

The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is published daily, except Monday, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms. Entered as second class matter at the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates mailed \$4 per year, \$1.50 per quarter; delivered, \$6 and \$2.25 per quarter.

Interim Editorial Board: ROLFE NEILL, BEV BAYLOR, SUE BURRESS
Managing Editor: ROLFE NEILL
Business Manager: JIM SCHEICK
Sports Editor: BIFF ROBERTS
News Ed.: Jody Levey
Sub. Mgr.: Carolyn Reichard
Ass't. Sub. Mgr.: Delaine Bradsher
Natl. Adv. Mgr.: Wallace Prigden
Soc. Ed.: Deenie Schoeppe
Circ. Mgr.: Donald Hogg
Asst. Sots. Ed.: Tom Peacock
dv. Mgr.: Ned Beekun
Night Editor for this issue: Rolfe Neill

Capitolists Needed

The North Carolina State Student Legislature will meet at the Capitol in Raleigh, November 20-22 (adjourning in time for the Duke-Carolina game).

To all students interested in the legislative aspect of state government, experience in parliamentary procedure and public speaking, and anybody who has some ideas that need to be heard, this government school of thought is your campaign for improvement headquarters. An organization which represents most of the colleges and universities in the state, SSL invites 21 delegates to represent this campus: three Senators from each school, and the rest in House membership on a population basis.

A Speaker of the House and President of the Senate are elected to preside. The executive branch is similar to the British Parliamentary system in that the President of the Interim Council (comparable to the parliamentary cabinet of ministers) is composed of members from either of the two houses, and Interim Council president is elected by joint session of both houses. The work of the Assembly is carried on between sessions by the Interim Council.

Every member school proposes two bills to be considered by both houses of the Assembly. Each delegation decides on its own bills which can be on student, state, national or international affairs.

University delegates will be selected today from 4:30 to six p.m., and tomorrow from 8 to 11 p.m. in the Men's Council Room at Graham Memorial.

Raleigh News and Observer

Going Backward

The statement of Gordon Gray, president of the University of North Carolina, that he thinks the ban on "bowl" football games by members of the Southern Conference may be a "wrong approach" and that participating in post season games might well be left to each individual institution has grave implications.

Certainly, if this plan is carried out there would be few matters that could not be left to each institution and the usefulness, if not the existence, of the conference would be doomed.

President Gray led the movement to impose the ban. He also led the movement for presidents of member institutions to exert their influence in shaping actions of the conference. It so happens that the ban on "bowl" games is the only important recommendation of the presidents that has been adopted without material alteration, always on the side of laxity. Freshmen are still playing on Southern Conference "varsity" teams and even such a mild restriction as limiting squads for a single game to 40 players was interpreted to apply only to games involving two conference members.

If an intercollegiate conference is to abdicate jurisdiction over post season games, what jurisdiction can it logically claim? As a matter of fact the conference exercised jurisdiction and required institutions playing in such games to obtain permission of the conference long before the present complete ban was imposed.

If there is a retreat in this matter, the presidents instead of carrying the conference forward, will actually have carried it backward.

Ann Blalock

The You, In U.N.

United Nations Day is this Friday. Its purpose is to help the American people appropriately observe the birthday of the United Nations. Behind this day's existence lies the work of the National Citizens' Committee for UN Day, set up by the United States Government in 1948 in response to a General Assembly resolution, and dedicated to the task of increasing knowledge about and understanding of the United Nations by the American Public.

On this campus UN Day will project its purposes through various media—through expressive films, through tradition and ritual, and through bringing together students, faculty, and townspeople, of differing national backgrounds for an evening of fellowship. More specifically, the program will include the showing of films Friday morning and part of the afternoon; a noon ceremonial; an international supper; an informal program at Hill Hall climaxed by a talk on "Nationalism and One World" by Paul Green. The entire program is open to all.

This observance is a symbol of our interest in the United Nations. Yet only a symbol. The rationalization follows easily that since we have satisfied this obligation, very little responsibility for continued interest remains. In this case the limitations of a one-day observance should be considered, for they are great. The observance of this day should be a part, and a part only, of a larger program. This program should be expressive of a continued, more permanent concern with the meaning of the United Nations in this country and abroad. Personal involvement, on the level of a continuing United Nations Committee or its equivalent, is necessary to a really comprehensive appreciation and understanding of the individual student's role in this important movement.

The process whereby by students come to accept, as a part of their own responsibility, the need to make a contribution to a larger cause than the paying of respect to such a cause for one day every year. The National Citizens' Committee for UN Day, sharing of this conviction, sees this specific observance as a means, the end objective of which is the stimulation of continued interest and participation in a world-wide effort.

"Who Cares About Oil?"



—Drew Pearson—

The Washington Merry-Go-Round

WASHINGTON—It is an axiom of military strategy that the best defense is to attack. But in political strategy it looks as if the best defense against income tax investigation is to charge that the government is full of Communists.

If you repeat that charge often enough and shout it loud enough, you can get away with all sorts of things as far as your personal taxes are concerned—or at least that seems to be the experience of Wisconsin's Senator Joe McCarthy.

This may be because government officials know that they raise the cry of "Martyr" and "Revenge" if they prosecute a critic who has attacked them.

It is a safe statement that the average newspaperman who kept financial records the way McCarthy does, and juggled his finances the way he does, would have landed in the jug sometime ago. However, it is a known though never officially admitted fact that Senators and members of the House of Representatives never have their tax returns too carefully scrutinized. This is the unwritten rule of the Bureau of Internal Revenue.

The fact that McCarthy merits investigation and scrutiny, however, is indicated by the amazing fact that during the past six and a half years the Senator from Wisconsin deposited \$24,185.44 to his bank accounts.

The average citizen does not deal in large amounts of cash. Cash is the medium of the underworld, where pay-offs and protection money must be concealed.

Even more amazing is the amount of money received by the Senator from Wisconsin from unidentified sources. Deposits turned up in the Senator's accounts with no record by the bank or brokerage firm as to where they came from.

For this and other reasons, McCarthy was able to parlay \$70,490 of income during eleven years (1935 through 1945) up to stocks that cost him \$180,000. McCarthy did this long before he began his Communist campaign. But since he took up the Communist issue he has continued to gamble on the stock and commodity market. And though the public generally has the impression of a man dedicated to the cause of ridding the world of Communism, the Senator's bank accounts indicate that he is chiefly out to make something for McCarthy.

Public office seems to agree with McCarthy financially. For after he got into public office he really began to make money. In 1935 he earned only \$777.81. And during the entire period from 1935 to 1942 he averaged only \$4,163.32 a year in salary. Just one year later, in 1943, he reported income of \$40,560.92 from the sale of stocks that he had bought. Just how he was able to acquire such valuable stocks when his income had averaged only \$4,163.32 for seven years is difficult to say—especially since McCarthy was in the Marine Corps during part of this time.

McCarthy also had a strange habit of deducting from his income-tax returns disproportionate contributions to charities, one of them to a catholic charity in far-away Burma which actually did not exist. Finally tax officials in Wisconsin reminded him that this didn't look quite kosher, and in 1950 he stopped.

Another case involved the Appleton State Bank in Wisconsin. In 1946 it was dunning McCarthy for funds in order to cover a loan, when suddenly from a completely unidentified source a total of \$38,045.72 was deposited to McCarthy's account.

One of McCarthy's financial schemes was a joint banking account with his office assistant Ray Kiermas. Mr. Kiermas had an average annual income of about \$6,500. Yet from sources best known to himself, Kiermas has made large deposits not only to his own account but has also deposited funds to the Senator's account.

It is possible that the Senator has banked through his office assistant in order to cover the source of certain people who have paid him. He has also apparently used his brother, William P. McCarthy.

Express Yourself

Corny Campaign

Editor:

I am sick and tired of professional politicians' ballyhoo concerning the current national elections. But, I am revolted and disgusted by would-be-directors of student opinion who write articles in The Daily Tar Heel which expressly and unequivocally prove that one political party is society's only remedy for the Fall of Man, whereas the other party instigated that fall. Such an article can be found in Sunday's issue, October 19, by Al House. I do not know Mr. House personally, but after reading his conclusive, profound, and irrefutable discussion of both political parties, I immediately came to believe that here was a Democrat from whom God was receiving daily guidance.

May I quote? "America looks forward with him (Stevenson) with a firm confidence in justice, freedom and peace on earth that will raise the hearts and hopes of mankind for that distant day when no one rattles sabre and no one drags a chain." Stuff!!! Are we thinking, rational humans or are we emotional jackasses who steadily follow the out-stretched carrot of words, cliches, and trite slogans which typify our present election?

This is not the answer of an irate Republican to Mr. House's biased article. I am not a member of any organized political party—I am a Democrat (copyright, Will Rogers). But party names and stereotyped sentiment are nothing compared to the principles and philosophies which lie behind the issues of this election. It is these concepts and ideas of government which should be judged before placing one party or one candidate above another.

One of these issues is security. Man has searched for security from economic want and protection against personal disaster since his earliest recorded culture. Everyone wants to feel sheltered from the slings and arrows of an outraged economic system gone berserk. The most secure man in our society today is the convict serving a life term. His economic worry for the present and future is negligible. Yet, who among us would trade our freedom and liberty in exchange for the security which this man has unintentionally found? (pause for vehement refusal of penal security.)

Paradoxically, however, it is

my considered opinion that the Democratic party has offered security to the voting masses for the past twenty years—and that offer has been accepted, despite the obvious loss of personal freedom and liberty which is so manifest by Federal control and regulation today. To illustrate, Mr. House obligingly listed several Democratic measures which Taft (therefore, all Republicans) opposed. These are: Soil Conservation Service; Social Security; G. I. Bill for Korean Veterans; 90 per cent parity prices for farmers; federal aid to education; public housing projects; and public power development. Do these bills indicate the Democrats appeal for votes by the evident promise of security and the concealed promise of limited personal freedom of action? I think so.

This type of security is never to be found—not until the elements of chance, fate, and personal differences in ability are reduced to zero. Yet for twenty years, the majority of people in this country have gone to the polls and elected the party candidate which would give them the most false security. The effect of this voting is plainly evidenced by the federal stranglehold on our economy and our decrease in personal initiative and ambition. "And, as you all know, security is mortal's chief enemy."

This article is not designed to negate Mr. House's statements or political views. (A man cannot be too careful in his choice of enemies.) I only wished to give an interpretation of his article in terms of ideas and concepts which are being overlooked in this orgy of political drive. A. B. Warren

Princeton University administrators discovered last week that two members of the 1952 graduating class forged their senior theses in "one of the most flagrant examples of plagiarism" ever attempted at Princeton.

Both men, members of the English and Modern Languages department were found to have submitted almost exact copies of master's theses stolen from the Columbia University library last winter. When faced with the evidence, both admitted the work as not their own, but denied outside help or having paid for the theses.

No disciplinary measure have been announced. Two years ago there were numerous reports of New York agents receiving up to \$700 for the forging of theses for Princeton seniors.

Rice was closed out, a check for \$7,159 going to William P. McCarthy. This check was cashed and the cash was deposited ten days later back with the same D. F. Rice Co.

This time, however, the trading account was in the name of Julia Connelly, the maiden name of William McCarthy's wife.

In 1951, the date when the commodity account was closed out and then re-established under Julia Connelly's name, it so happened that the Senator from Wisconsin was beginning to come under the scrutiny of his fellow Senators for extracurricular conduct.

This is just one chapter in the operation of a Senator who has set himself up in judgment over his fellowmen.

11x11 crossword puzzle grid with numbers 1-51.

Word search puzzle with a 10x10 grid and a list of 47 words to find.

Express Yourself

Barbed Accolades

Editor:

Congratulations on amazing improvements! And so early in the quarter, too.

Why, just this morning, twice as much space was given to Pogo. Great guns, at this rate, by the year's end, Pogo will multiply to cover the entire paper, which would neatly eliminate:

- 1. A columnist who is incapable of constructive criticism, and
- 2. An editor whose self-reference is as a liberal, but whose words signify reaction and egotism.

Let's see more of this good editorship. Only unsurpassed happiness to the hearts of all Pogo people will result.

Name withheld by request

(We had to double up on Pogo one Friday to catch up with a one day slack incurred upon contracting for the strip. As for the comments of our unnamed correspondent, we remind him that a monument was never built to a critic—ED.)

To Mr. Nixon from the Daily Californian, University of California: "Barefoot boy with checks of tan, what have you done with 18 grand?"

The University of Alabama refused two Negro women admittance to the graduate school. The women plan to file suit against the University in Federal court, on grounds that their constitutional rights have been violated.

Comic strip featuring Pogo the opossum and other characters in various humorous situations.