

The Tar Heel

OFFICIAL NEWSPAPER OF THE PUBLICATIONS UNION SERVING CIVILIAN AND MILITARY STUDENTS AT THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA AT CHAPEL HILL

- | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|
| ROBERT MORRISON | Editor |
| BILL HIGHT | Associate Editor |
| JAMES SANFORD | Managing Editor |
| IRWIN SMALLWOOD | Sports Editor |
| BANKS MEBANE | Assistant Editor |
| BUDDY GLENN | Assistant Editor |
| HOWARD MERRY | Desk Editor |
| BETTY ANNE RAGLAND | Assistant Managing Editor |
| ARTHUR-BUDLONG | Business Manager |
| HARRISON TENNEY | Circulation Manager |

STAFF THIS ISSUE

Rowena Willis, Thelma Cohen, John R. Lineweaver, Donald E. French, Frederick Smetana, Billings Fuess, Jr., John Lampe, Jessie Gregory, Betty Tillitt, Betty Melcher, Mary Hill Gaston, Sam Summerlin, Billy Korneyay.

SPORTS STAFF

Frank Miller, Dick Seaver

OFFICE STAFF:

Charles Robinson, Curtis Butler.

SALES STAFF:

Sally Bryan, Natalie Selig, Suzanne Barclay.

CIRCULATION STAFF:

Billy Jernigan, John Lampe.

Published Tuesday and Saturday except during vacations, examinations and holidays. Deadlines Thursday and Sunday. Entered as second class matter at the post office at Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Member of A.S.P. and N.A.A. Adv. Service, Inc.

The Staff meets every Thursday night at seven-thirty o'clock in the office of the Editor. Anyone desiring staff positions should be present then.

The editorials are written or approved by the Editor and reflect the opinion of the Tar Heel. All columns and letters do not necessarily reflect editorial opinion.

The editorial, business, and circulation offices are on the second floor of Graham Memorial. Presses are in the Orange Printshop on Rosemary Street.

We will print a limited number of letters to the Editor. These letters should be short and pertinent. The right to edit is reserved for all letters.

All assignments will be given out in the managing editor's office on Tuesday from 7 to 8, and Friday from 5 to 6.

To the STUDENT BODY...

... from the EDITOR

THE UNIVERSITY LAUNDRY

The laundry of the University of North Carolina has frequently received bitter criticism from the students. Some of this criticism we think is justified, much of it has come from the never exhausted store of student gripe.

The service rendered by the laundry seems to be spasmodic in that the laundry will go through months of losses, late delivery, and injury to clothes, followed by months of improved service. The average length of time required for the collection and return of laundry in the dormitories was once about five days. Often time required moves up to a week or ten days. Different dormitories have different pickup and delivery schedules; the service in regard to the time element is quite different in different dormitories. Steele dormitory seems to be less fortunate than Battle-Vance-Pettigrew.

The mending of damages and replacing of buttons are frequently neglected. The mending and replacement service seems to wax and wane periodically as do the dates of delivery.

The laundry makes no attempt to notify the patrons of obvious losses and damages on many occasions. The students prepare a list of all articles to be laundered; this list is placed with the laundry and returned with it. When the laundry is returned, all articles are usually checked off whether or not they are delivered. Unless the student checks the delivery against his list, he might assume that the check beside each listed article indicated that the laundry has inspected the parcel and found it to agree with the lost prepared by the student.

When losses and damages are reported to the laundry, adequate replacement or re-imbusement is usually provided for. A lost shirt is replaced with some other student's lost shirt; socks and other articles, in the same way. Obviously clothes are being mixed.

A rather casual comparison with other laundry service at another college and in other cities has led us to believe that our laundry at Carolina is harsher in the treatment of clothes.

We believe that the rather poor service that students are receiving is due to incompetent hired help. The laundry should employ a more careful check against loss at the plant, which seems to be the only explanation of so much loss. The wartime conditions which have placed so much strain upon all agencies have not avoided the University laundry, to be sure. We believe, however, that a stricter control of checking and plant personnel could reduce at least one part of the problem. Injury to clothes may be due to poor machinery which can not be repaired during wartime.

CAROLINA'S MOTLEY CREW

There is certainly a heterogeneous group on the campus this summer. One has but to stand beside the statue of the Confederate soldier to appreciate the fact that all kinds of people are prodding these unpaved paths.

We have a group of multi-sized, multi-shaped high school kids studying music and dramatic art, blonde coeds, brunette coeds, red head coeds, civilian males of every conceivable description, Marines, NROTC's, WAVES, Pre-Flights, V-12's, and all sorts of assorted sailors, commissioned American Naval officers, French cadets, French officers, ASTP's, professors, dogs, and hundreds of other unidentified persons.

The University of North Carolina which used to consist of teen-age boys and white haired professors, has undergone quite a metamorphosis.

If you fail to get an education here, you certainly will have difficulty missing the experience of meeting a lot of rare characters.

COLLEGE JOURNALISM

We were talking to Dr. E. M. Hedgpeth of the University Inquiry the other day and he showed us a copy of an editorial which he had clipped from the *Richmond Times Dispatch*.

Here is the editorial:
"May we cite a famous man for the comfort of the embarrassed officials of William and Mary and as a reminder to the people of Virginia not to take too seriously the outburst of the editor of the *Flat Hat* on the admission of Negro students to the college. The gentleman we introduce is president of a renowned New England college. He said, in substance: 'It isn't a question whether a college newspaper will fly the coop,' the only question is when. Sometimes it happens most inconveniently. For instance, I was nursing a philanthropist to give the college a quarter of a million dollars. At the very time I thought I had the money assured, the college paper came out in a blast against the very business in which he was engaged, a perfectly honest business at that. Someone, of course, sent him a copy of the editorial. That was the end of his interest in our college. I didn't try to do anything about it, except to send for the editor and say to him, 'Son, I know you want to save the world by the editorial utterances in the college paper and I don't want to keep you from doing it, but please, don't try to save the world in every issue of the paper.'"

"Is it necessary to say anything more than this concerning the episode at William and Mary?"

The most disturbing fact about the *Flat Hat* incident is not that the editorial stated that Negro students should achieve complete equality with the whites in all respects (perhaps not now, but eventually), but that the editor was expelled from school for writing such an editorial. The president of William and Mary acted in a manner all too typical of his conservative institution. If such an editorial had appeared in the *Tar Heel*, we dare say that it would have resulted in nothing more than the usual receipt of several carloads of letters to the editor.

WORLD UNIVERSITY?

Revolutionary changes in our system of higher education may come because of the tremendous progress made in the development of the airplane.

With every place in the world only a few hours away by air, it is conceivable that, within this generation, countries, their histories and languages, will be studied at first hand instead of merely through books and lectures.

Eventually, according to a provocative article by Paul Schubert in the June issue of *Cosmopolitan* magazine, we may have a World University, which would have four colleges located in the United States, Europe, Russia and China.

Subjects taught at this suggested World University would be languages, history, economics and religion. The college of the World University in the United States would be for the study of the Americas, in England or France for the study of Europe, in Russia for the study of Asia and in China for the study of the Far East.

"Each of the four colleges would teach these subjects, as seen and lived in each of the four areas," Schubert states. "The Far Eastern College would teach the languages of the Far East, the history of the Far Eastern countries, the economics of the Far East and the religious beliefs of the Far East. Elective courses in literature, music and the graphic arts would be available."

Each class would comprise 4,000 students, 1,000 each from the four areas drawn proportionately according to the populations of countries in those areas. The World University would be co-educational and would offer post-graduate courses open only to holders of a baccalaureate from accepted institutions.

In support of his thesis, Schubert points out that the United States Navy for years maintained a small group of American naval officers as "language students" at our embassy in Tokyo and that their knowledge of Japanese language and customs has played an important part in our war against Japan. He points out that these men were not sent to an American college to learn about Japan but to Japan proper.

"Perhaps the gasoline engine, plus twentieth century initiative will make some form of World University possible before many more generations go by," he concludes.

PHILOSOPHIZING

By John R. Lineweaver

Women! A woman's heart is like the moon, is always changing; but there is always a man in it.—Punch.

God made woman to save man by love.—Mrs. Browning.

A man of sense can love like a mad man but never like a fool.—LaRochefoucauld.

To write a good love letter you ought to begin without knowing what you mean to say, and to finish without knowing what you have written.—Rousseau.

Love has power to give in a moment what toil can scarcely give in an age.—Goethe.

Time flies and draws us with it. The moment in which I am now speaking is already far from me.—Boileau.

When a man has not a good reason for doing a thing, he has one good reason for letting it alone.—Walzer Scott.

It is useless to attempt to reason a man out of a thing he was never reasoned into.—Swift.

Peace rules the day where reason rules the mind.—Coolins, Oriental Eclogues.

God gives sleep to the bad, in order that the good may be undisturbed.—Saadi.

Advice is like snow: the softer it

falls, the longer it dwells upon and the deeper it sinks into the mind.—Coleridge.

When a man is wrong and won't admit it, he always gets angry.—Halliburton.

Everything keeps its best nature only by being put to its best use.—Phillips Brooks.

A boy was once asked what love was. He thought for a moment, and said, "Love gives smooth answers to rough questions." — Mrs. Balfour.

Be not angry that you can not make others as you wish them to be, since you cannot make yourself what you wish to be.—Thomas A. Kempis.

If thou thinkest twice before thou speakest once, thou wilt speak twice the better for it. — William Penn.

Let thy speech be better than silence, or be silent.—Dionysius the Elder.

Never be discouraged. Discouragement is often the last key on the bunch that opens the door. — Shakespeare.

Any coward can fight a battle when he's sure of winning, but give me the one who has pluck to fight when he's sure of losing.—George Eliot.

IRC Forum

By Buddy Glenn

Since most of the isolationists in the Senate have stated that they will vote for the passage of the United Nations Charter, many people feel that the fight for United States participation in world affairs is ended. However, a closer study of the situation will show that this is not a defeat for the anti-internationalists, but rather a change of strategy by them.

The obvious reason for their voting for the Charter is that they are afraid to face public opinion on such a clear issue. They know that they will have at least three chances to defeat the international organization later when the public isn't as vocal.

One of the chances will be to hamstring the delegate to the Security Council by passing legislation hedging his power to vote for action in case of aggression. The fact that gives the "lunatic fringe" a chance to strike a blow at the organization is that the public doesn't clearly understand the issue involved. Anyone can see that rejection of the Charter is a repudiation of international cooperation. It is not so simple in the case of an American delegate's power to vote to use American troops to put down aggression. The opponents of UNO argue that if he is allowed to do that it will take away Congress' right to declare war. Unfortunately, this will give the isolationists

a chance to say that it is unconstitutional to let him vote in such matters without first letting Congress make up its mind.

The fallacy in their argument is not difficult to see. The President as Commander-in-Chief of the armed forces has under the constitution the power to deploy American troops to protect the country's interests. The American delegate to the Security Council will merely be acting as agent of the President when he votes to use force in suppressing aggression.

A compromise has been offered in the form of the delegate's referring such matters to a committee consisting of the President, Secretary of State, and the Ranking Majority and the Ranking Minority member of the Senate Foreign Affairs Committee. This proposal is not too unreasonable, but we must not allow the Congress "to fiddle" while the world burns.

The other two chances will occur when the UNO asks for a contingent of American soldiers as part of the Security force and when the Congress is asked to appropriate money for our share of the expenses of UNO.

The isolationists are hoping that the public will not see these issues clearly and will let them get away with their mission of defeat. It is up to the American citizens to study the issues and act.

Looking Back

By Bill Fuess

Tar Heel One Year Ago

"Harvey White is elected as chairman of Ways and Means Committee of the legislature."

"The complete Tar Heel edition was accidentally picked up at the Orange Printshop by scrap paper truck thinking it was salvage. The papers were found intact at Carrboro on their way to war as scrap."

"Di Senate backs DeGaulle's claim as French leader. Wednesday night the Dialectic passed a resolution stating that the United States should recognize DeGaulle as supreme commander in liberated France."

"Billy Kelly, UNC swimming star, won the National Junior men's breaststroke championship at Clementon, New Jersey, in the most decisive victory ever scored in the history of National Junior swimming."

"America's literary figures hail Chapel Hill's own 'Quarterly Review of Literature' as a superlative college magazine in the literary field."

Tar Heel Two Years Ago

"Civilian - Navy - Marine groups share Carolina campus until the end of the war."

"Carolina football team to play Pennsylvania in addition to its usual schedule."

"Phys. Ed. department kills rumor of closing civilian activities for remainder of session."

"Graham Memorial and Tar Heel are faced with financial problem. Tar Heel stays on weekly basis."

"Fraternities pledge 22 in first summer rushing. Small number explained by imminence of armed service for many freshmen."

Dean Of Men

I notice that: The paper says, "Scott is retiring." The paper says, "Scott was made Dean of Men some time or other." The paper says, "Scott has done a good job." The paper says, "Scott lives in Madison." The paper says, "Scott has been active in civic affairs."

My goodness! That paper! A Dean of Men never retires. He may change his pattern of daily activities or stop accepting pay checks but he doesn't retire. A Dean of Men is born, lives, and dies but he doesn't retire. 'Taint possible!

Persons or institutions don't make Deans of Men. That's a part of the Lord's business. Universities and colleges find 'em and use 'em but they don't make 'em. When a man child is born he may have red hair, big ears, long legs or a flat head and that's that. He may also be a Dean of Men and that's that. "Scott has done a good job." My gosh! He has done a superb job, an excellent job, a colossal job! No one, born a dean, ever did a better job! "Good job?" Indeed! Who writes for that paper, anyway? His job is the model for all jobs. He makes the original dies. He draws the first blue prints. He is the Dean's Dean.

"Scott lives in Madison." Of course he lives in Madison but he lives in Oshkosh, Kalamazoo, New York, London, Natal, Moscow, Paris, Big Bend, Whistle Stop, and Wide Prairie too. He lives wherever there are college men. He lives in the hearts of thousands. He lives wherever men strive to be more than creatures. He lives wherever idealism guides human conduct.

"... has been active in civic affairs." For Pete's sake! He invented civic affairs. No one calls on Scott to help put over anything. Scott does the calling. No one puts Scott on a committee. Scott is the committee. No one urges Scott to support a cause. Scott is the fellow who created the cause. "Active?"

He is a whirlwind. He is the pacesetter. He is the spark plug. He is the boy who made speedometers necessary.

Excuse me, Scott, for popping off this way but some people make me so god-darn mad—people who start talking about Deans of Men as though Deans of Men were just ordinary folks like Generals, Congressmen, College Presidents, Prime Ministers, or Kings. I am glad you are taking some time off to attend to a few things you have been neglecting. There are a lot of fish that need catching, automobile miles that need attending, books that need writing, gardens that need making, and wonderful years that need living. These things are as much the business of a Dean of Men as bawling out freshmen, teaching dumbbells, inspiring seniors, or starting a lecture without a necktie.

Be good, be careful, and have fun.

Raymond E. Manchester.

Exchanges



A Bishop attended a banquet and a clumsy waiter dropped a plate of hot soup in his lap. The clergyman glanced around with a look of agony and exclaimed:

"Will some layman please say something appropriate!" — Sanatorium Sun.

One wit exclaimed, upon noticing a number of new anthologies, "If you steal one man's stuff, it's plagiarism. If you steal that of several men, it's research." — The Summer Revelle.