SOUTHERN & RM GAZETTE

A Farm and Home Weekly for

The Carolinas, Virginia, Georgi and Florida.

FOUNDED 1886, AT RALEIGH, N. C.

Vol. XXXII. No. 9.

SATURDAY, MARCH 3, 1917

\$1 a Year; 5c. a Copy

THE MAN WHO BURNS DOLLARS

burning stalks, grass and other rubbish overhangs his farm, advertising his destructiveness to the world. He revels in the use of fire. Corn stalks are cut and laboriously piled by hand and the torch applied; grass, straw, and weeds are raked into long windrows and burned. In fact, he burns about everything in the fields except the cotton stalks, and the only reason these are not burned is because years of burning vegetable matter have so impoverished the soil that it is incapable of growing anything but "bumble-bee" cotton, the stalks of which are foo tiny to rake or

pick up. Let's see what

ing away.

TE ALL know him, the man who burns dollars. At

From analyses at hand, it appears that corn stalks and the accompanying fodder contain about one per cent of nitrogen, or twenty pounds per ton, worth at present prices about

\$5. Weeds, grass and similar materials probably run equally high in nitrogen content. Thus the man who burns a ton of corn stalks, grass or weeds is deliberately destroying \$5 worth of plant food, since fire drives off into the air practically all the nitrogen contained. We believe the humus value of such materials is as high as their direct fertilizing value and if this be so, their burn-

this season the haze of blue smoke from profitable yields. he's losing-actually throw-

A GOOD STAND OF WHITE CLOVER. SEE PASTURE ARTICLE ON PAGE 3

DON'T FAIL TO READ-Dewberries and Blackberries: How to Grow Them . . "Little Gardens" in March How to Terrace Lands Fertilizer Analyses and What They Mean 14

ing means a total loss of \$10 for each ton destroyed. In other words, the man with a twenty-acre field of corn stalks, assuming 1000 pounds of stalks per acre, is losing a round \$100 when he burns these instead of plowing them under. At the same time he is probably buying fertlizers at high prices in the effort to keep his humushungry fields up to

> It is not enough to say that stalks and grass are in the way of cultivation, for if they are cut to pieces and plowed under in time they will very soon be thoroughly rotted and incorporated with the soil; nor is it enough to say that we have no implements for cutting the stalks to pieces, for if we have no disk harrow or stalk cutter, it will pay many times over to chop up the stalks with a hoe, rather than sacrifice their plant food and humus value by burning.

> > This is a time for soil conservation and soil building, a time for saving and utilizing every possible pound of plant food. The man who fails to do these things, who burns plant foods instead of saving them, will sooner or later find himself up against poverty on a worn-out

> > farm. He will have burned dollars too