

# The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Union Board of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, where it is printed daily except Mondays, and the Thanksgiving, Christmas and Spring Holidays. Entered as second class matter at the post office at Chapel Hill, N. C., under act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price, \$3.00 for the college year.

Business and editorial offices: 204-206 Graham Memorial  
Telephones: editorial, 4351; business, 4356; night, 6906

**P. G. Hammer, editor**  
**R. C. Page, Jr., managing editor**  
**A. R. Sarratt, Jr., city editor**  
**Butler French, business manager**

**Editorial Board**

I. D. Suss, chairman, J. M. Daniels, D. G. Wetherbee, D. K. McKee

**Features**

W. P. Hudson

**Assistant City Editor**

E. L. Kahn

**News Editors**

S. W. Rabb, J. M. Smith, Jr., C. W. Gilmore, W. S. Jordan, Jr., J. F. Jonas, L. I. Gardner

**Office Force**

Frank Harward, E. J. Hamlin, R. R. Howe

**News Release**

Newton Craig, director, H. T. Terry, Jr., Herman Ward

**Exchange Editors**

S. R. Leager, G. O. Butler, W. S. McClelland

**Senior Reporters**

H. M. Beacham, H. Goldberg

**Heelers**

P. Jernigan, R. P. Brewer, T. C. Britt, R. H. Reece, Ruth Crowell, J. H. Sivertsen, A. Merrill, N. S. Rothschild, J. L. Cobbs, Voit Gilmore, Jake Strother, R. T. Perkins, H. H. Hirschfeld, C. DeCarlo, W. G. Arey, Gordon Burns

**Local Advertising Staff**

W. D. McLean, P. C. Keel, C. W. Blackwell, R. G. S. Davis, M. V. Utley, W. M. Lamont, and C. S. Humphrey

**Staff Photographer**

D. Becker

THIS ISSUE: NEWS, GARDNER; NIGHT, SMITH

"The open air of public discussion and communication is an indispensable condition of the birth of ideas and knowledge and of other growth into health and vigor."—John Dewey.

## UNION PROBLEMS

Last winter the Carolina Political Union fell into ruin simply because local organizations, one in particular, did not want the competition of a new and progressive group which threatened its supremacy in local forensic circles.

By flooding the union's first meeting with its own men and electing thereby one of its number as chairman of the union, this group got into power and let the union die.

What the union set out to do last year was not, unfortunately, what campus organizations particularly relished. In the first place, the union set out to rectify many of the glossed-over failings of the existing groups as representative, up-to-the-minute bodies. In the second place, the union placed itself into competition with the existing groups even though its membership was drawn from them.

Thus, after the leadership had fallen into the hands of the old order whose toes seemed to be trod upon, the union cut its own throat.

What the rejuvenators this winter are planning to do is to set up a sort of superficial organization which would make all existing groups the Carolina Political Union, much as all students now compose the Carolina Publications Union. A central steering committee, acting in the behalf of the several hundred members of present active organizations, would be in a position to work out campus forums and discussions with much greater facility than any one or two of the groups acting separately.

Further, it would provide for co-operative projects in other fields, with this basic plan as a starter, for there would be some sort of tie-in in purpose between the groups.

The American Student Union, on the other hand, is inaugurating a program which would not have only one project for co-operation as a basis, but rather five or six, including that of sponsoring political verbiage on the campus this spring.

On the face of things, it would seem that the American Student Union would be the best bet, inasmuch as it would have more to accomplish with the same membership.

But the memory of what happened to the political union last winter, when its multi-platformed self stepped on Di-ing and Phi-ing toes, would warrant a little thought. If the American Student Union can act more as an enabling group, even on the other four or five issues besides that of sponsoring addresses, and stay away from competing directly with our traditional orders, then it might succeed.

Sponsors of the American Student Union here are not content with forming just another competing organization which will have its little fun in discussing peremptorily certain issues and taking record votes for posterity's admiration. They are to be commended for this stand. But we believe it will be difficult to form a group which is much more than an enabling superstructure, simply because toes don't relish being trod on.

At any event, we're thoroughly behind the union in its efforts.

## HEAR THE ATHLETES

All over the south, for months now, opinions on the Graham Plan for de-emphasizing collegiate athletics have blasted forth. Alumni, faculty staffs, athletic councils, coaches, and presidents have taken turns criticizing or approving the program. By the Southern Conference officials last week the Graham Plan was adopted. But criticisms on the question continue. Our own alumni association, five days after the adoption of the plan by the Southern Conference, drew up a resolution diametrically opposed to President Graham's program.

The arguing has been over athletes and athletics. Yet in all the vociferation over the Graham Plan the students participating in collegiate sports have said nothing. Still the athletes must have some helpful opinions on the matter. Into the ash can of oblivion their viewpoints on the question must not be cast.

Tonight the University Monogram Club meets. The expression of some sentiment on the Graham Plan by the Carolina letter-men should be interesting.

## Quill Quips

by  
Mac Smith

### The Paws That Refresh

Deep-thinking members of the famed Elisha Mitchell Scientific Society customarily hold their meetings in Phillips hall, and after the discussion they usually adjourn to the Smith building for refreshments and a short social hour.

A couple of weeks ago, Professor Hudson's Also-Intellectual Philological club was conducting its regular fortnightly session in the lounge of Smith building. Two hundred yards away in Phillips the Elisha Mitchell beards were wagging.

Dr. Hudson's group finished its work and the members were getting up to depart when into the lounge a colored waiter poked his head:

'De refreshments will be served in jus' a moment!'

The Philological club sat back surprised, but very much elated over the unexpected pleasure of nourishment. They ate the ice cream and cake and gathered their coats from the hooks to bid each other good night.

As the assembly was dispersing someone appeared in the doorway to the Mary Ann Smith building. Presently other faces were seen over the threshold: the Elisha Mitchell boys were come for their dessert!

Disasterously, the Philological club had just made away with the food.

**Subtle**  
The longest letter that we ever received personally, five typewritten pages, opened with this: "A WORD to the wise is sufficient."

**Reform**  
Liberia, the only slave country in the world today, was organized originally as a "free" colony.

Salmon P. Chase, ex-Democrat and ex-Republican of the '70's and '80's, once offered himself in the same year to both party nominating conventions.

Same Mr. Chase as Chief Justice of the Supreme Court declared unconstitutional certain measures for which he, as Secretary of the Treasury, had been directly responsible!

**40 Fathoms Deep**  
Old East's Julian Bobbitt was reporting the prize joke from one of the psychology classes. We can't see it:

"Which will you take," asked the teacher, "eleven or twelve?"  
Replied the pupil, "it's intramural to me."

**Tight**  
Our rural policeman, six-foot-three, complained that his wife made up the bed so carefully that the tightly-drawn sheets gave him "in-growing toenails."

**To the winds**  
Someone said our president of the University club, Julien Warren, chief fosterer of Carolina "spirit," stooped to betting on Carolina's opponent not long ago.

And we knew the third-year track man and Tar Heel cinder star who had never seen the White Phantoms play basketball until the other night!

**Of a Feather**  
The "Presidio," publication of the Ohio State Prison, is very anxious to exchange with the Carolina Buccaneer, we understand.

A recent issue ran a regular calendar on the back. "May One of These Be Your Day?" was the hopeful sentiment of the editor.

## ASU

(Continued from first page)

tives of various campus groups have been invited to attend this meeting, which will be open to the student body as a whole.

The plans drawn up last night were of a tentative nature, their adoption dependent upon the tenor of the official group at the organization meeting. The group is being formed 1) to sponsor symposia on vital student issues of a national and local character; 2) to bring prominent speakers to the campus; and 3) to take definite action, by petition, mass meeting, and other appropriate means to correct existing defects.

### Co-ordination

The organization will serve a co-ordinating function. Representatives from campus discussion groups will serve actively on the executive committee, according to the tentative plans.

The Carolina Political Union, a revivification of last year's group, is also attempting a co-ordination of campus organizations. The sole purpose of this group will be to bring prominent speakers to the University. Attempts are being made by the sponsors of both groups to bring the two together.

## Buccaneer

(Continued from first page)

ively connected with the Buccaneer for the past three years, in recent months primarily with the art side of the publication. Last year he came in second to Lansdale in the staff polling.

Wilson came to the front under the present regime of Lansdale. His work, other than the technical functions of producing the magazine, has been concerned with several short skits and stories which he published.

### Resolution

The text of the fiery resolution which passed the staff with only a few dissenting voices follows:

"Whether or not the editor of a campus publication is competent means the difference between wasting and properly using the money the student body appropriates for publications. Few campus positions place as much responsibility, demand as much intelligence and integrity, as the editorship of a campus publication.

"We, the Carolina Buccaneer staff, believe that we are more competent to select that man who can best direct our activities next year than campus politicians, whose choice for these as for all offices, are determined by the strength of the fraternities of which they are members or the dormitory popularity of possible candidates. That which concerns the politicians least—the ability and competence of possible candidates—is our interest.

### Student Body

"We emphatically do not deny the right of the student body to select the men in whom it places confidence to spend its money and provide its reading material. If the student body actually selected these men, we would have nothing to say. But the selection is not made by the student body, but by a self-appointed group of politicians, whom self-interest has banded together. Under the present rotten political system, nomination by this steering committee is virtually equivalent to election.

"We believe that we, and not the steering committee of the University Party or any other party moved by the consideration of what organization 'deserves' what slice of political pie, have the better right to select our own editor, to choose for his ability the man who is best qualified to edit this publication next year."

## AS THE WIND BLOWS

By  
SAMUEL R. LEAGER

Had you ever thought of the kind of mate you'd choose when the time came? No doubt you had, but it's interesting to see what other people, equally interested in the subject, think about it. Dr. Ray Erwin Baber, professor of sociology at New York University, made a six-year survey of the situation, and found some very enlightening results. He interviewed 642 college students and 220 parents on their views of choosing a life partner. There were 321 men and 321 women among the students. The parents were divided, 105 fathers and 115 mothers.

As for marrying for money, the young, still-illusioned college students were definitely opposed to it. Ninety-three per cent of the men said that they wouldn't care if their wives were of lower economic status than they, and of the women, 82 per cent had the same view pertaining to their husbands. The parents, who had already been through the mill, were not nearly so un-animously in favor of what we presume the "young-uns" would have called marrying for love alone. Sixty-six per cent of the fathers declared they would be willing to allow their daughters to marry a person of lower economic rank and 69 per cent of the mothers agreed.

On the question "would you marry a person of lower moral standards than your own?" 20 per cent of the men answered yes, and the same percentage of the women replied in the affirmative.

It seems that these college students were more dogmatic about their religious beliefs than would be expected. Fifty-eight per cent of the men and 42 per cent of the women said they would marry outside their own faith. On the question of intelligence, the old story of beautiful but dumb still apparently is widely believed. Seventy-six per cent of the men voted in favor of a less intelligent mate, and 18 per cent of the women did the same.

But lest you become too enthusiastic about trying these results out to see if they were wisely determined by those voting in the poll, we present the advice of Professor Walter Beck, psychology teacher at the University of Boston. He says, "Don't marry while in college. The success of a matrimonial venture depends upon matching personalities and no character is the same after four years in college." Take it easy, lads and lassies.

We finish with a note from the Princeton paper. It seems to have its merit. "Out west when they see a man driving recklessly, they toot their horn at him three times. He feels ashamed and toots back three times, and goes on with everyone feeling he has been sufficiently punished."

## Slade Will Address Engineering Society

Engineering Senior to Discuss Diesel Versus Gasoline Engine

Tom Slade, senior in electrical engineering, will talk to the members of the American Institute of Electrical Engineering on the subject "Will the Diesel Engine Replace the Gasoline Engine in the Automobile." The weekly meeting will be held in 214 Phillips tonight at 7 o'clock.

Slade's talk will be a comparison of the operating characteristics of the two types of engines, and their application to the automobile.

## Garden Club

(Continued from first page)

of the Low Country." Thursday, which is the last day of the course, Mrs. Peckham will speak on "Flower Arrangement," treating the various styles of arrangement and principles of design together with a discussion of receptacles and their uses. Following this she will give a talk on "Exhibiting in Feature Classes" covering arrangement of tables, trays and mantels.

During this week, there will be an exhibit of 36 of Arms' etchings on display in the library in connection with the course.

The committee on local arrangements for the course is composed of R. M. Grumman, director of the extension division, Mrs. H. R. Totten, president of the Garden Club of North Carolina, and Mrs. F. H. Edmister, president of the Chapel Hill Garden club.

The registration fee for the entire course will be \$5, and for one day, \$2.50. The admission fee to the lectures tomorrow night will be 25 cents.

## Now YOU'RE Talking

ANENT GLADYS

To the Editor:

The DAILY TAR HEEL:

Herbert Hazelman's criticism of the review (or was it review of the criticism?) by Ruth Crowell of the recent Swarthout recital cannot be the last words on the subject. Granting that the original article was a monument of feminine persiflage and illogicalness, Newshen Crowell did strike truth when she concluded that Miss Swarthout "proved to be a disappointment."

Preluded by tons of press-agentry, by her recent motion picture, by her radio programs, we went to Memorial hall last Wednesday night expecting to hear an artist of first rank—a young singer, but one of the inner circle—perhaps a young Louise Homer or even a Schumann-Heink. We left Memorial hall disappointed and knowing that this was far from the truth. We had seen a well built and well groomed young lady and had heard her sing an unexciting group of songs in a pleasing manner. Not once did we experience that moment of fusion between great song and great artist in which one forgets that someone is singing a song and is only conscious that a song is being sung. Not that the concert was bad—it simply wasn't of the calibre that one had been led to expect.

This being the case, one understands why Miss Crowell tried to "apologize" for the performance. She was very polite, however, when she suggested that it was an "off" night for the singer. The root of the confusion goes deeper, I believe. When we hear a singer on the radio or in the movies we hear her under super ideal conditions under which vocal inadequacies can be remedied by mechanical means. When, later, we hear her under conditions far from ideal (to put it mildly concerning Memorial hall acoustics and lighting) we inevitably feel disappointment. Whether this is a condition favorable to the growth of musical artistry is open to question.

But on the other hand, perhaps, I am being unfair to Miss Swarthout and her gallant defender, Mr. Hazelman. It should be known that I was denied the unique pleasure of sharing a midnight beer with the Glamorous Gladys.

PETER HANSEN