

The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill where it is published daily during the regular sessions of the University at the Colonial Press, Inc., except Mondays, examinations and vacation periods and during the official summer terms when published semi-weekly. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription price: \$8 per year, \$5 per quarter. Member of the Associated Press, which is exclusively entitled to the use for republication of all news and features herein. Opinions expressed by columnists are not necessarily those of this newspaper.

Editor: ROY PARKER, JR.
 Business Manager: ED WILLIAMS
 Executive News Editor: CHUCK HAUSER
 Managing Editor: ROLFE NEILL
 Sports Editor: ZANE ROBBINS

Don Maynard, Associate Ed.
 Andy Taylor, News Ed.
 Frank Allison, Jr., Associate Spts. Ed.
 Fay Messersmith, Society Ed.
 Marie Costello

News Staff: Edd Davis, John Noble, Walt Dear, Charlie Brewer, Barrett Boulware, Stanley Smith, Billy Grimes.
 Sports Staff: Joe Cherry, Lew Chapman, Art Greenbaum, Bill Roberts, Bill Peacock, Ken Barton, Harvey Ritch, Dave Waters, Leo Northart, Eddie Starnes, Bill Hughes, Paul Barwick.

Society Staff: Nancy Burgess, Margie Storey, Evelyn Wright, Marvel Stokes, Sarah Gobel, Lula Overton, Nancy Bates, Helen Boone, Jimmy Foust.
 Business Staff: Tate Erwin, Bootsie Taylor, Marie Withers, Charles Ashworth, John Poindexter, Hubert Breeze, Bruce Marger, Bill Faulkner, Pat Morse, Chuck Abernethy, Martha Byrd, Marie Costello, Marie McGerity, Lonnie Stroupe.

Staff Photographers: Jim Mills, Cornell Wright
 Night Editor: Rolfe Neill

NONPLUS

by Harry Snook

It is a fact that today more Americans than ever before are not exercising the privileges that mean keeping this country free. We are not voting.

Too many people feel that so many people do vote that one vote won't be missed. Others feel that the political setup is rigged and that the outcome of elections is pretty much in the hands of the powers-that-be. But for most Americans who don't vote regularly, these are excuses. They really just don't give a good damn about elections anyway.

Ask almost anyone and he'll tell you that democracy is not all it's cracked up to be. He'll tell you about corruption in public offices, crime waves, suppression of minority rights and all the rest. He's right to a certain extent. Ours is not a perfect nation, and we're not a perfect people.

It is good to see what is wrong with our nation and ourselves. Then we can begin to do something toward correcting the situation. Many of us see what's wrong, but most of us fail to try to improve it.

To few of us carry a constant conviction of the greatness of the American system based on the realization that we are the most fortunate group of individuals in the world. Ours is certainly not a pure white way of life, but even the greatness of it is infinitely superior to the darker shades found elsewhere. Our living standards are high and our real freedom is beyond valuation.

Perhaps in being born with the most priceless asset of all humankind, freedom, we've not been in a position to appreciate it as would someone who has only heard of it. But because we don't appreciate it enough to think about it, work for it, and vote to improve it, we may lose it entirely.

A government even partially "of the people, for the people" will last only just as long as it is "by the people."

A good citizen must think. He must make a point of getting the facts and the different opinions on every issue. Then he must conscientiously make a decision and register that decision by voting. It's a lot of work to learn, think, decide and act.

It seems to be too much work for most Americans.

Even here at Carolina, it seems to be too much work for students to take an active interest in their own government on the campus. We have the pick of the crop of the young people from North Carolina, young people who should certainly learn here that civic responsibility is a must.

Most Carolina students are bad campus citizens just as most Americans are bad national citizens.

Neither citizen bothers to vote.

"I'll Wait Here"



HERLOCK ©1950 THE MAGNIFICENT POTTER

Rolling Stones

by Don Maynard

We were up in Fred Weaver's office the other day, talking about this and that, when the conversation swung around to his office and its function as an integral part of the University's administrative setup.

The Dean explained a few points to us and then dug into the organization of his office. One point he wanted cleared up, he said, is that his office is not that of the Dean of Men—there is no such title now—but rather the Dean of Students.

"We have tried in the organization of personnel and the definition of responsibilities to minimize the separation of men and women students," he said.

The responsibility of the Dean of Students, Weaver explained, and also of the Assistant Dean, Bill Friday, is in the area of student welfare in general. Which is the reason for the office of the Dean of Women—to work "primarily with women students and matters affecting women's welfare," he pointed out.

Ray Jefferies, assigned the job of Assistant to the Dean of Students, is concerned directly with conditions and matters affecting men's dormitories and student activities.

While Weaver was away at Harvard, boning up on his history of American civilization—studying under the master himself, Archibald MacLeish—Bill Friday assumed the office of Acting Dean of Students.

There have been some changes made since the lanky bachelor returned from Harvard. Dr. Claiborne Jones, who worked under Acting Dean

Bill Friday as Student Welfare Counselor, now has accepted an appointment as Adviser in the General College.

Bill Friday, of course, reverted to his old job as Assistant Dean upon Weaver's return, with his special interest lying in student government.

The history of the Dean's Office goes back to when Frank P. Graham pioneered the way some 20 years ago. It was instituted as the Office of the Dean of Students and remained as such until 1944, when Roland B. Parker entered his office as Dean of Men. A newly-created office, its purpose was to subordinate the Dean of Women's Office and yet work in close cooperation.

Dr. Ernest L. Mackie, at present Dean of Student Awards, took over the job in 1945, and served as such until Weaver returned to the campus from the Navy in 1946. The present Dean assumed the job, still under the title "Dean of Men."

But it was during the time immediately following that he uncovered flaws that were not permitting the Deans of Men and Women to work in the close cooperation absolutely needed. The title was changed in 1947 to what it is today.

When Weaver had his status changed to overall Dean of Students, he then assumed jurisdiction over both men and women students, with the subordinate offices of Assistant Dean of Students and Dean of Women to handle men and women problems, respectively.

It's a tall order but Fred H. Weaver is a tall man.

The Editor's Mailbox

That 'Stockholm Peace Petition'

Editor:

In Sunday's Daily Tar Heel there is an editorial in which reference is made to the Stockholm Peace Petition. Further, abusive remarks are made concerning one of the circulators of this petition. However, no concrete criticism is made of its actual contents.

An honest treatment of the matter requires that the editor deal with the petition itself. For this purpose I submit the actual contents of intimidation and mass murder of peoples. We demand strict inter- and evaluation of them be made in a future editorial. The text runs as follows:

"We demand the outlawing of atomic weapons as instruments of intimidation and mass murder of people. We demand strict international control to enforce this measure. We believe that any government which first uses atomic weapons against any other country whatsoever will be committing a crime against humanity and should be dealt with as a war criminal."

We call on all men and women of good will to sign this appeal.

Francis W. Gilmer

Penrose, Va.

(We are glad to provide Reader Gilmer with an analysis of the Communist-line Stockholm Peace Petition. See editorial.—Ed)

Convictions About Religion

Editor:

... It is my firm conviction that the churches of today are the means by which we may find Christ in a truer sense, build a stronger faith in Him, and the means by which we will win our battles. The Church is not something that just happened to be, and is now used for "ambiguous mouthings . . . and hierarchy of ministers who control the brain of ordinary peoples." It is a holy affair which was founded by God, and woe be unto anyone who tries to make it out to be otherwise.

Of course, religion is an individual affair, but what would religion be without the organized churches and beliefs of today? Surely, one finds his guidance and inspiration through the Church.

I wonder if one can be a Christian and not believe in the organized Church system of today. Perhaps so, but only slightly so.

As for the leaders of today's churches, I feel that they surely know "what and exactly how they believe." I feel further that they must have surely been called for that work in order to dedicate themselves to it. Yes, they must surely know how they believe in the Lord Jesus; they must believe in Him, because they have such a strong love for Him and for His will in their lives. They're surely very profound in every way in their work.

... If one has the true, heart-felt faith in Christ, he need not question things; that faith will help him to understand later.

That hour on Sunday morning in a church is a very meaningful one to a real, all-out, sincere Christian. It isn't one for "sitting in church for an hour, carelessly accepting the oratory of a preacher, and chanting meaningless prayers." It is an hour in which the Christian faith is built up and renewed by the power from the pastor's message and the strength that comes from sincere prayer.

Yes, if the affairs and conditions of the world of today are to be corrected, it must be done by sincere Christians who are willing to set an example, witness for Him, and to bear burdens. And, may I ask, is the Christian going to receive his power and inspiration without divine worship through today's religious organizations and without the guidance of the leaders of those churches?

I sincerely hope that Mr. Harry Snook will carefully study my viewpoint, truly seek God's will and way, and then see if he hasn't been wrong in some of his religious thinking. If he'll give Him his complete trust, he won't be so upset, and he'll see things differently.

Carroll R. Taylor

He Writes, Too

Editor:

It may interest the many friends I have at Carolina and in Chapel Hill that I have an article published in the latest issue of Motorboating Magazine, October, 1950.

It gives the story of the voyage to Florida in the boat Elysia which I built in Chapel Hill while a student at UNC in 1948-49.

Archibald Yow

Titusville, Fla.

Editor's Mailbox Annex

Disagrees With Snook

Editor:

I was a bit interested in Mr. Harry Snook's column in the Oct. 3rd Daily Tar Heel in which he attempts to expose the supposed weakness of logical reasoning and profound observation exhibited in Miss Christeen Nelson's letter, attacking the content of his previous articles on various and sundry "topics of interest." I should say, off-hand, that, had I been so bold (and I consider the word not strong enough for my actual thought to be conveyed) as he, in these articles, I should make some attempt at argument in my favor, also.

... Mr. Snook is not provoking discussion and thought on more serious matters, he is increasing, among others, contempt for himself. I do not discuss what he has said. I attack these things as an affront to the intellect.

He believes "a mess of strange people in a crowded room" (i. e. coed open house) is a waste of time. Does Mr. Snook believe that a "mess of strange people" in a crowded room (i. e. fraternity and sorority rush parties, church fellowship groups, etc.) is a waste of time? There are those people who enjoy such functions. Who is Harry Snook to call such groups a waste of time if there is one interested or entertained person in the group?

In another of his negative statements, Mr. Snook states "since Miss Nelson has the courage to disagree." Really now, it doesn't actually take any appreciable amount of courage to disagree with Mr. Snook. One cannot disagree with nothing, which is just about the sum and substance of what your columnist has said.

If you insist upon pioneering lectures on the "facts of life," religion, and the rest of what have become "Cabbages and Kings" subjects, it would be well not to force the reader to waste his time.

Georgia Finlay

And More Disagreement

Editor:

The arguments of Harry Snook against allowing 18-year-olds to vote are completely invalid.

I will agree that a person who is good fighting material isn't of necessity a good citizen and intelligent voter. This is an exception, however. Certainly anyone who is capable of fighting in a modern war with all its complicated methods of warfare is capable of voting for the persons who are to lead our country.

There is no evidence to show that the average 18-year-old isn't interested in and isn't capable of rendering an intelligent vote. There is much to show, however, that he is interested in politics and that he not only could but that he would vote intelligently. The subject of politics is greatly discussed among young people. Most 18-year-olds are just out of high school. They have had courses in American history, civics, and sociology. These courses teach a person to judge political candidates on the basis of what he stands for rather than on the basis of his party or religious beliefs. Yet, the 18-year-old is not allowed to take part in his government by the process of voting until he is 21.

Those who are opposed to 18-year-olds having the right to vote must, if they are consistent, also be opposed to student government in high schools and colleges and universities. In the high schools there are no students above 21 and therefore none who are capable of participating in a student government.

In the colleges and universities a great many, if not the majority, of the students are below 21. Student government in colleges must be done away with then, or the privilege of participation restricted to the few who are 21 or above. This policy would play havoc with our student government here at Carolina. Yet is just as sensible and just as sound as the excuses against allowing 18-year-olds to vote in local, state, and national elections.

I hope that the 1951 General Assembly will present to the people a constitutional amendment which will grant to 18-year-olds the privilege of voting.

Robert Pace

The 'Line' Analyzed

A reader from Penrose, Virginia, thinks we ought to make an "analysis and evaluation" of the so-called Stockholm Peace Petition, the Communist-line document which the State Department has pointed an accusing finger at for those of us who did not spot its double-talk ourselves.

So, with no wasted wordage, let's go:

"We demand the outlawing of atomic weapons as instruments of intimidation and mass murder of peoples."—We demand nothing of the sort. In the hands of the United States, the A-bomb will never be used for mass murder of peoples. And as to intimidation, if it takes a stockpile of atomic weapons to convince totalitarian governments that we mean business when we say we will fight to protect our freedoms, then we are all for intimidating.

"We demand strict international control to enforce this measure."—Strict international control is a pretty ideal, but the Russians have proved they are not willing to work with the other nations of the world, so we will be happy if our A-bomb secrets are kept our A-bomb secrets.

"We believe that any government which first uses atomic weapons against any other country whatsoever will be committing a crime against humanity and should be dealt with as a war criminal."—Here's what we really believe: That the United States should use the atomic bomb if it is necessary to preserve world peace. The bomb should be used to stop war criminals, and the country which uses it for the good of humanity should certainly not be branded a criminal. And incidentally, the wording of the phony peace petition automatically brands the U. S. as a war criminal, because we have already used the atomic bomb.

So there, in case anyone wanted to know, is how we feel about the tricky-worded, hypocritical, public-duping, Communist "Stockholm Peace Petition." Any questions?

Planned Preparedness

Selective Service Director Hershey's report this week that the 18-year-old bracket will be the group to get it next in the draft neck, points up again the need for some kind of compulsory military training for America's young men.

The United States is now committed to a role as defender of the Democratic faith throughout the world. Vital in this role is maintenance of a large population that, while not necessarily in uniform, is trained militarily. This role will not be challenged by any that realize the all-pervading importance of our role in the world-wide struggle. Draftable youth, whose entire future is wrapped up in this situation, and who will be the direct beneficiaries of the U. S.'s role, would be the last to deny the need for military preparedness.

But most of us would very much like to see this need, handled in a way that is not completely muddled by the refusal of legislators to meet the preparedness need with enactment of some type of compulsory training program, other than the selective service system. Unfortunately, and hypocritically, Congress has refused to consider such legislation because of fear of retaliation at the polls. What effect such legislation would have on parents of those who would be included has caused Congress to balk at the idea, even in the face of the role we have taken internationally.

The situation that will be on hand when the 18-year-old call goes out can be best illustrated by looking at the University campus. When the call comes, nearly a thousand of the campus male population will face conscription. The collegiate careers of this group will be cut short at the most important stage. After two years away from the books, many of the thousand—who before would have had a normal chance to make good in their college careers—will be faced with a lack of ability to make the grade.

It isn't that young men mind going off to defend their country. That is a right all are proud of and have always accepted. But a planned military training program—so vital in view of the country's role in the international struggle for survival—would be the least that could be demanded by those who must enter the lists.

By The Light . . .

Folks up at the University of Wisconsin seem to be having their troubles in this sexed-up world. A story on today's front page tells how several of the university's officials there gave a very cold, er, shoulder to a prof's suggestion that benches be placed on a nearby lakeside to accommodate romancers.

The Dean of Women went to great length to point out what was wrong with the plan advanced by a sociology instructor. She was particularly interested in knowing about the lighting effects before "coming out for or against the plan."

Maybe it's a good thing he wasn't a psychology professor.

Crossword Puzzle

- ACROSS
- Prepare for printing
 - Segment of a curve
 - Piece of pasteboard
 - Repetition
 - Vegetable
 - Musical instrument
 - Beard of grain
 - Light brown
 - Masculine name
 - Pinnacle or glacial ice
 - Affair of chance
 - Motorist's guide
 - Brownie
 - Extended
 - Tablet
 - Metal
 - Huge mythical bird
 - Ball
 - Libel
 - Sumptions apparel
 - Sun
 - Piece of ground
 - Lowlands
 - Station
 - Continent
 - Weep
 - City in New York state
 - Line of junction
 - Artificial language
 - Utter
 57. Rents

RECTO	BLOW	AM
EPHOD	ROSETTE	
TIAR	SOOT	ROD
AT	BLIMB	RAPE
ARE	ELIMPEL	HO
RINSED	ONAGER	
SO	NESTLE	REA
SUM	RIG	ALL
GLIB	PATENT	
LED	RICE	EIRE
ANEMONE	PANEL	
DO	EMYD	ARGEL

Solution of Yesterday's Puzzle

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	
12				13			14				
15				16			17				
18				19		20		21			
			22		23		24				
25	26	27						29	30	31	
32					33						
34											
35				36							
							39				
40	41	42				43		44	45	46	47
48					49		50		51		
52						53			54		
55						56				57	

DOWN

- Notable periods
- Bird of peace
- Roman road
- Male figure used as a supporting column
- Tilly
- Authoritative
- Layers
- Competent
- Range
- Refuse
- Automobile
- Nervous twitching
- junction
- Fruit stone
- Constellation
- Egg drink
- Cleaning implement
- Dance step
- Fuss
- Legs of sheep
- Word for word
- Nervous
- Diagon of field
- 16 1/2 feet
- Flower container
- On the ocean
- Prevaricator
- Soft drink
- Study
- Skip
- Spreads to dry
- Young man