

The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is issued daily during the regular sessions of the University by the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examination and vacation periods, and the summer terms. Entered as second-class matter at the post office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1979. Subscription price: \$6.00 per year, \$3.00 per quarter. Member of The Associated Press. The Associated Press and AP features are exclusively entitled to the use for republication of all news features published herein.

Editor: DICK JENNETTE
Business Manager: C. B. MENDENHALL
Managing Editor: CHUCK HAUSER
Sports Editor: TAYLOR VADEN
News Editor: Roy Parker, Jr.
Desk Editor: Zane Robbins
Society Editor: Caroline Bruner
Photographer: Jim Mills
Ado. Manager: Oliver Watkins
Bus. Office Mgr.: Ed Williams
Natl. Ado. Mgr.: June Crockett
Editorial Staff: Jack Brown, Bill Kellam, Mike McDaniel, Tom Wharton, Charlie Gibson, Joe Seykora, Vestal Taylor, Al Johnson, Charlie Joyner, Dave Sharpe, John Slump
Business Staff: Neal Cauder, Don Stantford, Boots Taylor, Bill Brain, Ruth Dennis, Marie Withers, Randy Shiver, Charles Ashworth, Mary Tomlin, Dick Magill, Jim Lindley, Branson Hobbs, John Poindexter, Carolyn Harrill, Lila Robinson, Beverly Serr, Bruce Bauer, Joe Nelson, Leonard Rawls
Sports Staff: Larry Fox, Frank Allston, Jr., Joe Cherry, Lew Chapman, Andy Taylor, Art Greenbaum, Biff Roberts, Ronald Tiley, Bill Peacock, Ken Barton
Society Staff: Peggy Wood, Marie Withers, Betty Ann Yowell, Judy Sanford, Margie Story

State Of The APO

By Frank Allston, Jr.
 Alpha Phi Omega, campus service fraternity, yesterday drew back the curtain and revealed the results of the penny ballots in the recent Collier's cover girl contest.

Past President Bill Roth and Present President Charlie Bartlett said that the money would be applied to large list of projects which APO is currently studying. The money will be used as good projects are found.

Approximately \$757 was taken in during the week and a half of voting. Of that amount, \$589.23 remained as clear profit with the balance of the money going to cover expenses of the contests, including \$77 for engraving and \$70 for the three trophies to be presented to the finalists.

The pair of APO prexies went on to enumerate five big projects which the organization will undertake in the immediate future.

Included in this program is money to furnish current magazine subscriptions to students in the infirmary, funds for two new bulletin boards to be set up in the YMCA in the next few days, funds to ship books to three Philippine Universities, money to purchase supplies for four first aid stations in Kenan Stadium and additional funds to set up an emergency relief station to work in cooperation with the Red Cross in case disaster hits the Chapel Hill vicinity.

This all sounds like an ambitious program and for the first time APO will have enough money to successfully carry out a program of service for the campus.

Said Roth, "It might take six months or a year to use all the funds in the best possible way. As we find good projects, we'll use the money."

Bartlett added that the organization had discussed the possibilities of using the money for some big headline campus project, but had abandoned the idea when a worthy project was not forthcoming.

"We thank the students for backing us in the contest," Bartlett said in summing up, "and we hope that they will be pleased with the work we do in the future."



Distributed by King Features Syndicate by arrangement with The Washington Star

DREW PEARSON ON THE WASHINGTON MERRY-GO-ROUND

WASHINGTON. — Here are some situations which most Americans don't know about, but which concern them vitally: SITUATION No. 1—The future atomic-hydrogen defense of Washington, D. C., according to atomic scientists, must require a ring of fire stations fifteen miles out of the city and completely around the city. Present fire stations inside the city would be wiped out and futile in case of an enemy attack. It will require from 10,000 to 15,000 regularly trained and paid firemen to man these stations. The defense of New York, a city nine times the size of Washington, would require at least nine times as many firemen—about 100,000—and cost nine times as much. In brief, the defense of our big cities against future hydrogen bombing would just about bankrupt us. Peace will be cheaper.

SITUATION No. 2—In Paris, French Foreign Ministers Schumann told critics of American arms under the Atlantic Pact that these arms would permit France to defend herself against Germany. He did not mention Russia, the nation which actually inspired the North Atlantic Pact. Some Europeans are now wondering whether we ourselves really mean business in opposing Russia. The U. S. A. is caught in a European cross fire—Communists who hate us and non-Communists who think we are governed by Communists. The latter have been reading Senator Joe McCarthy. Only brief cables regarding the McCarthy charges are carried to Europe. Cable tools are expensive, newspaper precious. As a result, European non-Communists are confused, the Communists gleeful. McCarthy has done a great job for Moscow.

THE SENATE RESTAURANT—Every noon when Republican Senators sit down at lunch they ask each other: "How's Joe doing?" They are referring, not to Joe Stalin, but to their colleague Joe McCarthy of Wisconsin. They agree that Joe isn't doing well, but they think he's winning votes for Republicans. Senator Taft, now the leader of the Party, expressed it this way: "I told Joe," he said, "to keep talking. I don't think he's got anything. But the longer he talks, the more people will think he has something."

MIDWEST OPINION—Partly inflamed by the Chicago Tribune, the Red Cross contacted the Chest before it made any move on the campus, and we agreed on certain things which they may do without violating the bill. In no way should this letter be interpreted as trying to prevent individuals from making a contribution if they so desire.

We do not feel that anyone can speak on either side of this question with any degree of finality, and we invite comments from any interested students in order that we might have a more intelligent Chest policy next year. We should like to suggest that it might be a good subject for debate within the Di or the Phi, so that the issue may be clarified for more students.

Dick Murphy, Coordinator
 Mike McDaniel, Publicity

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
11					12				13
14		15			16				17
18	19		20					21	
22		23		24			25		
26			27			28	29		
		30						31	
32	33			34					36
					35				37
38					39	40		41	
42				43			44		45
46			47					48	49
50	51						52		53
							54		55

HORIZONTAL

- slate-like rock
- include
- puffs up
- showered
- symbol for tantalum
- replies
- symbol for selenium
- grape
- oozes
- golf mound
- glen
- born
- osier
- landed property
- marsh birds
- twilight
- Scandinavian coin
- legendary nymphs
- catkins
- single individuals
- high hill
- portico
- in addition
- edict
- perch
- un god

VERTICAL

- drudges
- exclamation
- Luzon Negro
- part of the eye
- ancient Jewish ascetic
- thin, gauze-like materials
- paddles
- force
- printer's measure
- take umbrage
- musical exercise
- actions
- tiny
- changed
- factories
- washes
- strands of ductile metal
- decimal unit
- male cat
- flies aloft
- inborn
- compulsion
- sharp mountain spurs
- laborer
- clayed
- campus
- toward the sheltered side
- web-like membrane
- street railways (abbr.)
- importune
- river in Latvia
- prefix: down

Answer to yesterday's puzzle.

MACAW SHOWN
 DEBATE TORIE
 ORATES AVENGE
 LIT STALL GAW
 ONES HEL RETE
 ROSTERS POSED
 EON IOS
 PIERS IMMENSE
 ERNE COP SETA
 TAD MANOR TAM
 EDINES SOKTLE
 REVINE EMTTLE
 SEEDS DEEDS

Average time of solution: 26 minutes.
 Distributed by King Features Syndicate

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

BLOCK FEE

Editor:

The referendum on the block fee raise was quite a disappointment. It is deplorable when there are not at least one half of the students on this campus interested enough in their student government to vote in a referendum of any sort, much less one concerned with the raising of the Block fee. Never-the-less there is no excuse for such requirements as were included in the referendum.

It is completely undemocratic to count those who do not vote as being in favor of the question being voted upon. The referendum was unfair, completely unfair, and a Tar Heel editorial every day for the rest of the year cannot prove otherwise. Furthermore, the fact that

such a bill was passed seems to show that its U. P. sponsors are afraid to allow the students of this campus to have their say in campus affairs.

The editorials of the Tar Heel seem to be U. P. propaganda pages for the most part. First there is an editorial "Another Light Vote" (March 8). In this editorial it is stated that the Tar Heel editorial headline is another entitled "They Will Yell Today About Vote." This editorial seems to have been written after the results of the referendum were known as it closes by saying that those who would be yelling loudest are the ones who failed in their job of getting out the vote. Perhaps the writers of these editorials are good guessers or could it be that they were hoping that less than one half the campus would vote?

On this same editorial page is an editorial praising U. P. Legislators who are trying to find the views of the campus in regard to the telephone situation by interviewing 1500 students. It seems quite absurd that the opinion of 1500 should be considered representative of the campus about the phone situation and the opinion of 1,318 should not be considered representative in the referendum. I for one desire a fair referendum and I believe that most students on the campus do also.

Bob Pace

Ed. note: The block fee raise as passed by the Student Legislature was not a UP measure but rather a bi-partisan piece of legislation. In fact the compromise raise to \$5.50 undergraduate fees and \$5.00 graduate fees was suggested by the SP. Graham Jones was the only spokesman in either party that was outspoken in opposing the raise.

C C POLICY

The one policy of the Campus Chest is to keep active solicitation out of the dorms and fraternity houses, and not to prevent students from contributing to whatever cause they may wish to support. There is a great deal of logic in the arguments of the Red Cross when applied to a national or local Community Chest; but, we feel that the Campus Chest is an entirely different situation, since it is not from a student community that the Red Cross draws the main source of its funds.

The Campus Chest is hardly dictatorial, since we have practically no powers of enforcement and no desire to prevent any individual from contributing to any worthy cause. We are in no way connected with any other fed-

erated drive. It is interesting to note in this connection that both Polio and Heart—who have the SAME basic policy toward federated drives as does the Red Cross—recognized the unique position of the student Campus Chest and joined with us in our drive. Both of these nationals were more than satisfied with their share of the proceeds.

We are writing this letter to further clarify the Chest's position as regards the Red Cross and not to attack the Red Cross. The local chapter did its best to cooperate with us when negotiations were under way last November, and of them we have no criticism. We do have a disagreement in principle with their national policy, however, and feel that the Red Cross would benefit on this campus—as did Heart and Polio—by coming into the Chest.

The Red Cross contacted the Chest before it made any move on the campus, and we agreed on certain things which they may do without violating the bill. In no way should this letter be interpreted as trying to prevent individuals from making a contribution if they so desire.

We do not feel that anyone can speak on either side of this question with any degree of finality, and we invite comments from any interested students in order that we might have a more intelligent Chest policy next year. We should like to suggest that it might be a good subject for debate within the Di or the Phi, so that the issue may be clarified for more students.

Dick Murphy, Coordinator
 Mike McDaniel, Publicity

GENTLEMEN

Editor:

A few weeks ago I found an interesting article entitled A GENTLEMAN, and I was greatly impressed with it. We hear so much about a "Carolina Gentleman" that I wish you would publish this article for the benefit of those who are not sure of the definition of a gentleman.

A Gentleman
 A BOY OR MAN who is clean, both outside and inside; who neither looks up to the rich nor down to the poor; who can lose without squealing win without bragging; who is considerate of women, children, and old people; who is too brave to lie, too generous to cheat, and who takes his share of the world and lets other people have theirs.

Thanking you for your kind consideration—
 Bob Wallace

Watch These Next Two Weeks

During the next two weeks, or until the spring elections on April 4, the campus may as well gird itself for the most intensive political activity of the year. The bulletin boards loaded with campaign posters which greeted students this week on their return to school were the tipoff on what is to come.

During the heat of the campaign tempers will flare, and many students who are friends now may find themselves at odds with former friends before the campaign is over. Veteran political observers will tell you that there hasn't been an election in their remembrance in which there was no mud-slinging, creating a corresponding rise in animosity.

The spreading of rumors and other forms of mud-slinging not only have led to a termination of many friendships in the past but have always served to confuse issues. The races all too frequently become contests between personalities rather than capabilities. This is not to say that personality is not a necessary qualification, rather there should be more emphasis placed on previous experience and enthusiasm for the job.

Therefore candidates should watch their step in the next two weeks by steering clear of all campaigning that smacks of mud-slinging. A spirited campaign is desirable; however, all claims should be based on fact.

But every effort should be made to avoid making enemies while one is in the process of winning votes. Student government leaders are all too few as it is, and petty campaign feuds may result in a loss of valuable men to student government. The loser in the past has made a habit of just taking it easy after election time, usually, however, because of campaign differences with the winner.

One more word to the candidates before the campaigns get into full swing. Care should be exercised in placing of posters to see that they do not result in more trash for the janitors to clean up. Candidates usually have far more posters printed than they have need for. And consequently they are placed in every remote corner of the campus. Candidates should also remember to take down their posters immediately after the election.



EIGHT varieties of SANDWICHES with lettuce and pickles—RENDEZVOUS ROOM



Copyright, 1950, King Features Syndicate, Inc. World-wide syndicated.