

### The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Union Board of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill where it is printed daily except Mondays, and the Thanksgiving, Christmas, and Spring Holidays. Entered as second class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price, \$4.00 for the college year.

Offices on the second floor of the Graham Memorial Building.

Chas. G. Rose, Jr., Editor  
Geo. W. Wilson, Jr., Mgr. Editor  
R. D. McMillan, Bus. Mgr.

#### Editorial Staff

EDITORIAL BOARD—Don Shoemaker, chairman; E. C. Daniel, Jr., John Alexander, Edith Harbour, Mayne Albright, Nelson Robbins, B. B. Perry, Milton Kalb, A. T. Dill, J. M. Joy, F. A. Northrup, Peggy Ann Harris.

CITY EDITORS—Bob Woerner, Bill Davis, L. L. Hutchison, W. R. Eddleman, Otto Steinreich.

DESK MEN—George Malone, Phil Markley, J. D. Winslow.

FEATURE BOARD—Joseph Sugarman, chairman; Vermont Royster, Donoh Hanks, Frank Hawley, W. O. Marlowe, Carl Thompson, Jerome Kessler.

SPORTS DEPARTMENT—Claiborn Carr, Bill Anderson, Jack Bessen, Lawrence Thompson, Matt Hackett, J. H. Morris, Grampton Trainers, Morrie Long, Lane Fulewider, Hugh Lane, Van Webb, Jimmy McGurk, Jack Lowe.

REPORTERS—B. R. Weaver, Raymond Barron, James B. Craighill, Walter Hargett, T. W. Hicks, James W. Keel, Nelson Lansdale, Robert C. Page, George Rhoades, Phillip Hammer, Irving Suss, Clarence Hartman, Eleanor Bizzell, Elizabeth Johnson, W. B. Greet, Hugh Lane, Dave Mosier.

#### Business Staff

CIRCULATION DEPT.—Tom Worth, Manager.

OFFICE STAFF—F. P. Gray, Jr., Ass't Bus. Mgr., John Barrow, Ass't Bus. Mgr., W. B. Robeson.

ADVERTISING STAFF—Howard Manning, Adv't Mgr., W. C. Jones, Adv't Mgr., J. W. Callahan, Jim Cordon, James Mehaffy, Butler French, Esley Anderson, Buddy Upchurch, J. Ralfo Farlow, Joe Mason.

COLLECTION STAFF—Randolph Reynolds, Collection Mgr., Joe C. Webb, Agnew Barnson, L. E. Brooks, Armistead Maupin, Robert P. Phifer, J. T. Barnard.

Sunday, November 13, 1932

#### At the Portals

With four per cent beer, one hundred per cent prosperity and Democracy just around the corner, the three political parties have already begun to wipe the slate, as of last Tuesday, quite as clean as your grandmother's kitchen floor. Now they point to 1936.

Republican headquarters announces a complete shake up of the party, and profiting by the de-centralized campaign work of the Democrats in the last four years, which must be given its just due in the landslide of 1932, seeks to re-organize immediately in every section of the country. The party's stock, they say, must begin to show an immediate upturn. Nor are the Socialists and the Democrats tardy in organization toward the next presidential marathon. The country will not be allowed to forget the Democratic victory and the fact that a repetition of such is the order of the day in March, 1936. Whatever dissatisfaction the Socialists may recognize in their late efforts they will dispel with a vigorous program in behalf of their creed over the next four years.

In the midst of the battle, which must be termed so if it retains the same proportions later in the period which it assumes now, youth has become more and more embroiled. In the past, the politics have leaned toward the younger and more ardent members of their factions for campaign ballyho and fanfare. Today, their undemonstrative berth at the helm is being gradually usurped by the youngsters, whose rising knowledge of the finer points of political science has put them on an equal footing with their elders.

Says F. Vinton Lindley, chairman of the Yale Literary Magazine in a recent article for the *New Outlook*: "... the answer (in reference to youth in politics) is complex. It is, in part, the answer which has been so much discussed in college papers and in the general press through-

out the country. 'Young man, go into politics.' ... He is going in. He really is ... There has never been anything like it before in the history of the country, unless it was in the days of the Revolution. We are going into politics 50,000 strong ... University trained men, not everyone a Lincoln perhaps, but all intelligent and sincere ..."

With this new era, youth must be given its chance. America, like Great Britain, has come to the realization that the field must be open to the young aspiring college graduate. Our British cousins, however, have become reconciled to this fact already, and today we find vast numbers of English political science colleges turning out young men for the service of their country. The will is with us, we turn now to a new era which must fling wide the gates to the clamor of youth.—D.C.S.

#### More Anarchy And Less System

The critics of the recent proposal of the student council to establish classroom honor committees are evidently honest in their objections to the plan. They contend that it would establish a monitor system. And, as they say, this would be contrary to the spirit of the honor system.

Their conception of the honor system is an ideal, one which disregards historical fact. According to their notion, the system means putting every student on his honor not to cheat, leaving the matter wholly to the individual. Then, if he is determined to cheat, the loss is his own; the disapproval of his own conscience will be a sufficient punishment for him.

Certainly, that is the ideal of an anarchical society. It is strange that a prominent campus Socialist, an advocate of complete subordination of the individual to society as a whole, should be a proponent of anarchy, which is the extreme opposite of socialism, in student government.

These critics apparently ignore three important principles of student government. First, the original success of the system depended upon the idea that honor meant being honest yourself and helping to keep your fellow student honest. In the days when the University was small and contacts between students were intimate, this idea was generally accepted and carried into practice. The proposed plan of the student council is intended to revive that idea by making out of each class a unit that will correspond in its intimacy of contacts and in its form of government to the smaller University of a former day.

Secondly, the critics of the plan seem to have forgotten that the honor system also includes lying and stealing, as well as cheating. A man who resents being called a liar will cheat with no qualms of conscience; yet, the only difference between lying and cheating is that, in the latter case, he writes his lie in a quiz book and swears at the end that it is the truth.

Likewise, no student shows hesitancy in reporting the common thief who stole his last five dollars. But he declares that he could not report the same man for stealing five of his best ideas from an examination paper. In other words, he doesn't give a particular damn about what the other fellow does, so long as it takes nothing out of his own hide. That attitude is irresponsible and anti-social.

Finally, if these critics would prevent the establishment of local honor groups, they would also abolish the student council. Their conception of the honor system denies the need for any ultimate control or final judicial authority. The classroom honor commit-

tees would have the same powers as the council in determining the guilt of offenders; always, however, the right of final judgment and the power of imposing sentence would be reserved for the supreme council of the whole campus.

The student council is not a monitorial group. It makes no effort to apprehend offenders by snooping, spying, or detective methods. If, in the course of his regular campus duties, a councilman comes upon evidences of dishonesty, he reports them to his tribunal. The classroom honor committees, being elected just as the student council is, would work in an identical manner. They would not be stationed at vantage points in the classroom; they would not police the aisles; nor would they make an effort to apprehend cheaters except as they happened to see them, or as they were reported by other students.

Apparently, what the critics of this plan want is anarchy in campus government. They would have the group deny all responsibility for the individual. They would deny the responsibility of the individual to the group. While not contributing one iota to deter the individual from cheating or to educate him in the principles of honor, they would expect him automatically, by instinct, to become a good citizen of the campus and of the state.

Actually, they are not arguing for a system, but for the absence of a system: for anarchy.—E.C.D.

### The Week

Sunday, Nov. 6

THE DAILY TAR HEEL, in a canvass of faculty members on their views of the several presidential candidates, tallies: Democrats, thirteen; Socialists, one; Republicans, none. Others solicited unable to give views, thus possibility of Republicans in faculty ranks darkly hinted.

Belford Forrest, prominent English playwright, reads his new "How It All Began," a chronicle of the early English theatre and its sidelights. Result: audience unanimously charmed.

Monday, Nov. 7

A. W. Hamilton, finance and debt authority, Charlotte, tells freshmen in chapel service that the real reason for the economic depression "goes back to the time of the United States' entry into the World War." Further: "The trouble was due to the fact that we did not conscript wealth and property instead of flesh and blood. We, our children and grandchildren must slave in order that the war profiteers might collect what is due them." And stereotyped Mr. Hamilton in conclusion: "All men of the Al Capone type should be lined up against a stone wall and executed, thereby saving the people of the United States \$400.00 per capita."

Tuesday, Nov. 8

"Abdication of Herbert the first hailed over campus and state as early returns indicate Democratic landslide. All North Carolina Democrats victorious in state campaigns.

Student council presents new honor system to student body for discussion and vote when pros and cons have been exhausted. Tar Heel's President Weeks: "The proposed plan will not take anything from our existing system; instead it will add greatly to it ... and will give the individual student more responsibility in it ..."

Phi Assembly deadlocks on bill favoring freshmen segregation. "Resolved: That the low tariff advocated by the Democrats is a better policy for the

United States than a high protective tariff as exemplified by the Smoot-Hawley bill which is sponsored by the Republicans," results: guess?

Wednesday, Nov. 9

Final state election returns indicate University alumni have clean sweep. J. C. B. Ehringhaus (1904), governor; A. H. Graham (1912) lieutenant-governor; J. P. Stedman (1916) state treasurer; A. T. Allen (1897) superintendent of public instruction; W. A. Graham (1901) commissioner of agriculture; A. L. Fletcher (1905) commissioner of labor; Stanley Windborne (1917) insurance commissioner; Daniel C. Boney (1923) commissioner of insurance; Robert R. Reynolds (1906) United States senate; Lindsey C. Warren (1910), Charles L. Abernathy (1895) Edward E. Pou (1894) the "grand old man of the house" all elected to the House of Representatives as well as; Frank W. Hancock (1916), William B. Umstead (1916), J. Bayard Clark (1906), A. L. Bulwinkle (1903) and Zebulon Weaver (1895).

Thursday, Nov. 10

First year men nominate class officers. Two nominated by mistake and later withdraw names.

Friday, Nov. 11

Freshman gridders find University of Virginia yearlings little opposition in 33-14 victory.

National Symphony Orchestra announces audition of *Danse Moronique* this week in Washington, D. C., written by University student, Herbert Hazleman, who got his inspiration for his work from "whistling morons" theory as advanced by Columbia professor last year.

Dr. W. L. Poteat, president emeritus of Wake Forest College, delivers Armistice day address before faculty and students in Memorial hall.

Saturday, Nov. 12

New University Hymn presented to student body, composed by Rob Roy Peery, who wrote Dartmouth and Oberlin College songs.

Playmakers present initial bill of ten short plays written by students in playwriting courses. Young Socialists and Young Democrats inhale sharply and launch programs leading to election of 1936.

DON SHOEMAKER

### The Ink Well

By Nelson Robbins

This department gives its wholehearted approval to the plan debated by the Phi Assembly for the segregation of freshmen and suggests that either Hillsboro or Pittsboro be accepted as being sufficiently removed from the campus to serve as a suitable location for said segregation. And while we are about it, we might, also, try to swap Spencer hall and a few dozen fraternities to the state game department for a pair of little white rabbits with pink eyes.

Another great and momentous and much needed reform that should make Carolina hearts beat loyally in crusading harmony 'neath the blue sweaters and chiffon brassieres, and gather strength for the fray in the interests of freedom and world (Continued on last page)

### THOSE NEW BOOKS

During the past week many new books were added to the rental library in the Bull's Head. May we offer a brief description of those we recommend.

THE FLOWERING WILDERNESS, John Galsworthy (Scribner's) \$2.50. The novel lately appearing in *Scribner's Magazine*. Much superior to *Maid In Waiting*. Incidentally did you know that the author has just been awarded the Nobel Prize in literature, a fitting recognition for his fine work in *The Forsyte Saga*.

OUR STREET, Compton McKensie (Doubleday-Doran) \$2.50. Written in the "modern-Victorian"; that is, a novel combining nineteenth century setting and "quaintness," with a working knowledge of popular psychology as a basis for character delineation.

THE NARROW CORNER, W. Somerset Maugham (Doubleday-Doran) \$2.50. "Short therefore, is man's life, and narrow is the corner of the earth wherein he dwells." The particular corner referred to is that portion of the east known as Malaysia. A novel said to rank with *Human Bondage*.

NIGHT FLIGHT, a novel with a South American locale, which according to Dundas Leavitt, "is the story of a man who (Continued on last page)

No Human Being Can Sit Unmoved in the Tidal Sweep of This Heart-Pounding Drama, Magnificently Played by Three Distinguished Stars .. From the Play That Held Moist-Eyed Crowds Entranced a Year in London and New York.

## John BARRYMORE

in "A BILL OF DIVORCEMENT"

with KATHARINE HEPBURN  
BILLIE BURKE DAVID MANNERS

—OTHER UNITS—  
"Then Came the Yawn"  
A Vitaphone Act

"Carnival Capers"  
Oswald Cartoon

Paramount News  
MONDAY



A role of power, brilliancy and passion that will stand in timeless memory as a record of his genius.

It will stir the deepest emotions ... the story of the husband who returned from living death to find everything changed... and of the wife whose heart was torn between the husband she pitied and the man she loved.

TUESDAY  
LORETTA YOUNG  
GEORGE BRENT  
in "They Call It Sin"

WEDNESDAY  
DOUGLAS FAIRBANKS, Jr.  
NANCY CARROLL  
in "Scarlet Dawn"

THURSDAY  
MIRIAM HOPKINS  
CHARLIE RUGGLES  
in "Trouble in Paradise"

What is this power



WILLIAM DAVIS has over three gorgeous beauties played by



BLONDELL DVORAK DAVIS Find out in "3 ON A MATCH"



COMING WILL ROGERS in "Too Busy to Work"

SATURDAY  
BERT WHEELER  
ROBT. WOOLSEY  
EDNA MAE OLIVER  
in "Hold 'Em Jail"

COMING WILL ROGERS in "Too Busy to Work"