Mr. Berrien to Col. Johnson.

WASHINGTON, 7th July, 1831.

Dear Sir: Yours of the 30th ult. addressed jointly to Mr. Ingham and myself has been duly received. I have noted your view of the occurrence to which it refers, with a perfect disposition to meet you in the spirit of frankness and of good feeling, which is expressed in your letter. It is an evidence of my reluctance to engage in controversy, that I have abstained from going before the public, notwithstanding the multiplied misrepresentations with which the newspapers are teeming. I still desire to avoid this necessity—but as circumstances beyond my control may render it indispensable, I acquiesce with the less reluctance, in the interchange of recollections which you propose.

I am to speak of what occurred at the interview which took place between you, Messrs. Branch and Ingham, and myself, in your note. You had, as I afterwards understood, held previous conversations on the same subject with one or both of those gentlemen; but I was perfectly unprepared for the interview, until the moment when you announced its object at my house. The impression made by your announcement was such as not to be easily effaced from my memory. You began by expressing the friendly regard which you felt for those gentlemen and myself, and by stating that this was the first motive for your interference. You told us that an impression had been made upon the mind of the President that a combination existed between Messrs. Ingham and Branch and myself, to exclude Mrs. Eaton from the society of Washington—that he was excited by this representation, considering it as an attempt to wound him through Major Eaton—that

the President had seen with pain the want of harmony among the members of his Cabinet—that he was determined to have harmony, and that his determination would be announced to us in the course of the week. You added that you had in the mean time sought this interview with the approval of the President, from motives of regard for all parties. You mentioned as circumstances which had contributed to produce this impression on the mind of the President, that Messrs. Branch and Ingham and myself, had successively given large parties to which Mrs. Eaton had not been invited—and while you disclaimed any disposition on his part to require an intimacy between our families and Major Eaton, you added, that he would in future expect that at least on such occasions as that to which you had referred, (that is to say, when large or general parties were given) that Mrs. E. should be invited. I replied to you that not having been previously advised of the intention to hold this interview—having had no conference with the other gentlemen, I must be considered solely responsible for what I was about to say. I then observed that I would not permit the President or any other man to regulate the social intercourse of myself or family—and that if such a requisition was persevered in, I would retire from office. You expressed your regret at the terms of this answer—and I remarked that it was indifferent to me in what terms it was conveyed, provided the substance was retained—but that from this I would not depart. I understood you to disclaim any intention on the part of the President to require an intimate intercourse between the families of Messrs. Branch and Ingham, and myself, and that of Major Eaton, but to express with equal clearness his expectation that when we gave large or general parties Mrs. E. should be invited—and it was my purpose to deny altogether his right to interfere in this matter. The replies of the other gentlemen were, according to my recollection substantially the same—but I shall enclose copies of your letter to them, and leave them to speak for themselves.

The impression which this conversation made upon my mind is clear and distinct (and it is not probable that it could have been effaced from my memory. My own disposition was instantly to resign my office. In consequence to retain it, I yielded to the opinion of those in whose judgment I had confidence, and to my sense of what was due to the interests of Georgia, at that particular juncture.

My remembrance of this conversation is more- over confirmed by a recollection of what occurred on my subsequent interview with the President, in which a particular reference was made to it. When he spoke of a combination between Messrs. Ingham and Branch and myself, to exclude Mrs. Eaton from society, I claimed, as matter of right, to know the names of the persons by whom such a representation had been made. He said the impression had been derived from the various rumors which had reached him; spoke of the parties which had been given by those gentlemen and myself, to which Mrs. E. had not been invited—and added that the reports against her were foul calumnies. I remonstrated against this having adopted an opinion dishonorable to any member of his Cabinet on more rumor, but expressly declined to discuss the question of the truth or falsehood of the reports to which he referred—telling him, that, without undertaking to decide whether they were true or false, it was my purpose, to rely to conform to the general sense of the community of which I had become a member; and that I could not be induced to change that determination. The decision of the President not to pursue this matter further, I understood at the time to have been produced by the representations of some of his most intimate personal friends.

Such is my understanding of the conversation referred to in your letter. I look to it as the origin and continuing cause of the distraction of the party, which has thus lost the means of doing much good which it might have effected.—But I am not desirous to bruit it to the world, without imputing to me the alleged want of harmony in the Cabinet, my retirement is placed on the ground of the President's mere will, so far as I am concerned, it is well. I do not dispute his right to exercise that as he thinks fit; but for the sake of my children, I will not submit to the continued misrepresentations of the public journals. The best legacy I have to bequeath them is the untarnished reputation of their father. I can easily conceive, also, that a state of things may exist, in which a sense of duty to the public will compel me to speak.—But I hope such an emergency will not arise.

I ought perhaps to add, that I have already stated to Major Eaton the substance of this, so far as it was necessary to answer a call which he made upon me to avow or disavow the statement in the Telegraph, that my family had refused to associate with his. It was not necessary, however, to mention your name, and it was consequently not mentioned. I spoke of the interview as having been had with a gentleman who represented himself as acting, and who I doubt not did act under the authority of the President. Having now replied to your letter, I will only add, that should our recollections differ, I shall regret it. But that I have taken great care not to put down any thing which is not distinctly impressed upon mine. I am, dear Sir, respectfully, yours,  
JOHN MACHPHERSON BERRIEN.  
Hon. R. M. JOHNSON.

Mr. Ingham to Col. Johnson.

NEW-HOPE, July 13th, 1831.

DEAR SIR:—I have received a copy of your letter of the 30th ult. to Mr. Berrien and myself forwarded by him from Washington, relating to an allegation made in the newspapers, that Gen. Jackson had required, through a Member of Congress, of Messrs. Branch, Berrien, and myself, that our families should associate with Mrs. Eaton. I had also noticed the publication in the Telegraph to which you refer, and another of the same import in a New-York paper of an earlier date, and supposing it probable, in the existing state of the public mind, that the discussion would take such a range as to involve all the parties to that transaction in the necessity of making explanations, I had commenced the preparation of copies of a statement of the conversation which you have referred to, as well that between you and me, as that between General Jackson and myself on the same subject, intending, as soon as they could be completed, to send one to him and another to yourself. Upon the receipt of your letter, I immediately wrote to a note, expressing an intention to wait for the further communication of our recollections before I made any determination as to the disposition of my statement. But two articles in the Globe of the 11th inst. which you have no doubt seen, reached me before my letter was mailed, and arrested its progress. These articles, as far as they relate to this subject, bear on their face the evidence of having been authorized by Gen. Jackson and myself, and leave me no choice as to the publication of my statement. The article which I supposed to have been authorized by