

The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Carolina Publications Union of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, where it is printed daily except Mondays, and the Thanksgiving, Christmas and Spring Holidays. Entered as second class matter at the post office at Chapel Hill, N. C., under act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price, \$3.00 for the college year.

J. Mac Smith Editor
 Charles W. Gilmore Managing Editor
 William McLean Business Manager
 Jesse Lewis Circulation Manager

Editorial Staff

EDITORIAL WRITERS: Stuart Rabb, Lytt Gardner, Allen Merrill, Voit Gilmore, Bob duFour.
 NEWS EDITORS: Will G. Arey, Jr., Gordon Burns, Morris Rosenberg.
 DESK MEN: R. Herbert Roffer, Tom Stanback, Tim Elliot, Jesse Reese.
 SENIOR REPORTER: Bob Perkins.
 FRESHMAN REPORTERS: Charles Barrett, Adrian Spies, David Stick, James McAden, Miss Lucy Jane Hunter, Carroll McGaughey, Winston Broadfoot, Miss Gladys Best Tripp.
 REWRITER: Donald Bishop.
 EXCHANGE EDITOR: Ben Dixon.
 SPORTS EDITOR: R. R. Howe, Jr.
 SPORTS NIGHT EDITORS: Shelley Rolfe, Frank Holeman, Laffitte Howard.
 SPORTS REPORTERS: Ed Karlin, Harvey Kaplan, Jerry Stoff, Fletcher W. Ferguson, Larry M. Ferling, W. L. Beerman.
 STAFF PHOTOGRAPHERS: Herbert Bachrach, Frank Bowne.

Business Staff

ADVERTISING MANAGERS: Bobby Davis, Glen Humphrey.
 DURHAM REPRESENTATIVE: Dick Eastman.
 LOCAL ADVERTISING ASSISTANTS—Stuart Ficklin, Bert Halperin, Bill Ogburn, Morton Bohrer, Ned Hamilton, Bill Clark, Billy Gillian.
 OFFICE: Gilly Nicholson, Aubrey McPhail, George Harris, Louis Barba, Bob Lerner, Ed Kaufman, Perrin Quarles, Jim Schleifer, Henry Smernoff.

For This Issue

News: Will G. Arey, Jr. Sports: Frank Holeman

Student On Athletic Proposals

Yesterday the comments of the alumni on the proposed athletic regulations were published. Below is the resume of student opinion on the proposals, which resume has already been presented to the faculty by Dean Bradshaw.

The students who discussed the proposals with the faculty committee on athletics, and from whose remarks the following has been culled, were: Bob Maggill, Joe Patterson, Ramsay Potts, Gene Bricklemeyer, Scott Hunter, Stuart Rabb, Fred Weaver, and the editor of the Tar Heel.

Although questions were raised as to the meaning and effect of several of the ten proposals, especially the transfer and scholarship rules, there was practically unanimous acceptance of all but two.

The one requiring athletic managers and participants to be "good campus citizens" was objected to on the grounds: that it would be burdensome, if not impossible, to administer; that it was an invasion of student responsibility, and that it was unnecessary.

Of the rule condemning subsidization primarily because of athletic ability the following comment was made: the athlete has a right to market his skill; the commercialized inter-collegiate program should be abolished since reform is ineffective; the University cannot effectively regulate outside subsidization and therefore should not make the attempt. The student participants in the discussion raising objection to the faculty committee's report were invited to submit alternative proposals. The following excerpts represent the three responses to this invitation:

Excerpts

"After thinking things over, I agree with it (Article 8). I would like to see a provision made so that alumni or prospective students, if a boy wants to come to school with aid, can present the case to the committee. In that way a boy who needs help may get the opportunity to come to school without just coming to play football."

"There shall be elected each fall two students each from the junior and senior classes to be matched by four members from the faculty who shall be appointed by the President, and who shall examine the character of all students who represent the University in any official capacity.

If the character of such an individual is found deficient in the qualities of good citizenship by the group, the evidence shall be submitted to the Student Council, which shall render a verdict qualifying or disqualifying the accused from representing the University."

Suggestions

"Since nearly everybody is against subsidization of athletes, we humbly suggest that the President require all coaches not to allow subsidized athletes to represent the University. (It does not seem reasonable that a coach can remain in ignorance of whether or not the athlete came to this University because he could get certain remunerations for playing here.)

"It is the policy of this University to recognize that the subsidizing of athletes has grown out of a superstructure (of commercialism, over emphasis, pampering of alumni, and mis-guided conceptions of education) imposed upon the games and sports played on an inter-collegiate scale.

"We recognize that subsidization in itself is not evil.

"It is only evil in so far as it is mal-practiced. We feel that hitherto the burden of making ethical decisions of whether it is right or wrong to accept money for athletic ability has fallen exclusively on the shoulders of the young student

athlete. That decision should not be forced upon him.

"Therefore, our policy shall be to take a stand only on those matters within our jurisdiction. We shall reduce the number of inter-collegiate contests, educate our alumni, bring the athletic association under control of the University by controlling its budget, and emphasize the play and development angle of sports, rather than the purely competitive angle.

"We shall make no attempt to control sources of income, but we shall demand that our representatives in any field be of good moral character and good scholastic standing. We shall remove the cause of subsidization but until we do that we recognize that we have no right to control a boy's source of income. Under the present set-up athletic ability is a skill of especial value to the University. We will not deny the athlete the right to exploit that skill under our present conditions of inter-collegiate competition."

Claude F. Gaddy Will Speak Here

(Continued from first page) eral of the leading superintendents and principals in the state to speak before members and visitors. It is believed that this will enable students to meet with those people with whom they will be affiliated in later years, and will give their prospective employers an opportunity to know them personally.

In addition to plans for several social events, the Education club is working to hold discussions with such men as: Dr. Karl R. Douglass, who will assume the headship of the Education department in the spring quarter, local superintendent Honeycutt, and other available education leaders.

Faculty To Meet This Afternoon

(Continued from Page One) plan requiring submission to the proper faculty committee for approval of any assistance extended to a prospective athlete. "Any assistance beyond necessary expenses shall be considered a subsidy and subject to the condemnation stated in rule 8 of the faculty committee."

Rule 8 which the faculty committee will vote on today along with the other 10 clauses, condemns as a menace to sound education and fair sportsmanship the subsidizing of athletes, and would disqualify any student for intercollegiate competition who is proved to be subsidized primarily because of athletic ability.

On The Air

By Carroll McGaughey

8:00—Cities Concert with Lucille Manners and Rosario Bourdon's orchestra (WPTF).

8:30—Paul Whiteman's orchestra (WDNC); "Death Valley Days" (WJZ).

9:00—Leo Carrillo and Edith Fellowes will present a preview of "Little Miss Roughneck" for "Hollywood Hotel" (WHAS); The second part of Gilbert and Sullivan's "Pinafore" will be presented tonight (WLW).

9:30—Tommy Dorsey inaugurates an amateur Swing Contest on his program tonight. Amateur instrumentalists will be invited to play (WJZ or KDKA).

10:00—"The Song Shop," presenting Kitty Carlisle and Gus Haenschen's orchestra (WBT); "Four Door Blinky" is the title of the comedy presentation of Campana's First Nighter (WSB).

Sulzberger Addresses Press Institute

(Continued from first page) problems which face the well-balanced newspaper which attempted to indicate "the relative importance of the world's affairs," Sulzberger cited incidents which have faced the Times in the past year.

"Our aim," he said, "is to print the news and only the news, without a slant in any direction and without a concession to any group. Yet objectivity, though it be a simple goal, is not so easily achieved."

No Censorship

Sulzberger maintains that the problems on the home front are far from simple, due to pressures which must be resisted. "Fortunately, however, in this field there is no censorship and the conscience of each publisher can be his guide."

The foreign front, however, presents a different aspect, according to the New York publisher.

Referring to a recently published map by the Columbia School of Journalism which had those countries free from censors in white, those with a modified censorship in gray, and those with an active censorship in black, he said that the chart was well called "The Black Plague of the Twentieth Century."

Heavens Of Light

"Except for the greater part of the two Americas and a few havens of light in the democracies of Europe and their colonial possessions or dominions, it was black indeed."

The Times coverage of the Spanish conflict was cited by Sulzberger as an outstanding case history for students of journalism.

It is not the censors on either side in Spain, he said, who cause most of the difficulties, even though they cause plenty, but the partisans of one faction or the other. "From the sidelines the excited denunciation is nothing short of amazing."

Propoganda

"No matter who writes the dispatch, the other side will accuse him of broadcasting propoganda or downright lying," Sulzberger quoted his editor in charge of the "Letters" column as saying. "In all my ten or twelve years' experience with letters to the editor, I have never encountered a situation in which so much absolutely rabid partisanship was manifested. It is partisanship that cannot be reasoned with and which, consequently, gets nowhere."

These different factions, Sulzberger said, reach the separate conclusion that the Times has sold out to the other side because we endeavor and succeed in getting the views of the rival camp.

Proper Function

"Back and forth this contest has been waged in the letters columns of the New York Times and other journals. Speeches are made and passions are inflamed all because we are attempting to perform our proper function of giving the news of both sides.

"Yet we cannot be disheartened. Those who attack most violently would probably gladly see the press curbed if the curb would result in the publication only of news acceptable to them."

Sulzberger believes that the newspapers must be protected at all costs from bias both from without and from within, and the publisher no less than those who write the news must share this responsibility.

Broader Understanding

"I believe that with accurate news available to it the community can absorb its many shocks because it attains a broader understanding and greater strength. I believe that if we can

sustain a truly free and truly responsible press, democracy will survive even against the bellowing of dictators and the intrigues of those who are willing to play the dictator's game because that course happens to serve their immediate selfish aims."

But he does not share the views of H. G. Wells, whom he quoted as arguing that youth, being without promise and without hope, would march as Communists or Fascists or soldiers because there is excitement in that, and possibly death, and that solves all problems.

Only Way Out

He stated he was not prepared to accept that philosophy, as no proper reading of the news of the world admits the conclusion that opportunity is dead and that war and pestilence, despite their prevalence in the day's headlines, are the only way out. "Ask any psychologist, any surgeon, physicist, chemist or engineer how much he knows. Ask him if his field is closed or just opening, and then ask him what contribution an informed democracy can make to it.

"I think that those of us in the news business still have a job to do."

WORLD NEWS

(Continued from first page)

under orders to fight if the invading troops entered the concession forcibly, after Japanese issued an ultimatum to expel Chinese suspected of anti-Japanese actions in this section.

The incident was concluded when Japanese authorities explained the action as a "misunderstanding."

REED GETS SUPPORT OF SUB-COMMITTEE

Washington, Jan. 20—Stanley F. Reed, nominee of President Roosevelt to the Supreme Court, today received approval of a senate judiciary sub-committee.

Committee members voted unanimously to put the nomination of the 53 year-old Kentuckian before the full judiciary committee next Monday after a short hearing of his qualifications.

If the full committee passes the nomination, senate action on the matter will begin early next week.

POP QUIZ

By Bob Perkins

A train bound for Washington leaves Chicago at 7:15 a. m. (C. S. T.) and travels at the rate of 60 miles per hour. Now a Chicago-bound train leaves Washington at 6:45 eastern daylight saving time and travels at 52 miles an hour.

Which train will be nearer Chicago when they meet.

Answer to yesterday's quiz: The syrup cost \$1.09 and the pail \$.09. The statement read "\$1.00 more than the price of the pail." Therefore you have three things to consider: price and \$1.00 make \$1.18. So the pail and \$1.000 make \$1.18. So the pail would cost half of \$1.18.

BIRTHDAYS TODAY

Henry Thomas Adkins
 Andrew Gunter Boseman
 Clarence Dowell Coburn
 Morton Cohen
 John David Colwell
 Howard Berkley Cone
 Frank Petty Holton
 William Edward Hurford
 William Henry Rawlings
 David William Serk
 John Thomas Talton, Jr.
 Milton Smith Willaen
 Marshall Vivian Yount

POINT OF VIEW

By Ramsay Potts

Last fall King George VI stood stiffly in the rain while tribute was paid to Britain's dead. He was following the tradition of the British Royal Family who have always attended the Armistice Day ceremony at Whitehall's Cenotaph.

But the solemn air of tradition was blasted on this occasion by a wild-eyed realist who slipped through the guards and loudly shouted "Stop all this hypocrisy. You are deliberately preparing for war."

King George remained immovable, as did the members of the Cabinet ranged in funeral black behind him.

The wild-eyed man was properly beaten and taken away by the police. News reports branded him as "an escaped lunatic."

The Cabinet members standing there behind the King knew how tactless that man had been, but they also felt the truth of his strident cry. They remembered the budget estimates for armament appropriation. Britain plans to spend a total of almost \$21,000,000,000.00, over the next five year period, for engines of war and protection of the population against foreign menace.

The British people know these facts. Their income taxes act as indicators of the rising defense cost. But they dislike having oppressive realisms forced upon them. They prefer to remain safely insulated against truth while trying to believe "all protestors" are lunatics.



ANGLES

By Allen Merrill

ABOUT THE WEATHER

Dr. W. F. Prouty, Geology department head, declared last night that the rainfall in Chapel Hill was below the average of the state.

Yet the sun, for the past week, has hibernated and the rain and sleet, slush and mud were certainly no illusion. The winter in Chapel Hill is usually a winter of rain.

The explanation, claims Dr. Prouty, is not the amount of rain we have but rather the frequency.

The average yearly rainfall for the state is about fifty inches. For Chapel Hill, it is only 47 inches. Around Cape Hatteras it is as much as 80 inches per year, and reaches 100 inches in the mountains.

So when it rains in some part of the state, it rains. In Chapel Hill it just rains, but all the time.

The Gulf stream is exactly the right distance from the University to fill the westward-moving winds with moisture and have them condense over our heads.

When you are rushing across the campus for an eight-thirty with the cold winter rain beating on your face, blame it on the Gulf stream.

Letters To The Editor

Over 250 Words Subject to Cutting

WOLFE AT THE DOOR

To the Editor
 Dear Sir:

I should like to enter a complaint against the mail service in Chapel Hill. On Sunday, Jan. 9, a friend of mine from Winston-Salem was down to see me and he happened to mention the fact that he had written me the day before but had neglected to put my local address on it. I supposed that the letter would be slightly delayed but I thought I would receive it the first of the week. I called at the office Monday but was told that the letter was probably in for address service but that I would receive it in due time. I did but it was today—exactly 10 days from the time the letter was post-marked.

As it happened the letter was of no great importance. It could have caused me a great deal of inconvenience and trouble, however, if it had been important. I realize that the present staff of the office may be over-worked already. If that is the case it seems that additional help might be added. Anyway it seems that they should be able to get the local address on a letter in less than nine days from the time it is received.

Sincerely,
 E. Paul Wolfe