

The Tar Heel

LEADING SOUTHERN COLLEGE TRI-WEEKLY NEWSPAPER

Member of North Carolina Collegiate Press Association

Published three times every week of the college year, and is the official newspaper of the Publications Union of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, N. C. Subscription price, \$2.00 local and \$3.00 out of town, for the college year.

Offices in the basement of Alumni Building. Telephone 403.

J. T. MADRY, Editor
F. F. SIMON, Business Mgr.

Editorial Department
Managing Editors

J. F. ASHBY, Tuesday Issue
BYRON WHITE, Thursday Issue
L. H. MCPHERSON, Saturday Issue

D. D. Carroll, Assistant Editor
J. R. Bobbitt, Jr., Assignment Editor

Staff

J. H. Anderson, W. P. Perry
J. M. Block, J. P. Pretlow
Walter Creech, T. M. Reece
J. R. DeJournette, D. T. Seiwald
E. J. Evans, S. B. Shephard, Jr.
D. S. Gardner, J. Shohan
Glen F. Holder, F. L. Smith
John Marshall, W. S. Spearman
H. L. Merritt, Wm. H. Windley
T. W. Johnson, Henry Lay

Business Department

W. W. Neal, Jr., Asst. to Bus. Mgr.
Charles Brown, Collection Mgr.
G. W. Ray, Accountant

Managers of Issues
Tuesday Issue, W. R. Hill
Thursday Issue, James Styles
Saturday Issue, Edward Smith

Advertising Department

Kenneth R. Jones, Advertising Mgr.
Young M. Smith, Asst. Adv. Mgr.

M. W. Brennan, Local Adv. Mgr.
William K. Wiley, Ben. Schwartz
G. W. Bradham, C. J. Shannon
Oates McCullen, Edwin V. Durham
J. H. Mebane, M. Y. Feimster
Walter McConnell, A. J. McNeill

Circulation Department

Henry C. Harper, Circulation Mgr.
R. C. Mulder, Filer of Issues
C. W. Colwell, Tom Raney
Douglas Boyce, W. W. Turner

You can purchase any article advertised in the TAR HEEL with perfect safety because everything it advertises is guaranteed to be as represented. The TAR HEEL solicits advertising from reputable concerns only.

Entered as second-class mail matter at the Post Office, Chapel Hill, N. C.

Saturday, April 2, 1927

SPEECHES IN CHAPEL

(By D. D. C.)

To the minds of many students comes this problem: How shall we decide upon the men who deserve our votes? The names of some of these candidates for campus offices we have never heard before. How may we learn enough about the nominees to enable us to vote intelligently?

It is true that no accurate medium exists for the information of the campus concerning the relative merits of the men whom it must judge. Here, therefore the student body has voted blindly for men whose qualities have been extolled by their campaign managers. Consequently, the best machine has won; often regardless of the intrinsic merit or worthlessness of the men on the ticket. This year has brought a better informed student body as a whole; but no movement for the education of the voters (whose fickle interest and lack of time for personal investigation are deplorable) has been started.

Nevertheless, it is obviously absurd that we should depend on stealthy canvassing or tricky gossip for our information. This boosting of candidates should be done publicly, where falsehoods can be challenged, instead of in whispered confabs. Since every candidate is asking for the favor of the student body, has not this electorate the right to demand a public appearance of all prospective officers? The president of the student body has stated that he will offer next week chapel periods to all the major candidates if most of them are willing to address the student body. Is there any reason why those men who dare to run for position which will next year require ability in speaking should refuse to appear before the men whose votes they desire?

It is most likely that the editors of publications may logically decline to make speeches. Indeed, this text might put an excellent writer at an unfair disadvantage when he really deserves the position. But the rivals for the editorships of the *Yachety Yack* and the *TAR HEEL* are none too well known. They would do the campus and themselves a service by sitting on the stage in Memorial Hall next week, and being introduced and even by declining to speak, they would undoubtedly clarify the situation, because two chapel periods will hardly suffice for presidents' speeches above.

If the candidates for the presidency of the student body and the respective classes decide to do the campus this favor, they must remember that the student body is surfeited with the time for sugary, political gushing. Everybody knows that each candidate expects to "do his best to make Carolina etc." Tra la la; sweet sweet. The campus is alive with real issues now. Is the campus not justified in requiring its would-be officers to have

a platform instead of an after dinner sputter? Furthermore these brief, business-like speeches could wisely include a comparison of records. This is done out in the state. We who must vote on April 6 have a right to know not only what measures a man stands for, but also why he is more capable to fill the position than his rivals. We see no reason why a candidate should not compare his past with that of another man—and in a decent manner. If his comparisons are unspurious, they will prove a boomerang to him.

But all these are merely suggestions. The writer believes that they meet with the approval of the voting majority. At any rate, the candidates for the various presidencies must decide whether they will deny the student body an opportunity to hear them say something. No doubt their attention has not been called to this need before. We do not believe that they either desire or ought to ignore it.

A CONSTITUTION IS NEEDED

(By J. F. Ashby)

The controversy arising out of the suspension from the University of the thirteen men for gambling again has forced to the front the matter of drawing up a constitution of the student government here.

Points at issue in the controversy have hinged in many cases around the question of what powers the council has in governing the student body. Concomitant with the desire to know the authority and duties of the Council in methods and procedure, the rights of individuals, protection from coercion (as to being forced to give self-incriminating testimony), and such established immunities have demanded a clear-cut definition.

The projection of these questions and others in the mind of the average student has caused men of inquiring and thoughtful minds to conclude that there is an urgent need for written statement of the powers and limitations of the student council in its procedure in the course of administering student government. A resolution to the effect that a constitutional convention be called at an early date was offered and passed at the first assembly of the student body on March 11. Three men, interested in the adoption of a constitution, drew up a complete instrument and recently submitted it to the student body through the open forum column of the *Tar Heel*.

Whether or not the student council proceeded according to precedent in the matter of having men testify against themselves and their friends does not enter here more than to serve as a questioning index as to what will follow later in like cases. If the student government is to function with the cooperation and sanction of those governed, the methods of the officials should have the approval of the majority. It is meant thereby to have a government by the will of the majority of the people, set forth in laws contained in a written document. Such matters as delimiting the violation of the honor code to cheating, stealing and lying, the methods of procedure to be used by the student council in apprehending violators of the honor code, and those to be employed in trials, should be designated in a permanent form. These principles and methods laid down in writing will serve as a mutual protection for the council and the student body.

It is not meant to propose here the adoption of a detailed constitution which would bind the functions of the council to a rigorous procedure in dealing with every case. The embodiment of a code of honor, a general procedure and a bill of rights would best fill that which is needed. In view of the need of a written instrument which guarantees the rights of the individual and at the same time gives the student council an approved procedure with which to act, we believe that the student body should respond to the motion already adopted. A convention should be called and a constitution should be adopted as soon as it can be drafted and approved by the students.

Frosh Forensic Stars Will Meet Davidson

The freshman interscholastic debate between Carolina and Davidson will be held in May, the exact date being yet unsettled. The University affirmative side will meet Davidson negative here. The Davidson affirmative and Carolina negative will debate at Davidson.

The subject is, resolved: that all inter-allied War Debts be cancelled. The preliminaries will be held April 28, in the Law Building.

University Women To Meet With Mrs. Stacy

The local American Association of University Women will meet Tuesday, April 5, at 4:30 at the home of Mrs. Stacey. Miss Herriet Herring will speak on "Trends in Industrial Welfare in North Carolina." Reports will be given of other state organization meetings recently held in Greensboro and the biennial convention being held in Washington, D. C. The annual election of officers will take place.

OPEN FORUM

Editor of *TAR HEEL*: I am writing this before the results of the Referendum is published—but it seems to me, that regardless of results that the student body of The University of North Carolina has been twisted, turned and sent headlong into a vote in which the ballots were fixed to please the mind of some apprehensive person who arranged them.

In the first place the third clause stated GUILTY OF LYING when that was to have been the distinction between Aycock's motion and Brown's motion. It seems that in a question as important as this that some one capable of making out ballots would have been duly authorized to do so and not let one who would state things so that the careless voter would have mis-interpreted No. 3.

The vote was not carried out as was voted by the students in the meeting Tuesday night. There was supposed to have been choice one and choice two but as it was there was only one choice offered and that gave one side a two to one advantage to begin with.

Gentlemen of the STUDENT BODY—IS THAT DEMOCRACY? Is that fair? Is the student body boss here or is it that our destinies shall be put in the hands of some unworthy person as whoever the one was that let such ballots as these be used?

This is not written against the STUDENT COUNCIL. Our council is worthy, just and honorable. They have done their best. They represent OUR STUDENT BODY and they too are to be included in this raw deal of the person who made out the ballots.

Whoever he is, whatever he is, and wherever he is, he has done this student body including the council and the thirteen men sentenced an injustice because the vote of the student body was altered and fixed to suit his rather mediocre ideas of STUDENT SELF GOVERNMENT.

Signed
ALEX MENDENHALL

Chemistry Seniors On Sightseeing Tour

Eleven seniors in the school of chemistry left Chapel Hill yesterday morning at 5 A. M. for a two day trip to Badin, Concord, and Charlotte where they will visit points of interest to a chemical student. They made the trip in private automobiles and were accompanied by Dr. F. C. Vilbrandt of the chemistry staff. They are expected to return to the Hill at a late hour tonight.

The party will visit the Kerr Finishing Mill in Concord, the McClaren Rubber Company, Swift and Company and the Standard Ice Company while in Charlotte and before returning to the Hill they will visit the Tallahassee Power Company's plant at Badin.

Those making the trip were F. W. Davis, H. A. Ljung, W. B. Sellers, F. W. Zurburg, O. B. Hager, C. L. Thomas, W. C. Hammond, W. D. Kerlin, Haywood Parker, L. A. Bass, A. T. Clifford, and Dr. F. C. Vilbrandt.

Greenlaw Is Spending Several Days Here

Dr. Edwin Greenlaw, formerly of the faculty of the University of North Carolina, is spending the week at Chapel Hill. While connected with this university Dr. Greenlaw was Dean of the Graduate School; he is now with the Graduate School of Johns Hopkins University.

The spring holidays are now being observed at Johns Hopkins University so Dr. Greenlaw and his family were free to revisit Chapel Hill. They still keep their home at this university as they expect to return for frequent visits. The house is now being occupied by Mr. and Mrs. Dougald McMillan.

The University of North Carolina will debate with Emory University in Gerrard Hall April 8 on the subject, resolved: that the United States should adopt uniform marriage and divorce laws.

A banquet for the Fayetteville club in the club room of the "Y" on Monday night at nine o'clock. All Cumberland boys are cordially invited to attend.

AT THE CHURCHES

BAPTIST
Eugene Olive, Pastor
9:45 a. m.—Sunday School, student classes by R. B. Lane and A. C. Howell.
11:00 a. m.—Morning Sermon, "Sins of College Men."
6:45 p. m.—B. Y. P. U.
7:45 p. m.—Evening Sermon: "A New Earth."

CHRISTIAN
B. J. Howard, Pastor.
9:45 a. m.—Sunday School.
11:00 a. m.—Morning Sermon.
7:00 p. m.—Christian Endeavor.
8:00 p. m.—Evening Sermon.

CHAPEL OF THE CROSS
A. S. Lawrence, Rector
9:45 a. m.—Sunday School.
10:00 a. m.—Men's Bible Class, conducted by "Andy" Millstead.
11:00 a. m.—Morning Service. Holy Communion.
7:30 p. m.—Illustrated lecture, with lantern slides, on "Alaska."

ROMAN CATHOLIC
Service on the first and third Sundays of the month on the second floor of the Y. M. C. A., conducted by Father O'Brien, of Durham.

METHODIST
Walter Patten, Minister
9:45 a. m.—Sunday School.
11:00 a. m.—Morning Sermon.
6:45 p. m.—Epworth League.
7:45 p. m.—Evening Sermon.

PRESBYTERIAN
W. D. "Parson" Moss, Minister.
9:45 a. m.—Sunday School.
11:00 a. m.—Morning Sermon.
6:45 p. m.—Christian Endeavor.
7:45 p. m.—Evening Sermon.

Trackmen Meet Va. Tech Here This Afternoon

(Continued from page one)
season and may toss the round plate to a new record this afternoon. Gray, oddly enough, is another discus thrower who placed in the finals of the discus throw at the conference meet. Both men are capable shot putters and the Tar Heel weight men, Williams, Harper, and Colburn will have their hands full. The probable Carolina entries are:

Dashes: Harrison, Gray and Ball. Middle distances: Rhinehart, Henly, Milstead, Hackney, Barnhardt and Evans. Distances: Elliott, Russ, Tilly, Pritchett, Daniels, and Brown. Hurdles: Pierson, Sandlin and Ball. Jumps: Pierson, Pursor, Buck, Cowper, McFadyen, Johnston, Gray, Sandlin and Ball. Field events: Sandlin, Johnston, Williams, Harper, Colburn, Thomas and Lipscomb.

Paddles Resound Over Campus As Neophytes Undergo Pains

(Continued from page one)
only to impress on them the importance of the epoch-making event. The neophytes were taken into the sanctum sanctorum of the greek strongholds and there in solemn and majestic ceremonies, new vistas on life, death, and eternity were duly

SEE OUR
EASTER LINE OF
SHOES
LACOCK'S

opened to them. Now, many semi-barbarians have become full-fledged greeks. The annual and inevitable disillusionment is now complete. Yesterday was April Fool's Day. So let it be.

The comedy which began with the rushing furor in the fall is nearing an end. The nondescript assortment of curious pledge-buttons have given place to a nondescript assortment of more curious pins which the new men will display conspicuously on new Easter suits. The boys who are to be denied the "rights and privileges" of wearing a pin by failure to make the required grades furnish an element of pathos to the farce, because they too were made the undergo the torture of the paddle. The majority of the lodges have finished initiating and the others will follow immediately.

The fraternities holding initiations and their new men are: Phi Gamma Delta: Travis Brown, Charlotte; Bert Brown, Tarboro; Robert Graham, Charlotte.

Zeta Psi: H. G. Tilghman, Dunn; C. L. Smith, Raleigh; H. L. Lyon, Whiteville; C. F. Williams, Raleigh; J. W. Graham, Edenton; T. J. Gold, Greensboro; R. L. Zealy, Goldsboro. Beta Theta Pi: C. N. B. Evans, Cincinnati; E. V. Durham, Charlotte; G. R. Race, Cincinnati; M. G. Follin, Winston-Salem; G. W. Bradham, New Bern; W. R. Hill, Greensboro.

Delta Tau Delta: R. G. Younce, Spencer; Thomas Snider, Salisbury. Kappa Alpha: D. J. Ward, Weldon; Stephen Millendar, Asheville; Welborn Jack, Shreveport, La.; Eugene Wells, Greenville, S. C.; Frank Howell, Fayetteville.

Sigma Nu: Francis Price, Salisbury; L. N. Mann, Washington; Julian Palmore, College Park, Mr.; A. T. Allen, Jr., Raleigh, Charles Forbes, Greenville; Robert Murphy, Salisbury; F. L. Carr, Wilson; Maurice Redfern, Monroe; Edwin Butler, Clinton.

Delta Kappa Epsilon: David Craig, Statesville; Morrison DeVine, Wilmington; J. B. Fenner, Tarboro; A. M. Whisnant, Charlotte; W. C. Rodman, Washington; W. S. Koenig, Greensboro.

Kappa Sigma: P. H. Henderson, Jersey City, N. J.; Miller Patterson, Fayetteville; W. D. Shuford, Hickory; Francis Toms, Petersburg, Va. Sigma Chi: Julian Meadows, Greensboro; J. C. Shelton, Charlotte; James Civer, Sanford; Tom Hunter, Greensboro; Leonard Hole, Greensboro; Burwell Mason, Charlotte; A. H. Jarrett, Concord.

Pi Kappa Alpha: F. M. Houston, Raleigh. Sigma Alpha Epsilon: Roy Engle, Washington, D. C.; DeRossett, Wilmington; Stuart Farley, George Saunders, Montclair, N. J.; Charles Shan-

non, Camden; Kenan Smith, Raleigh; W. C. Cheatem, Henderson; Marshall Feimster, Newton; N. B. Mason, Washington, D. C.

Phi Delta Theta: F. P. Howell, Waycross, Ga.; Walter Cherry, Mount Olive; Joseph Weller, Norfolk, Va.

Chi Phi: A. D. Austin, Raeford; W. E. Betts, Rome, Ga.; W. E. Askew, Greenville, S. C.; W. E. Husk, Fayetteville; W. E. McNulty, Columbia, S. C.; J. B. Woodley, Tarboro.

Pi Kappa Phi: C. W. Banner, Jr., Greensboro; W. E. Bobbitt, Rocky Mount; T. C. Bouie, Jr., Jefferson; Walter Laxton, Huntersville, Ala.; E. C. McEnnis, Clio, S. C.; Calvin Graves.

Phi Kappa Sigma: William Hunt, Pomona; David Nims, Mount Holly; William J. Adams, Oakley, S. C.

Theta Chi: J. R. Ingram, Sanford; E. A. Smith, St. Petersburg, Fla.; Carson Sinclair and Henry Sinclair, Winter Haven, Fla.; J. J. Alexander, Charlotte.

Sigma Phi Epsilon: Archie McNeill, Red Springs; L. E. Reaves, Raeford; Marion Alexander, Asheville; William Hampton, Asheville; Frank Kell, Clinton; V. M. Gardner, Greensboro.

Alpha Chi: Randolph Teague, Henderson; R. A. Hovis, Charlotte; C. G. Coley, Raleigh; Frank McNeinch, Charlotte; Walter Scott, Weldon.

Sigma Delta: F. G. McPherson, High Point; W. J. Horney, Greensboro; J. H. Smith, Wilmington; W. W. McConnell, York, S. C.; John Mebane, Greensboro; B. B. Kendrick, Greensboro.

Sigma Phi Sigma: W. G. Dike, Philadelphia, Pa.; R. S. Farris, Charlotte; H. C. Federal, Charlotte; S. S. McNeely, Charlotte; C. L. Shorn, Charlotte; H. H. Zurburg, Asheville.

Chi Tau: George Conley, Marion; W. J. Chandler, Winston-Salem; James Peterson, Greensboro; O. H. Perry, Oriental; F. M. Taylor, Enfield.



Brownie, the simplest REAL camera.

Fine pictures easily made with a

BROWNIE

Brownie cameras are the last word in simplicity—just point the lens, "click" the shutter and the picture is taken.

Come in and get a Brownie for use at college—prices are but \$2 up.

FOISTER'S
Chapel Hill, N. C.



Not a tongue-bite in a ton of it!

Edgeworth

Take Advantage of our Club Rates

Six \$5.50 Meal Tickets for \$28.50

University Cafeteria

Famous for Good Food