

The Daily Tar Heel

The official newspaper of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill where it is published daily at the Colonial Press, Inc., except Monday's, examination and vacation periods and during the official summer terms. Entered as second class matter at the Post Office of Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: mailed \$4.00 per year, \$1.50 per quarter; delivered \$6.00 per year and \$2.25 per quarter.

Glenn Harden Editor-in-chief
Bruce Melton Managing Editor
David Buckner News Editor
Bill Peacock Sports Editor
Mary Nell Boddie Society Editor
Al Perry Feature Editor
Joe Raff Literary Editor
Beverly Baylor Associate Editor
Sue Burress Associate Editor
Ed Starnes Assoc. Sports Editor
Nancy Burgess Assoc. Society Editor
Ruffin Woody Photographer
O. T. Watkins Business Manager
Jim Schenk Business Office Manager
Marie Costello Advertising Manager
Frank White National Adv. Manager
Chase Ambler Subscription Manager
Neal Cadieu Circulation Manager

by Rollo Taylor

Rameses

Lenoir Hall is on the carpet again. Each year about this time students who can't take it any more start the rumblings that usually break into "investigations" of the University's busiest dining hall.

Last year it was the cost of food. After some investigations and counter-investigations the price of potatoes was cut two cents per serving.

But it is not the cost that is bothersome now, because of an additional rise in the COL. Lenoir is still the cheapest place in town (pun intended) to eat; it's the damned poor service that gets us down. Let's take a concrete example — today for instance.

- 12:51 — Gained entrance in line near ice cream counter.
- 12:52 — Bought newspaper to pass the time of day.
- 1:05 — After sports page and "Smilin' Jok" we rounded the curve for the home stretch.
- 1:12 — Gained tray counter — trays dirty.
- 1:15 — Silver counter; one spoon left.
- 1:15 1/4 — Tussle with fella in front over remaining spoon.
- 1:15 1/2 — Decide on solid foods only today plus steak for eye.
- 1:17 — Discover new food listed as "Braised chicken — served on rice."
- 1:20 — Catch counter girl's eye.
- 1:22 — Purchase "Braised Chicken, served on rice."
- 1:25 — Wait for bread.
- 1:28 — Decide against bread — have rice instead.
- 1:30 — Wait for arrival of old favorite of salad with onions, cucumbers and garlic.
- 1:33 — Decide against salad — date next week.
- 1:35 — Wait for coffee girl to return.
- 1:40 — Coffee girl returns with out cups.
- 1:40 1/4 — Decide against coffee.
- 1:40 1/2 — Argue with cashier about price of food.
- 1:41 — Decide against reporting same to manager after counting up again.
- 1:42 — Search for clean table to eat the "Braised Chicken, served on rice."
- 1:50 — Decide dirty table just as good as a clean one.

Then came the cob. Our "Braised chicken, served on rice ain't chicken at all but yesterday's fried liver chopped up and cleverly concealed with what tasted like beef gravy. The gravy, we figured, was to conceal the greenish tinge of the "Braised Chicken, served on rice."

**Give To The
March of Dimes
Today**

by Robert Pace

CPU Roundtable

The big question is "Will Truman run again?" The answer, of course, Mrs. Truman knows:

Until the Democratic Party knows the President's decision there is little need to speculate on possible Democratic hopefuls.

Some of Tennessee's Senator Kefauver's friends seem over anxious and there is some talk that Chief Justice Vinson is "the man." Despite his popularity Senator Kefauver is from the South and this must be reckoned with. The Chief Justice just doesn't have a "presidential" appearance. And most good Democrats are hoping that the President will run. There is certainly no doubt that the nomination awaits his asking and only in pessimistic quarters is there any doubt that he can beat anyone the Republicans have, for they seem to be in the habit of re-

luctantly fading away—"Old Republicans never die, they're just voted away."

Republican hopefuls, include just about every Republican one sees. Some of the better known include Robert A. Taft, Harold Stassen, Earl Warren, and of course the man whom some Republicans hope is a hopeful, Dwight D. Eisenhower. To Senator Taft goes the best wishes of the Democratic Party. His nomination would assure a Democratic victory. Stassen and Warren will split the liberal (if there is such) element of the GOP thus throwing the nomination to the Ohio Senator. As for Eisenhower, he himself doesn't know if he is a Republican, Democrat or what. And too, Generals seem to be adept at "fading away."

Come to the CPU Sunday night at 8 o'clock in the Grail Room.

Letters To The Editor

Madam Editor,

As exchange editor of the MAROON I have occasion to read the Tar Heel and find it both interesting and independent. Its liberal editorial position is uncommon in college newspapers, especially southern papers, who are controlled somewhat more than their northern brothers by their respective schools.

What prompted this missive, however, is your editorial of January 12, relating to our peculiar situation. I do not speak officially for the MAROON by any means, and I am not even sure that my views are those of the majority on the staff. But in mine own humble opinion, this particular editorial, while excellent in its purpose—that of speaking out for freedom of the press—misapplied Mr. Hutchins' remarks. Hutchins meant that UC would never kick a student out of school for his political beliefs—that communist or fascist, students would be allowed to remain in school regardless of their political activities. That doctrine is, I believe, still being followed.

Kimmel, the MAROON's former editor, was ousted as editor—he was not thrown out of school. Later on, as it turned out, Kimmel was not allowed to register—this, however, because of low grades.

It is an established fact that his grades were low enough to warrant kicking him out of school.

Of course, in Kimmel's case the dean of the particular department that kicked him out was not obligated to do so. But I think we can safely discount the possibility of political persecution because Kimmel at the time had already been deposed as editor and was no longer eligible even for a position as an ordinary reporter. Kimmel spent a considerable amount of time traveling through Europe, and probably spent much more than that in political activities at home. Engagement in politics of that sort, above (or beneath, if you hate reds) ordinary, petty student politics demands much of an individual's time and attention. The dean must have felt that Kimmel would not have the time to do an effective job of studying — let alone make up what he had missed. This doesn't necessarily put the administration in the clear, but Kimmel

was fired as editor by the University dean of students, while it was the dean of the school of geography that refused Kimmel permission to register. The dean of students so far has been the only administration man openly taking action concerning the MAROON.

Although freedom for the individual to participate in the organization of his choice in the manner he chooses has been given a setback, an individual's status as a student still remains inviolate, in my opinion. Therefore your Hutchins quote, while definitely related to the problem of academic freedom, does not apply to this particular case. We have not yet adopted the McCarthyistic doctrine of guilt by association, although there are some indications that we may do so in the future. However, it will be the distant future, when the rest of the country has succumbed to the influences of the man from Wisconsin, and even the once-proud stronghold of academic freedom, the University of Chicago, is no longer able to stand against it.

Incidentally, we prefer to be known as the University of Chicago. Any MAROON staffer referring to our institution as "Chicago University" would be instantly dismissed from the paper, and the dismissal would almost certainly be confirmed by the requisite two-thirds vote of the staff.

Hoping I've split the hair satisfactorily,

Daniel Simon

MAROON exchange editor
P.S. I wish that we could afford Pogo, to say nothing of Pogo AND L'il Abner.

d.s.

Mr. Simon seems to have split the hair so satisfactorily that he has added new (to us) evidence to the general fire of indignation. We had previously thought that Kimmel was kicked out of school because of work missed during the Fall quarter. We had not previously realized that the petty tactic of refusal to allow him to register was the method used. We are always grateful for kind words, and of course proud of our features, (except when we run repeats.)

Incidentally, we prefer to be known as The Daily Tar Heel. Any staffer referring to this newspaper as "the Tar Heel" would be in hot water. —Editors

A Legal Difference

We did not hold our breath over the recent Law School Association referendum. We figured it was something they could settle for themselves without advice from this quarter.

The quandry over whether or not to hold a dance in the Spring did not seem to be an insoluble problem, and its resolution either way did not seem to us to be of immediate import, great as the implication might have been for society-at-large.

We pretty much agreed with the Supreme Court of the United States that there is a vast difference—a constitutional difference—between enforced segregation and individual discrimination.

Incidentally, we saw that the IDC and various other groups on campus will face the same problem in the months to come. . . . a problem they should decide for themselves.

Now, authoritarianism has once again stepped in. Chancellor Robert House has relayed a Board of Trustees regulation forbidding unsegregated social affairs on this campus. And the automatic response from the Durham attorney that this means a legal suit is just one of the obvious results.

Another is that the rights of students are again in danger, for the simple right to hold a social gathering has been denied.

If the affair should reach the courts (surely this University could not be so stupid twice), with the inevitable national publicity, there is little doubt about the outcome.

There is a vast difference—a constitutional difference—between "we don't wish to," and "you cannot."

Wake Up Dorms

Two year's work may go down the drain because of a lack of initiative on the leaders of two dormitories and consequent stoppage of a follow through program by the University administration.

Here's the point: In 1950 when housing and enrollment figures began to look normal again, the Interdormitory Council and the Dean of Students started thinking about reopening of social rooms. In 1951, plans turned into action with the opening of "B" (now Connor) dorm social room complete with \$1,000 equipment and decorated with the help of residents. Following this, other social rooms and the old cubby holes with fireplaces in the lower quad were opened.

Now it is January, 1952. "B" dorm social room is flourishing. A party with coeds is held about twice a month. "There are always people using the room," says one resident. "We study, relax, read, and play cards," he added. To make things even better, residents contribute their magazines to the room after they have finished reading them. Pictures are rented every quarter to decorate the walls.

Meanwhile, "A" (now Winston) and "C" (now Joyner) look like barren furniture storage halls. Both dorms have the same facilities. Both have partitions for two separate social rooms, and the same possibilities that Connor has. It is reported the Joyner dorm council decided to buy a television set with \$200 resting in the treasury. We think that's fine. It isn't our money—but when the whole dorm situation may be at stake, we think it advisable to help or offer to decorate the social room first.

With the Joyner and Winston councils and the campus IDC helping, the men could go to the University (Director of Operations J. S. Bennett, and Dean of Students Weaver); see if funds are available for the complete furnishing (more lamps, ashtrays are needed) and then suggest they would buy and decorate lesser equipment which would make the rooms comfortable and pleasing to the eye.

If there is no such initiative coming from the men, then the University, which first told students there was no room, then no money, and finally, no interest, would give up the idea of making the sleeping quarters of 3,000 students more habitable.

Not only are the Joyner and Winston social rooms in jeopardy. Any possible aid in making the upper quad cubby holes larger by enlarging the rooms, using basement storage rooms for ping pong rooms, and opening up recreation rooms in Old East, Old West, Steele, B-V-P, and the upper quad, will be out of the question.

The long, hard fight to get social rooms doesn't deserve an ignominious end.—W.M.D., II