

### Airing Your Gripes

Students will have a chance to get rid of any and all gripes on current campus problems tonight in Gerrard hall in an open forum sponsored by the University Veterans' Association at which administration leaders will be present to deliver brief talks and answer student questions.

Chancellor Robert B. House, Assistant Controller C. E. Teague, H. R. Ritchie, manager of the Book Exchange, and Colonel Shepard, veterans' adviser will all be on hand to tell the students the facts about any problem that may be troubling them.

This should give many of the biggest grippers on the campus the chance they have been looking for this fall. If as many things are as wrong as they say, and if they are seriously interested in helping to better the situation, they should attend the meeting tonight and make their presence felt.

Anyone who feels that he has any legitimate complaint can't afford to miss the session, for both sides of the picture will be presented and many of the people who have bemoaned the way in which the administration has been functioning will have the opportunity to hear the latter's viewpoint.

The fact that the men in charge of making the wheels run smoothly here are willing to meet and talk over the current problems with the student body is praiseworthy. It is to be hoped that the students make the most of this chance to find out the whys and wherefores of current campus conditions.

The UVA, which since the beginning of the summer has made rapid strides forward and has constantly been working to aid the veterans on this campus, is playing an important role in the maintenance of a high degree of cooperation between the administration and the student body.

As Chancellor House stated in a letter to James Chesnut, head of the organization, "the UVA's unfailing understanding of confused campus problems, your willingness to investigate thoroughly and to work together with the University in our many difficulties are of great help and encouragement to all who are really trying to get our work done." I know whereof I speak when I endorse you and express the hope that all the veterans enrolled at Carolina will join you and give the power of unified spirit to your work."

An alert, hard-working organization, the UVA is presenting tonight's program for the benefit of the student body. We urge you to attend. There are two kinds of grippers in this world. One group consists of those who just like to gripe—the other is composed of those interested in solving the problems. The latter group should be present tonight.

### Cut the Carelessness

Carolina students like to have their parties just like most young folks. In fact this institution's students have more or less set the pace at times with the biggest dances, beer frolics, etc. Carolina students probably indulge in such frivolous frolicking too much, but that is not the issue at stake.

The disgusting part of this frolicking is the clear-cut evidence of the remains of such shindigs. Gutters littered with bottles, paper cups and various labels greet the eye on Monday morning. The remnants of a wonderful week-end stick out boldly in a repulsive sort of fashion. To term it mildly it is downright disgraceful.

The root of the trouble is essentially carelessness on the part of the students, who seem to forget that the grass, the pavement and the sand walks are not storehouses for all sorts of refuse. There are many more students at Carolina now than ever before, and the need for a little more care in these matters is much greater.

While the administration has been liberal enough in spending the money to keep the campus clean, 7,000 students can make that job near impossible if they continue to be careless with coke cups, pepsi bottles and Old Crow flasks. Students in college are old enough to realize they have some responsibilities, and one of the main ones should be a sincere effort to keep the appearance of Chapel Hill's beautiful campus as neat as possible.

## The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina Chapel Hill, where it is published daily, except Mondays, examination and vacation periods; during the official summer terms, it is published semi-weekly on Wednesdays and Saturdays. Entered as second-class matter at the post office at Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$5.00 per college year.

COMPLETE LEASED WIRE SERVICE OF UNITED PRESS

The opinions expressed by the columnists are their own and not necessarily those of The Daily Tar Heel.

BILL WOESTENDIEK Editor
ROLAND GIDUZ Managing Editor
IRWIN SMALLWOOD Sports Editor
BILL SELIG Business Manager
BURTON MYERS Circulation Manager

ASSOCIATE EDITORS: Gene Aenchenbacher, Fred Flagler, Eddie Allen.
EDITORIAL STAFF: Matt Hodgson, R. H. Hamilton, Jud Kinberg, Bob Jones, Sam Daniels, Bob Finehout, Bettie Washburn.
DESK EDITOR: Barron Mills.
NEWS STAFF: Roy Moore, Darley Lochner, Jo Pugh, J. C. Green, Arnold Schulman, Burke Shipley, Bob Morrison, Vic Robinson, Fran Walker, Bill Jabine, Sam Summerlin, Eddie Blankstein, Sam Whitehall, Helen Highwater.
NIGHT EDITORS: Barron Mills, Bill Sexton.
NIGHT SPORTS EDITORS: Howard Merry, Bob Goldwater, Jim Pharr.
SUBSCRIPTION MANAGER: Brantley A. McCoy, Jr.
BUSINESS STAFF: Suzanne Barclay, Ed Parnell, Natalie Selig, Barbara Thorson, Stroud Ward.

FOR THIS ISSUE

NIGHT EDITOR: Barron Mills

SPORTS: Irwin Smallwood

### Strictly Detrimental

## Those Campaigning for War Using 'One or Two' Technique

By Jud Kinberg

The urge in all men who can push a typewriter is to expand in ever-widening spheres of import. Witness Brother Winchell's flight from the Cub Room of the Stork Club to the back room of international diplomacy. This is just by way of promising that after my soaring today, I shall have let the hot air out of my balloon and will be back to the earth of Chapel Hill's clay paths.

We've all heard the selling device of "One or Two." It seems that an enterprising soda jerk decided on a way to boost the sale of eggs in chocolate malts. Instead of asking whether or not the customer wanted an egg, he asked whether the maltedman desired "one or two eggs."

It seems to me that those who are trying to campaign us into war are using much the same technique. Although recent statements by Byrnes, Bevin and Stalin have quieted down the shot-and-shell purveyors, they will be back with us in full voice again, not asking whether we want war or not, but whether we want war "now or later."

By the simple propaganda act of taking conflict for granted, they take the "yes or no" decision away from us and would leave only the choice of when. Then they go one step further to weight their little bombshell by reminding us that war now would be cheap, while war later might be rather a grave undertaking.

The reasoning is obvious. "The atom's here and America's got it, so lets get shed of the Russians while just a few jet-propelled missiles will still do it." The thought that general atomic warfare is something which can lead only to ultimate destruction of victor and vanquished is never entertained by our would-be warmakers.

Instead they seize every disagreement and misunderstanding, admittedly many—to drag out the drums and trumpets of battle, proclaim in resonant voices that we can whip our weight in Russian bears quite handily through the mighty elixir of atomic death. Examination of the vast problems any such war would entail strike a quick spike through the Christmas spirit of a "gift victory."

In our earnest desire to win the peace, we seem to have let momentary setbacks discourage too much. We look on every disagreement as an unmendable cleavage between the Big Three. Actually, little of worth in our own or any other nation's history has come about without a long series of give-and-take sessions. Possibly the decision to keep as much of the debate as possible open to public perusal gives us a false sense of impending doom.

That knowledge we have of the interests and positions of the various nations, gained in part through publication of the Paris Conference and UN developments, can be a mighty weapon in the struggle for the final peace. It can be, if we don't let the worry boys scare hell out of us by citing the Third Undersecretary's views on Rumania. I imagine that same "fear" technique was used effectively by those who fought against ratification of the Constitution of the United States.

It's time that we crushed the force of the men who wholesale war. In this generation, we got one of the last chances to do away with the hallowed custom of nation-beating. If we don't take positive action, we may soon find ourselves pushed into a devastating war, or, at best a perpetual state of uneasy preparedness that will crush many of our liberties and certainly strain our economy.

I see no reason why we should have two, one or any eggs in our malted, despite the earnest sales efforts of the minority dedicated to war. I much prefer to have it in my beer.

## What Do YOU Say?

By Sam Daniels

Today's Question
What is the solution to the dateless male situation on the campus?

The Answers
Stand in line of course—apparently it's the campus code for all problems.—Dick Rogers, Wash, D. C.

Consider the dateless female situation at W. C. and other girl's schools surrounding the "Hill." Unlike buying a new car, supply can meet demand.—Al Jacobson, Asheville.

True, we have negative balance here but that doesn't mean that there aren't places in the near vicinity where a positive balance exists. In Kentucky, when we meet with a sit-

## Keeping Tabs

... with Randy

South building is only three stories high. And, most of the important administrative offices are on the first floor. Consequently, I should think that the roar of the passing student automobiles could be heard quite clearly and distinctly by those charged with the responsibility of setting up the academic calendar for the year.

In other words, I think Mr. Frederick Lewis had a good point when he questioned the wisdom of starting the Winter Quarter on the 2nd of January. Whether it likes to face the fact, or not, South building should realize that one out of every three Americans drink like a fish on New Year's Eve. And, hiding one's administrative head in the academic sand of Chapel Hill won't alter that fact.

Over two thousand students have cars on the campus this year. And, most of them live far enough away so that they'll be starting out for Chapel Hill on New Year's Day. Gentlemen, there's gonna be a helluva lot of reckless driving on the road to Chapel Hill.

Even assuming that all good Carolina students are sober, industrious members of the community on New Year's Eve (quite an assumption in view of the total sales of the neighboring ABC stores); there's still the fact that accident rates show New Year's Day to be one of the leading days in the Death Parade of automobile accidents. Gentlemen, figure the rest out for yourselves, and wonder how it would feel to read January 3rd's Daily Tar Heel headline "Carolina Student Killed in Motor Accident."

Also, everyone in Chapel Hill, except the calendar drawer-uppers, knows that New Year's Day is a peak day for rail and bus travel. Thousands of dollars are spent by these public utilities urging folks to travel at other than such peak days. Gentlemen, have you considered the transportation situation in this country in drawing up your calendar? Carolina prides itself on containing students from almost all of the states, and from several foreign countries. How do you expect those students to get back to the 'hill comfortably when you force them to travel on one of the nation's peak travel days?

And, as Mr. Lewis pointed out, many of us would like to spend a New Year's Eve at home with our friends, family and Mary Jane. We kinda think we earned that right; and that attendance in college is not a satisfactory reason for giving it up.

So, in the interests of national transportation requests, the safety of your students, and the comfort and longed-for pleasure of the veteran's, who have spent the last few New Year's Eves in rather remote places, this column urges that the academic calendar be re-drawn so that classes start on January 3rd; or if that is not possible that the reasons for the "impossibility" be made public.

uation such as we have in Chapel Hill we do not drown our sorrows in corn, but rather, grab a convenient grapevine and swing over to see another "LULU" on the hill.—Ross Compton, Possom, Ky.

The only answer is combining the resources of the dateless women at W. C. with the dateless men here or else suffering in silence—Marjorie Myers, New York, N. Y.

I consider this no problem. My phone number is 8066. Peggy Costner, Lincolnton, N. C. (Don't call me fellows, I just work here)

I don't see that there is any problem at all—everyone has the same chance. Praps it's still first come first serve. Teence Davis, Wilson, N. C.

Friday: What is your biggest "gripe" about campus life today?

Carolina sweater girl: so round, so firm, so neatly packed, so easy on the eyes.

Mary had a little lamb; boy, was her husband disappointed.

## Washington Merry-Go-Round

By Drew Pearson

PEARSON ANSWERS QUERIES

WASHINGTON—Many people have written regarding my proposed five-year plan for peace and friendship with Russia—some critical, some appreciative, some wanting more information. This column is an attempt to answer some of the very important points raised in their letters.

Harold Walton, Philadelphia—The idea of a five-year plan for friendship is merely a human approach to a most terribly difficult problem. It is an attempt to head off a drift toward almost certain war—if we continue the way we are going. It is an attempt to get two peoples better acquainted, always the best guarantee against war.

This is no panacea or cure-all, but a breathing space of five years in which we can work intensively at friendship and then get our bearings. After every war, people are tired and wounded, their feelings on edge. They don't always have the proper perspective. If we can get away from war for five years, if we can quit talking about it and really concentrate on making friends with our potential enemy, then maybe we can pave the way for permanent peace.

However, I repeat that this five-year plan for friendship is definitely conditional upon Russia's going along with us 100 per cent. If she won't go along, it is much better to know it right now and prepare for the worst.

BYRNES IS RIGHT

Harold Preece, Chattanooga, Tenn.—I have known Jimmie Byrnes about 15 years, during which time I have probably disagreed with him more than otherwise. However, I am convinced: 1) that he has grown greatly in stature; and 2) that, after a lot of patience and so-called appeasement, his policy of firmness with the Soviet Government is right.

My proposal of a five-year plan for friendship with the Russian people in no way conflicts with Byrnes. His policy is aimed at the Russian Government; the five-year plan is aimed at the Russian people, many of whom do not know what their government is doing.

Byrnes' policy at the moment is negative and preventive. The five-year plan for friendship is positive and constructive. The policeman on your beat may be a fine, conscientious guardian against crime. But when he helps to organize boys' clubs, as so many police do, then he is building constructively against crime at the source. That is the difference between the policy of firmness and the policy of friendship. Both are sometimes necessary, and Byrnes has been so busy with one he hasn't had time to concentrate on the other.

If Russia goes on the rampage in Turkey, or even threatens to, then Secretary Byrnes will be absolutely right in being firm, and I for one shall back him up.

RUSSIA NEEDS FUNNY PAPERS

Mrs. William Cone, New York City—I doubt if more than one or two publishers would object to my plan of a Russian radio station and a Russian newspaper in New York to explain the Soviet viewpoint to the American people. This part of the 5-year plan, of course, hinges on the Russians' giving us reciprocal privileges in Moscow, and I am afraid I might have more trouble selling the idea to the Russians than to American publishers.

However, think what American publishing ingenuity could do with a newspaper in Moscow! American newsmen could turn out a paper so readable that its circulation would hit every corner of the Soviet. I have always contended that one thing the Soviet system needed was a regular diet of comics. We've got to show the Russian people that we are not war-

mongers, but are just as human as they are. If you can laugh with each other, you won't fight each other.

GOODWILL AMBASSADOR

Foree Dennis, Louisville, Ky.—Unquestionably one chief difficulty with any five-year plan for friendship with Russia would be selling it to the Kremlin. So far, the Kremlin has been opposed to anything like this, largely, I suspect, because they are afraid we might undermine their political system. Communism has been sold on the thesis that it has brought great gains to the Russian people (and, compared to Czarist days, it has); but, when the Russian people see the high standard of living of Americans or even Western Europeans they can hardly believe their eyes.

However, the Byrnes policy of firmness toward Russia has the Kremlin worried, and I believe the top leaders might now be convinced that five years of real friendship would bring lasting gains to Russia. Hitherto, they have figured we would appease—a policy which would permit them to have their cake and eat it too.

Ralph C. Busser, Jr., Philadelphia—My idea in proposing that Henry Wallace go to Russia as U. S. Ambassador is because of his probable influence with the Russian people and Government.

The men at the top in Russia are going to need a lot of selling on friendship, and Henry Wallace's sincere idealism plus his long-time sympathy for Russia would help. The Russian people, basically most friendly, unfortunately have been so unfairly informed by a government-controlled press that they don't know what the real score is.

ABOLISH AGGRESSIVE ARMAMENT

Constantine Brown, Washington, D. C.—You are absolutely right that total reduction of all aggressive armament between the United States and Russia should be the ultimate goal of a plan for peace. My proposal of an immediate moratorium on all rearmament should be merely a start toward the abolition of all aggressive armament later.

Robert Gros, Palo Alto, Calif.—Your fellow townsman, Herbert Hoover, was so right, back in the relatively peaceful days when we were trying to disarm, when he proposed that big battleships, long-range submarines, tanks, and other offensive weapons constitute a hazard to peace and a temptation to make war. This should be our aim under a 5-year plan for peace with Russia.

Small PT boats or destroyers are quite sufficient to police any nation's shores, keep down smugglers, and handle island difficulties. Likewise, you don't need tanks to quell riots or trouble at home. The only time you need these aggressive weapons is when you want to go out and use them against the other fellow.

Heavy bombers are in the same category. A fighter plane, unable to fly long distances, is almost purely defensive. No one can object to it as an aggressive weapon. The heavy bomber, of course, is different.

## Crossword Puzzle

ANSWER TO PREVIOUS PUZZLE

- ACROSS
1-Seed covering
4-Israelite
9-Permit
12-Land measure
13-Brownish
14-Man's name
15-Grinding implement
17-Fisherman
18-One of pair
20-President's dog
21-Narrow band
22-Pop's home
26-Sound horn
27-Fashions
28-Musical note
29-Beam
30-Lukewarm
31-Use eyes
32-Public notice
33-Tint
34-A fish
35-Sacred song
37-Dinners
38-Tardy
39-Top of body
40-Flat on stomach
42-Flavors
45-Age
48-Swift
49-Tiny
49-Go to right
50-Gaze at
51-Plant

- DOWN
1-A baby food
2-Mineral earth
3-Ruin
4-Spring flower
5-Sign
6-Small shot (pl.)
7-That is (abbr.)
8-Doctor at
9-Flowering bush
10-Before
11-Sailor
16-Taunt
18-Yale men
20-Yogi
21-Thong
22-Amphibian
23-Steam
24-Perf. to are
25-Requires
27-Mix-up
30-Wabbles
31-Follows
32-Tribe
34-Large waves
36-Porsaken
37-Doctor at
39-One who inherits
40-Fastener
41-Fish eggs
42-Resort
43-Prefix: new
44-Use needle
47-Jeweled

- ANSWER TO PREVIOUS PUZZLE
1-A baby food
2-Mineral earth
3-Ruin
4-Spring flower
5-Sign
6-Small shot (pl.)
7-That is (abbr.)
8-Doctor at
9-Flowering bush
10-Before
11-Sailor
16-Taunt
18-Yale men
20-Yogi
21-Thong
22-Amphibian
23-Steam
24-Perf. to are
25-Requires
27-Mix-up
30-Wabbles
31-Follows
32-Tribe
34-Large waves
36-Porsaken
37-Doctor at
39-One who inherits
40-Fastener
41-Fish eggs
42-Resort
43-Prefix: new
44-Use needle
47-Jeweled