Judge Glark's Speech.

What he Intended to Say to the Trustees of Trinity College Last Wednesday.

refused to let Judge Clark speak unless came necessarily from some one who he would promise not to print his speech, (which he had with him reduced to writing). He would not accept the terms. The speech he desired to deliver is as follows:

JUDGE CLARK'S SPEECH.

Gentlemen of the Board of Trustees: ommended as follows: (I quote from have gotten it from one who was,

In the present Catalog, it is headed:

FACULTY AND OFFICERS. And the first name under that is: "JOHN C. KILGO, A. M., D. D., President and Professor of Avera

School of Biblical Literature:" So it is clear, beyond cavil, that if his recommendation had been adopted, Dr. Kilgo would have had a four years' term instead of one year. It is alleged that he disclaimed at the time, including himself. The place to have done that was in the recommendation itself, or by amending it. If he made such oral disclaimer, it must have been when I was out on the committee, or when my attention was otherwise occupied. I did not hear it. Some weeks later, having visited the Ibbacco section and heard large numbers of Methodists express themselves adversely to Dr. Kilgo, on my return I bentioned this to Mr. Jos. G. Brown, a fellow trustee, with whom I had transacted my banking business for over twenty-five years, and said to him that I was well we had defeated the resolution for a four years' term. This getting to Dr. Kilgo's ears. he seemed vey much offended. Whether it was because he wished to pose as being so altruistic that he was only looking after the rest of the Faculty and was absolutely indifferent to his own interest, or whether he would have it understood that he was absolute master here, and nothing could shake him out,

I do not know. To his letter I replied, endeavoring to avoid a difficulty. I thought when I closed," showed him it was a private conversation he would desist. In truth, I did my letter, July 14th, 1897, the conversation and the reasons in plain Anglo-Saxon. When that

> "Chunk of old red sand-stone "Struck him in the abdomen."

there were no subsequent proceedings. The correspondence suddenly stopped, When the board met in June, 1898, I Judge Walter Clark, Raleigh, N. C.: did not care to come here to vote for his re-election, and not knowing any business needing me.

deemed my friends, could have taken confidence, such steps, especially without any no- Among others your attention is called with three men who were favorable to way between the two places, and your board was in session two days or more. Yet not a whisper of those proceedings came to my ears. I respectfully asked for information. Your secretary pu me off several days. Possibly Mr

Duke was absent. Then I received curt note, in effect that I knew th verdict and sentence, and that wa enough for me to know. Still I said no a word to any one. The punishmen for questioning Dr. Kilgo's supremac here was not enough. It must be pub lished to the world. In the Charlott Observer, June 25, appeared the follow

ing: "JUDGE CLARK'S RESIGNATIO

AS TRUSTEE ASKED FOR. "It is learned in Charlotte that a con troversy by letter has recently been i progress, between Judge Walter Clark of the Supreme court, and Dr. J. C Kilgo, D. D., President of Trinity coi lege and that at a meeting of the trus tees of this institution last week, Dr Kilgo laid the correspondence befor the Board, asked for an investigation and stated if they were sustained hi resignation would be forthcoming. Th investigation was made, the result bein that Dr. Kilgo was sustained, and tha the resignation of Judge Clark as

member of the Board was requested,"

At the late Clark-Kilgo trial the board, find his way. This going into print was on the Board. A telegram from the Charlotte Observer has been printed to the effect that it did not receive the news from any one connected with the college, which is true, so far as the paper is concerned. But a part of the process was disclosed at the meeting here on July 18th, when Rev. N. M. Jurney stated that Major Jas. W. Wil-If this were an oratorical contest I son told him a preacher had told him would say what I have to say without (Wilson) and he was going to have it manuscript. But as I might have the published in the Charlotte Observer. fate of Dr. Kilgo, at the coronation of Mr. Jurney said Major Wilson did not illegal. What I have condemned was State of South Carolina he got ten de-Mr. Duke, and the notes of my speech have it exactly correct, and he gave it the laudation which endorses the method positions. There are largely over a taken down by another might differ to him straight. So we have an au- of making the money. Whether eating | million of people in South Carolina. He from my recollection, I have reduced my thentic statement of the threat, and the meat that had been set before idols was formerly lived there, still has his parti argument to writing that I may read resultant proceedings, for Mr. Jurney is it. Especially is this prudence when not only a member of the Board who question but he certainly condemned the tour ten certificates of character. Some Dr. Kilgo's unsworn stenographer alone voted for the resolutions, but he is worship of the idol. is allowed in this room, and my request traveling agent in the employ of the to have a stenographer present at my college, and so a member of "the ad- Methodist church or college. I know who said that they had not heard them. own expense has been refused at Dr. ministration" that was endorsed. Who Dr. Kilgo's friends would like to give Two of the ten were Senator McLau-Kilgo's instance. I regret that it may be the preacher was who first told Major it that turn but he is neither the Metho- rin and his former class-mate Gov. El more tedious to you, but it will prevent Wilson, and who had not told it exact- dist church nor is he Trinity College. To lerbee. These gave him a good characall controversy as to what I shall say. | ly straight, according to Mr. Jurney, I criticise him is not to attack either. With- ter, but there was no one present to At the meeting in July, 1897, Dr. do not know, but he must necessarily out my knowledge or desire the Confer-Kilgo made a report in waich he rec- have been a member of this board, or ence elected me a Trustee of the college

years." I opposed the proposition. It tion was so intended) and calls for ex- discharged a painful duty. was referred to a committee of which planation. To the reporter who called I will now proceed to review the evi- ment of good character. Then he relied I was a member, and which reported on me for an interview, I gave the cor- dence brought out on this investigation, greatly on Bishop Duncan's statement against it and it did not pass. The respondence, including my letter to Mr. In no court of justice could matters be that he had no knowledge of his being Trinity Catalog of 1894-5, which I hold Southgate, in which I said that if I had properly investigated unless there was a wire-puller. As the Bishop is his in my hand has the word, "Faculty" in been asked in a proper manner for my process to compel the attendance of wit- known friend the Bishop is the last man large letters, and the first line under resignation, it would have been cheer- nesses. You have no compulsory pro- to whom such reports would be carried. President and Professor of Philosophy." tice; without opportunity to be heard or Of course those not Methodists can not ference this year and when it was known not tried and condemned him (Southgate) for his speech condemning public schools, which was calculated to be far more inujrious to the college than my unpublished views as to Dr. Kilgo. And especially why did they not try Dr. Kilgo, for whose views the Board is responsible, being the employe, for his excessively laudatory speech to Mr. Duke, of the Cigarette Trust, which was a deification of wealth. I spoke of his reputation as a wire-puller in South Carolina, and that he had not reformed in North Carolina, and mentioned that a clergyman in Tennessee had said he was a scrub politician. Mr. Southgate replied, saying among other things, I had not been on trial, only Dr. Kilgo, to which I replied that if I was not on trial, then the condemnation and sentence passed upon me was still less justifiable.

Dr. Kilgo then came out in an interconclusively, but believing that the in highest character, a lawyer of fine abili- him. Watch my prediction.

Dr. Kilgo had the ingenuity to re-open jug four out of six delegates to the Gen- fifty or seventy-five others whom Mr. not wish to tell him what I had said the fight and selected his own ground, eral Conference, and with note book in Beckwith selected at random among about his unpopularity. He persisted, He asked for an investigation of him- hand he told you could tell what each Methodist preachers and laymen, and and when he finally threatened to lay the self by this Board which had just en- one had said, that witnesses would talk who talked freely with him. It is commatter before his board, I gave him, in dorsed him under threat of losing his freely, but that few were willing to have mon sense that from the latter a more valuable services if they did not, (for depositions taken. He teld you that accurate opinion can be formed of the Mr. Jurney said the article containing while Dr. Kilgo has some strong parti- truth as to Dr. Kilgo's reputation. I that statement had been corrected by sans there that his character is that of have lived in Raleigh twenty-five years. him for Major Wilson) and summoned a wire-puller and ecclesiactical politi- There are men there whose general charme as a witness. I received the follow- cian, that it is generally believed that he, acter I do not know. A man does not

Durham, N. C., July 7, 1898. In your recent letters to me given to the public through the press, there are proceedings affecting me were in prog- accusations against the President of ress, I staid at home where I had some Trinity College, which require investigation by the Board of Trustees. As views would no longer go into deposi- intelligent, he is impartial, he was selec-When I received the short note in- Dr. Kilgo is an employe of the Board tion. As soon as Dr. Kilgo got a state- ted and sent there by this board, and he forming me that in my absence, a com- this investigation which will be conmittee had sat on me, condemning me fined to him, is not in order, him, the preachers possibly out of re- in South Carolina is that of a wire-puland asking me to resign, and the board but is necessary for the protection spect to him, ceased giving depositions, ler and ecclesiastical politician." had adopted their report, I was deeply of the Trustees in their purpose to em- but they continued to give Mr. Beckwith pained that men who had been my as- ploy no man in any capacity, whose statements which he took down. On sociates for years, and whom I had character is not worthy of the fullest cross-examination Dr. Kilgo drew out of Carolina separated, the latter took the

tice to me or opportunity to be heard, to your statements that Dr. Kilgo wish- Dr. Kilgo, but when Mr. Beckwith ask-There was a telephone in the building ed to evade the evidence you had against leaving on the train) and those deposi- Carolina, but I made no charges as to with one in this building, where you might not have the laymen of high standing in the church, both sides in South Carolina has develoption in South Carolina; his residency and truth. My charge was that his reputareputation in Tennessess and his record tion in South Carolina was that of a in North Carolina.

The Board will meet Monday, the 18th inst., in the Benefactor's Parlor, of the Duke Building at 5 o'clock p. m. It is earnestly desired that you present to the Board at the mentioned time and place in person or in writing all the evidence you have which will go to establish these accusations.

Very truly yours, J. H. SOUTHGATE, (Signed) President Board of Trustees Trinity College.

In obedience to that request I attended here on the 18th. What then hap pened and how I was received are fresh in your memory. Rev. Dr. Oglesby, (pastor of Mr. Duke's church and chairman of the committee which had condemned me unheard) was appointed prosecutor and I was required to give the names of my witnesses to him and time he had talked, who he said were gentlewas given both sides till Aug. 30, to ge:

up the evidence. This brings us to the evidence submitted here on this occasion. This resume has been necessary to its better consideration. Before I enter upon it, I wish to call attention to two points in Dr. Kilgo's interview which I refrained from you he had travelled in South Carolina knows that he made himself very offen- whole ground. You know that from the if he was looking for vice and immorality answering-in the vain hope thereby to two and a half years and in that time sive to former friends, at the time Dr. Kilgo sat foot in this State till he would not go into the so-called dark end this controversy. He said therein he had attended every District confer- 1893 and other conferences by now there has been a continued turmoil. corners but into the graded schools." that on a rising vote at June session, ence in that State, 26 in number, and his manipulation and wire-pull- The effect can be better seen than the This was the first time that the matte 1897, I endorsed him. It is true that at knew personally every Methodist minis- ing and is sure that the church in incidents described. You know that was voted out on objection from Dr. was put in the papers. It did not com t that time I was willing to re-elect him ter in it and most of the leading laymen. South Carelina suffered much injury from notwithstanding this maginficent plant Kilgo, on the ground that it did not from me. I did not know then of Dr. for one year, but I knew enough to be He said that Dr. Kilgo's general character of wire-pullers composed of and the "largest endowment" in the tend to prove Dr. Kilgo's "record in North

views confirmed and told Mr. Brown we split the church there by his "combine"

tween Dr. Kilgo and myself. In the same interview, he said on a ris- At your last meeting Dr. Kilgo had ing vote to thank Mr. Duke and accept this same Rev. John O. Wilson here and

and I have endeavored to serve the best | pect two public men to volunteer, with-Mr. Southgate's letter.) "That the law When it is published that any one has interests of both. If I shall satisfy you out cross-examination, a modification of which requires the election of the fac- been requested by his associates to re- that Dr. Kilgo is not a proper person a certificate of good character. Possiulty every year, be so changed as to sign, no matter from what kind of a to manage this institution it will be no bly these gentlemen may have elect them not oftener than every four board, it is a stigma (and this publica- pleasure to me. I sha't cel that I have thought wire pulling a necessity

fully given, but that it was unheard of cess for witnesses and that has been the The Bishop has been placed, out of his to try me in my absence without no- only chance of escape for the defendant turn, in charge of South Carolina Consubmit evidence, and to condemn me be made to obey your subpoena but he had given this certificate, the preach- hoped he would use differently his large nounce, but to suppress my indignation and publish that, and that my resigna- when I accepted your request to get up stopped giving depositions which would natural ability for the upbuilding of as best I may. Here is the affidavit: tion had been asked for. I said further the evidence, I understood that Metho- clash with it. They, however, talked the valuable interests committed to his that the Board had no jurisdiction to dists, especially ministers would obey it. freely and Mr. Beckwith stood here, charge in North Carolina. This depontry its members for their individual But many of the most material witnesses, note book in hand, to tell you what ent says this in the interest of truth and ent at a lecture delivered by Rev. John views, and if it had, why had the Board among them ministers who would have the leading preachers and laymen in right-not one word in malice, but all C. Kilgo in Roxboro and heard him use readily proven the charges in a few min- South Carolina said about Dr. Kilgo's in sorrow. utes, by testifying to what they had character as a wire-puller. But Dr. Kilfy and you admit you are powerless to who had spoken favorably to Beckwith compel them. Some refuse because it as to him. is unpleasant and they do not wish to In his speech Wr. Kilgo bitterly demingle in the affair. Others frankly say nounced Rev. Mr. Gattis for testifying they fear they will be injured by the in- against him when he had been kind to fluence of the agents of the Duke Cigar-him. Mr. Gattis told you he came very ette Company, and of Dr. Kilgo. Others unwillingly and only in obedience to your e. a most excellent character for Rev. T. still, who had promised to testify after summons, and his kind relations to Dr. C. Ligon and Mr. Beckwith said not one their names were furnished to the defen- Kilgo heretofore did not require him to in ten of those whom he asked about Dr. dant were touched by some magnetic in- testify falsely. You heard Dr. Kilgo's Kilgo belonged to that band. fluence that changed their minds. With thinly veiled, if veiled at all, threats It is not surprising that Dr. Kilgo has this absence of power to compel wit- of vengeance. As surely as Dr. Kilgo is offer d some evidence to the contrary. nesses the prosecution is called to prove the wire-puller and politician this evi- That he has partisans and frients in a case. We have done so we think, but dence abundantly proves him to be you South Carolina, is not to be questioned. it is nothing to the case we would have will see his efforts to have Mr. Gattis Besides it is always easy for any man to proven if witnesses could be compelled removed from his position at the next get certificates of good character. That to testify here as in a court of justice, annual conference—not because Mr. Gat- angers no one—not even the opposite par-In reference to the charge that Dr. Kilgo tis is not faithful and competent and has ty. But when the evidence is that a was a wire puller in South Carolina, the been so deemed all these years-but he man's character is not good, few men following evidence has been offered you: has testified against Dr. Kilgo, he has will give it, unless compelled. That lay-B. C. Beckwith, a graduate of this revolted against his "machine," The

with fifty to seventy-five gentlemen of favor-who were of course specially se- pulator and wire puller, John O. Wilson and Dr. Kirkland form- know Dr. Kilgo's reputation simply beed a combination at the Memphis Gener- cause he lives in South Carolina. If I al Conference to secure certain offices, wish to know a man's general character Dr. Kilgo's share to be the presidency of in Raleigh would I confine myself to a Columbia Female College. He took two few selected names he gives me, or would depositions, Rev. T. C. Ligon and J. K. I go generally to people of his associates, Jennings, which supported this view, but his church, his trade, his lodge? This wire-puller and this could only be shown y statements of people who knew it. It is necessarily hearsay. Yet Dr. Kilgo had further examination of Mr. Beckwith as to his reputation ruled out be-

cause it was hearsay. R. B. Boone, a lawyer of this place, Personally appeared John M. Knight a gentleman of well-known character, who, being duly sworn, deposes and the son of a Methodist minister and a Methodist himself testified to you that to give the names of parties with whom ence. men of high social and church standing, long known Dr. J. C. Kilgo, formerly, of fore if you wish you may disregard that but again Dr. Kilgo objected. Evidently S. C. Conference, now president of Trin- incident in making up your verdict.

not investigate."

When shortly thereafter I found my wire pulling politician, that he had badly had done wisely to defeat the four year with John O. Wilson and Dr. Kirkland meritorious ministers. term, this racket began. Till then there and the church was much relieved when friends and partisans there.

his gift I was present and did not pro- asked leave of you for him to sit at the test. Note the difference. On the rising table as his counsel. Afterwards he took vote to endorse Dr. Kilgo, he says I his deposition. In it, Mr. Wilson has to voted (as I said above) but on a rising admit that he had heard that Dr. Kilgo vote to thank Mr. Duke he merely says had been charged as being a wire-puller, did not protest. He is correct that but said it was not true. Of course he there was a difference. The fact is when | thinks that, for if Dr. Kilgo is a wirethe rising vote was taken to thank Duke | puller, the evidence is that Wilson was I (and I believe one other) did not rise, his associate. He also took the deposi-I thought that protest enough, if protest | tion here of Mr. Chreitsburg who formerwere ncessary. While I did not vote to ly lived in South Carolina and who it thank Mr. Duke I wish to call attention | seems now has made friends with Dr. to the fact that nowhere in this contro- Kilgo, though there are depositions here versy have I raised the debateable ques- showing it was not "ever thus." Dr. tion (whatever my opinions may be) Kilgo told us at our last meeting that he whether the College ought to receive could bring the whole South Carolina money made by a cigarette trust, which | Conference here. In truth, besides the is a business not only condemned by the two just mentioned, which were taken church, but which like all other trusts is here in July, in his late tour through the unlawful the great apostle left an open sans there and he brings you from his of those say they have heard these charg-Nor have I said aught against the es, but disbelieved them, he also found some eross-examine them when Dr. Kilgo took their statements and who would exand not a reflection upon or abate

After Mr. Beckwith and Dr. Kilgo who were traveling together in South Mr. Beckwith the conversation he had statements of Senator McLaurin and Gov. Ellerbee in Mr. Beckwith's absence, (he being given notice just as he was one of them assistant secretary to the annual conference in the absence of Dr. Kilgo and they have been rejected. As we justly complain of the reject to get certain official positions. I conhave aided you to a just conclusion, I read them:

State of South Carolina, Sumter County.

That he is editor and proprietor of the he formerly lived in South Carolina, has Sumter Herald, a newspaper published two uncles who are Methodist ministers at Sumter in said and State, and resides of the most distinguished members of in South Carolina and on a recent visit in the city of Sumter. That he is a our church. I have had two letters from to Spartanburg, S. C., he inquired par-member of the Methodist E. Church, him, since this publication in Charlotte publication.) If Dr. Kilgo could have deticularly as to Dr. Kilgo's reputation in South, and has attended as delegate from Observer of the Jas. W. Wilson-Jurney nied this charge would be not have done that State and found that it was that his church several sessions of his Dis- item in which he admits the conversaof a wire puller and politician, who had trict and Annual Conferences. That he tion and states the grounds of his opinion, made combinations to run the Conference is now a member of the Board of Col- but declines to give his deposition or aland had split it up badly. He offered portage of the South Carolina Conferlow his name to be used in this contro-

he wanted an "investigation that does ity (N. C.) College and that his relations with Dr. Kilgo have always been pleas- HIS RECORD IN NORTH CARO- and he said that the primary depart-Rev. T. J. Gattis, a minister of the ant. That at the 1893 S. C. Confer-

efforts in controlling appointments-to Trinity had last session the hurt of the church and injustice to er students by a good deal than Dr.

Deponent further says that in making Randolph. We have hardly half as many had never been the slightest friction be- he left, but that Dr. Kilgo still had his this deposition he is not actuated by any as Wake Forest under the quiet, peaceill will, prejudice, or improper motive of able management of Dr. Taylor. We any kind whatever, but simply in the have not as many as Davidson College, interest of what he conceives is truth. | which represents a denomination of 30.-Sumter, in the county and States afore- ship of about 135,000 to draw from and said, this August 27th, 1898.

J. M. KNIGHT. (Seal.) E. C. HAYNSWORTH, Notary Public.

State of South Carolina,

Sumter County. Personally appeared Charles Hurst, Jr., who, on oath, says that he is a resicounty and State. Has lived the greater part of his life in said city, and now occupies the office of City Clerk and Treasurer. He is a member of the Methodist Episcopal Church South, and one of the stewards of Sumter Station; that he has a casual acquaintance with Dr. J. C. Kilgo, formerly of the South Carolina Conference; and that the limited intercourse he has had with that gentleman has been pleasant; that he knows something of the reputation of Dr. Kilgo among the Methodists of Sumter, Subscribed and sworn before me, at

woman can be led anywhere with a diarepeatedly stated, have refused to testi- go shut this off, except as to three men Sumter, S. C., this August 23rd, 1898. mond ring." The ladies present were severe in their condemnation of the state-C. M. HURST, JR. EDGAR C. HAYNSWORTH,

Notary Public for South Carolina.

As to Dr. Kilgo's remarks about the "Holiness Band" the evidence here prov-

men and ministers of the highest stand-

TENNESSEE. ever lived in such a place as Tennessee, Tennessee. If I had intended to do so. I have enough knowledge of our language to say so and I did not. Nor have any information that Dr. Kilgo is well enough known in Tennessee to have any reputation there. The charge is a State, at the Memphis General Conference, and I have had letters as to certain conduct of his there from ministers in ed that there is a wide-spread understanding that at that General Conference Dr. Kilgo and others made a combination a short time, referring solely to the General Conference at which I was also a I used and with one of the

versy. As he does so decline, I can not Deponent further says that he has force him by your subpoenas, and there-

LINA.

North Carolina Conference, whose char- ence held in Sumter deponent first heard Your invitation to me to prove that is ranches into which paretns, careacter has never been impeached, told of the said Dr. Kilgo as a politician; and broad. It is impossible to cover the less of their children, pitched them and Kilgo's threat to resign if you did not opposed to risking him for four years. ter in South Carolina was that of a Dr. Kilgo and others, who directed their state (as Dr. Kilgo advertises)

Craven ran your attendance up to in Subscribed and sworn before me at 000 members, while we have a memberat Chapel Hill there are more Methodist students than of any other denomination and the number of Methodist students there has steadily increased ever since Dr. Kilgo started in here. There were 115 Methodist boys at the University 1896-7. There must be a reason for this. Methodists are as loyal to their dent of the City of Sumter, in said church and its institutions as any people and certainly as loyal as when with half of our present membership they gave Craven 171 students. Yet last year (excluding young ladies who were not admitted in Dr. Craven's time) there was here 141, counting every one who attended any day during the year. And that reason must rest, where the praise would be claimed, if there was a large attendance, i. e. with the administration of your President. I said in my letter, I thought he had proven himself unfit, and this result is strong evidence of it. Then there are the difficulties he and he does not regard it as an enviable has had especially with that much-loved one for a Christian minister. Deponent minister of the gospel, Rev. Jesse H. attended the 1891 session of the Con- Page, and with that genial gentleman ference at Charleston. He thinks the and Methodist, who has friends whereyear 1891. It was plain to be seen that ever he is known, T. B. Kinzsbury, L. I. there was an influential combination D., and many other difficulties might be which dominated the Conference, and recalled by you. Then I offered you evithat Dr. Kilgo was a leading spirit in dence of his secmon in which he said, the combination. Deponent believed that "the average woman can be led that Dr. Kilgo was regarded as a manip- anywhere by a diamond ring." It was ulator and wire-puller, and for some excluded from this investigation, but it years watched what he considered the has not been excluded from the knowlhurtful effects of this in the South Carol edge of the people of North Carolina. effects of this in the South Carolina Can the pure and nobic women of our lina Conference, Rev. H. F. Chreitzburg, church be expected to send their sons now of North Carolina, a Christian gen- to a college whose President says that tleman of un-impeachable character, the average woman has her yielding point deponent believes, was practically driven -in a diamond ring. Did he speak this from South Carolina by the adverse in- upon his own experience or from nearfluence of Dr. Kilgo and his ring of say? I cannot trust myself to speak ecclesiastical politicians. Other valua- my sentiments upon this declaration as ble men have felt the effects of it, and to my fair countrywomen, who are as deponent was not sorry when Dr. Kilgo pure and as chaste as any that adorn was transplanted to another State, but God's footstool. I am not here to de-"Roxboro, N. C., July 26, 98,

> ment and the low estimate placed by the speaker on the fidelity and constancy The above language of Dr. Kilgo was published in the next issue of the Person county Courier and has never been de-

We hereby certify that we were pres-

the following language, "The average

nied so far as we know. "IDA LANSDELL,"

Many other ladies have concurred in this statement. Noell Bros, editors of the Courier

confirm the statement as to the publication of this language and that no correction has ever been asked. The paper signed by parties of high character that they heard the words used and were very indignant is in my hands and was excluded because your appointed Prosecutor did not think it tended to prove Dr. Kilgo's "Record in North Carolina," or his "unfitness" which charges you had view. I could have answered him very college, a Methodist, a gentleman of the ward politician and "boss" must punish ing in South Carolina have refused to requested me to prove. He (the prosecuobey your subpoena to testify as to Dr. tor) also objected because the paper proof terest of the church and college could ty was sent by you to South Carolina Mr. Beckwith was sent by you to Kilgo's character is strong evidence that is not in form a deposition. But Mr. not be served by a continuance of the to get up evidence. He testified here South Carolina to learn what Dr. Kilgo's they could not say that it was good. If Southgate notified me through the letter controversy, at a sacrifice of my impulse that he was absolutely indifferent and character was. Dr. Kilgo thinks that they could, they would have obeyed the I have in my hands that Dr. Kilgo did and to my own injury possibly, I was told Dr. Kilgo who went with him that Mr. Beckwith took his mission too se- subpoena and so answered. But the posi- not wish to be represented at Roxboro, silent. The other side having had the he would report the fact as he found it. riously. He contends that Mr. Beckwith tive testimony offered you by the prosecu- Hamer and Madison (at which points first article in the paper, and the last, He went through South Carolina from should have formed his opinion of Dr. tion should be sufficient to convince you this and two subsequent pieces of evithe opening and the conclusion as it one end to the other, stopping at several Kilgo's reputation in South Carolina that Dr. Kilgo's reputation that Dr. Kilgo's r were, it would seem the "incident was important points. He said he conversed from the ten who gave depositions in his olina was that of a shrewd, sharp maniparty may be present I told him I would But since I would not furth reply, standing in the Methodist church including lected by Dr. Kilgo-and not from the RESIDENCY AND REPUTATION IN not go through the form of again taking these affidavits. The correspondence is The invitation of the President of your present. I did not suspect that Dr. Kilgo Board is to show that Dr. Kilgo was a who has strenuously insisted that this resident of Tennessee, and his reputation was not a technical jury, and hence that in Tennessee. Dr. Kilgo in his interview witnesses before you must not be sworn, puts aside with scorn the idea that he the stenographer (his own) should not be sworn, the jurors unsworn and hence or that even any relation of his ever that you should hold that those jurors lived there. Yet I have never alleged who have voted to endorse Dr. Kilgo that Dr. Kilgo ever lived or resided in heretofore and in recent District Conferences are competent to sit-I did not suspect that when we got to evidence of his public utterances, going to prove his "Record in North Carolina" and his "unfitness," he would suddenly become so technical that he would object to this evistraw man put up by Dr. Kilgo to knock dence because not strictly in deposition down again. My language was form. Yet if we had gone on and taken the explicit. I said Dr. Kilgo "was a short same affidavits over a second time, he time in Tennessee, and that one of the would not have been represented. In truth most distinguished members of our he had nothing to gain by being representchurch in that State not a layman, said ed. He knew what the charges were. They to me: "We know the fellow well-he is have been published in the press. He a scrub politician." Dr. Kilgo was a said he did not wish to be present at short time, three weeks possibly in that taking of the affidavits. Now he uses the highly technical objection that he was not represented in taking an affidavit, when he said he would not be rep-Virginia, North Carolina and South resented, Dr. Oglesby, the prosecutor, admits the objection and rules the eviin Raleigh, where I sat, connecting him; the speech which you quote him as versations with others, and I asked it, lions were admitted. Mr. Beckwith pro- that and hence have offered no evidence deposit Carolina, but I made no charges as to dence incompetent and by a new techniwith one in this building, where you with one in this building, where you references as to this speech; his reputation of high standing in the church, both sides in South Carolina has developproduce the evidence, and not by your technical Prosecutor, on Dr. Kilgo's motion you refuse to let it go on the minutes (which you are to print) that this Reputation is what people say of a man. tion of valuable evidence which would tented myself with saying he was there evidence was offered. Dr. Kilgo could go on the stand, and he owed it to himself and to this college to go on the stand member, and had met him. I did not understand the Tennessee gentleman I do so. Any one who will visit Roxboro quoted when he said: "We know him or write there will find that beyond all well," as meaning by "we" the people of dispute, the sentiment and those words Tennessee. The conversation took were used by Dr. Kilgo on that occaplace in the very words stantiate the undoubted fact are many of them ladies and of the highest standing, and hence all are not given in this

Then I also offered you, as further proof of his record (which you asked for) the personal evidence of Hon. John R. Webster, ex-Speaker of the House of of this college well and favorably known all over North Carolina, that he heard Dr. Kilgo lecture in Madison last year ment of our graded schools are "baby

He testified in person, but the evidence

(Continued on Second Page.)