

# The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill, where it is published daily except Saturday, Monday, examination and vacation periods, and during the official summer terms. Entered as second class matter at the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates mailed \$4 per year, \$1.50 per quarter; delivered, \$6 and \$2.25 per quarter.

Editor: WALT DEAR  
Managing Editor: ROLFE NEILL  
Business Manager: JIM SCHENCK  
Sports Editor: BIFF ROBERTS

News Ed.: Bob Slough  
Sub. Mgr.: Carolyn Reichard  
Ass't. Sub. Mgr.: Bill Venable, Tom Wilby  
Office Mgr.: Buzzy Shull  
Assoc. Ed.: Nina Gray, Jane Carter

Soc. Ed.: Deenie Schoeppe  
Circ. Mgr.: Donald Hogg  
Asst. Spts. Ed.: Tom Peacock  
Adv. Mgrs.: Charles Collins, Charles Haskett

NEWS STAFF—John Jamison, Louis Kraar, Tom Parramore, Ben West, Jim Wilkinson, Sally Schindel, Jess Nettles, Hubert Breeze, Harry Dunlop, Ellen Downs, Tom Neal Jr.

SPORTS STAFF—Vardy Buckalew, Paul Cheney, Melvin Lang, Everett Parker, John Hussey, Sherwood Smith, Al Long, Dick Crouch, Benny Stewart, Wilbur Jeans.

EDITORIAL STAFF—A. Z. F. Wood Jr., John Gibson, Dorman Cordell, Dan Duke, Curt Ratledge, Don Thornton.

CIRCULATION DEPARTMENT—Roger Williams, Richard O'Neal, George Harris, Veneta Zeller.

Night Editor for this issue: Louis Kraar

## Portrait

### Just A Red-Smuffed American Boy

Dan Duke

Wednesday, Mr. William Kauffman Scarborough challenged the bases of my charges and general indictment against McCarthy. As last week's column ("For Whom the Yells Scold") was a logical comparison of similar aspects between McCarthyism and Communism, I obviously did not have space for factual substantiation of each point. Mr. Scarborough was justified in pointing this out. But I think he was wrong in inferring that I could not give evidence to support my arguments. Thus today I shall attempt a factual indictment against McCarthy.

**SOME CONCLUSIONS from a FACTUAL ANALYSIS ("McCarthy Versus the State Department," by Horrell Hart, Duke Sociological Professor, February 4, 1952):**  
1. Fifty instances are cited in which statements by Senator McCarthy have been in radical variance with verified facts.

2. McCarthy made two charges against Owen Lattimore: that he was "the top Soviet espionage agent" in the U.S., and that he was "the architect of the State Department's Far Eastern policy." Of these charges, McCarthy said: "I am willing to stand or fall on this one. If I am wrong on this I think the (Tydings) subcommittee would be justified in not taking the other cases too seriously." McCarthy was wrong on this case. Not even Budenz, the Communist accuser, was willing to testify that Lattimore was Russia's top spy. The rest of the evidence strongly contradicts the "top spy" accusation. And Lattimore was not the architect of our China policy.

3. McCarthy testified under oath that he had not said in his Wheeling speech that there were 205 Communists in the State Department. Later, he said: "If McCarthy is a liar in this, let's assume he's a liar in everything." Nevertheless, radio men have made sworn affidavits that, although McCarthy denied it, the passage in question did appear in his original transcript, and was delivered as typed.

4. When McCarthy's statements are disproven, he generally responds by refusing to examine the damaging facts, by disparaging the intelligence of his critics, and insisting that all such criticisms constitute "Communist party-line smears."

5. Senator McCarthy's activities have contributed little or nothing to discovering or weeding out Communists from our government. The notable eliminations of Communists from the State Department were all achieved before McCarthy started his campaign. McCarthy has offered no valid evidence for believing that any of the State Department employees whom he accused are Communists. John Stewart Service was the one State Department employee whose discharge resulted from the McCarthy campaign, and he was not found to be a Communist. In spite of a long list of "Communists" about whom McCarthy has made speeches he has never turned over a single case of prosecution to the Department of Justice.

**The Wisconsin Citizen Committee on McCarthy's Record Findings** (August, 1952). This is a carefully documented 134-page indictment of McCarthy prepared by a non-partisan group of Wisconsin citizens. Their chief charges are reviewed below:

1. Unethical conduct as a Wisconsin judge: McCarthy was rebuked by Wisconsin's Chief Justice of the Supreme Court for a highly improper abuse of judicial power. McCarthy—for dubious political reasons—had ordered that a portion of the court record be destroyed.
2. Acceptance of \$10,000 from Lustron: McCarthy, serving on Senate committees dealing with RFC and Lustron Corporation problems, wrote a pamphlet on housing for which he received a \$10,000 fee from Lustron.
3. Filing income tax returns "that balance but mystify."
4. Alleged to have operated a Wisconsin divorce mill.
5. Making many charges against governmental officials which were based solely on stale gossip or discredited rumors.
6. Using "the big lie" technique in the disreputable campaign to unseat Senator Tydings.
7. Slandering George C. Marshall, Dean Acheson, etc. Periodicals supporting the au-

thenticity and validity of these findings and general indictment above include, among others: *Time*, *Life*, *Fortune*, *Colliers*, *The Saturday Evening Post*, *Christian Century*, *Christian Science Monitor*, *America*, *Nation*, *Commonwealth*, *The New York Times*, *Toledo Blade*, *Denver Post*, *St. Paul Dispatch*, etc.

(Note: most of these periodicals supported Eisenhower, not Stevenson, in the recent campaign).

**The Senate Subcommittee on Privileges and Elections Findings** (This committee released the following disclosures without recommendations January 2, 1953):

1. Re-aired the Lustron issue.
2. In 1945, McCarthy owed the Appleton (Wis.) State Bank \$169,540.70. (The legal loan limit was \$100,000.) Meanwhile, since beginning his so-called crusade against Communism, his accounts have greatly improved. During the last four years, McCarthy has deposited \$172,623.18 in one account while Ray Kiermas, his administrative assistant, has deposited \$96,921.26.

**Implied Question:** Where did all this money come from?

3. In 1947, a Pepsi-Cola official endorsed a \$20,000 note for McCarthy. That year McCarthy with Pepsi-Cola fought against continuation of governmental sugar controls.

**Implied Question:** Did McCarthy lobby with Pepsi-Cola for financial gain?

4. In 1948, McCarthy, using his \$10,000 Lustron fee, bought stock in the Seaboard Air Line Railroad, which owed RFC \$15 million. The stock had not paid dividends in many years, but nevertheless the stock went up, McCarthy sold 1000 shares last September and netted a cool \$35,614.75 profit.

**Implied Question:** Could there be any relationship between McCarthy's being a member of the Senate Banking and Currency Committee and his receipt of confidential data relating to the Seaboard Air Line Railroad stock?

5. In 1950, a friend of McCarthy's used funds from McCarthy's anti-Communism account to buy 30,000 bushels of soybean futures—which investment rewarded said-friend with \$17,354.50 in less than three months.

**Implied Question:** Had not McCarthy violated a trust fund? Did McCarthy possess a misuse confidential information about the soybean-futures market?

In spite of the subcommittee's report, no protest was made a few hours thereafter when Senator Joseph McCarthy was officially seated in the 83rd Congress. Said McCarthy: "They ought to know by now they can't put McCarthy aside!"

#### Random Episodes Cited:

1. **McCarthy-Time Affair:** Not too long ago *Time* magazine blasted McCarthy in an article entitled "Demagogue McCarthy". McCarthy bellowed that vicious lies had been printed against him. But rather than sue for libel, McCarthy instead chose to write to the individual advertisers, and he urged them to stop advertising in "Time". It's interesting to note that "Time" still comes out once a week, has plenty of advertisers, and still pours it on McCarthy.

"Trade", a publisher's magazine, said that McCarthy's action placed the freedom of the press in definite jeopardy.

2. **Hoffman's Testimony:** Paul Hoffman, a pre-trial witness for ex-senator Benton (who is being sued for \$2,000,000 by McCarthy) declared that McCarthy's slanderous accusations against General Marshall were "fantastically false". Hoffman denied that the Marshall Plan and Marshall's policy rendered "massive helplessness to the world ambitions of the Kremlin", as McCarthy had charged. Eisenhower also has vouched for Marshall.

3. **Slander against Stevenson:** In a TV assault on Stevenson, McCarthy said: "Tonight, I shall give you the history of the Democratic candidate for the presidency, who endorses and would continue the suicidal Kremlin-directed policies of this nation, etc. . . . Alger, pardon me, I mean Adlai Stevenson . . . etc."

In a later comment about all the Democratic candidates, McCarthy said that he would be able to make "good Americans" out of them if he could but take a whip to them.

**Etc. Ad Infinitum**  
One thing is certain about the McCarthy issue. Neither Mr. Scarborough nor I will settle it. We can just haggle back and forth about the truth and reliability of the other's position. History alone will pass the final human verdict on McCarthyism.

But in the meanwhile, I urge all to consider not only these factual charges against McCarthy, the man, but also to weigh the impact of McCarthyism, the methods and tendencies, upon our constitutional freedoms. We are against Communism, yes! But we are also against McCarthyism, whether it is a McCarthy (R) or a McCarran (D) or a Jenner (R) or a McClellan (D) or a Truman (D) who practices it. Mr. Scarborough is right—even we who oppose McCarthyism sometimes stoop to it. But at least we do not deny, or justify, the hypocrisy.

In conclusion, I should like to bring the following quote from the "New York Times":

"He (McCarthy) has been of no use whatever in enabling us to distinguish among sinner, fools, and patriots, except in the negative sense that many of us have begun to suspect that there must be some good, however small, in anybody who has aroused Senator McCarthy's ire."

#### —Penegar—

(Continued from page 1)  
appeals or glittering generalities so recently evident in the campaign. I will continue to deplore this kind of approach to a democratic election.

"Finally I will deplore the use of trumped-up issues like independent versus fraternity splits as a deliberate attempt to distract attention from our real problems and promote false discord on the campus."  
"In order to provide discussion on real problems of local concern, I am asking the editor of the Tar Heel and his editorial board to submit a question to each of the candidates several times during the campaign next quarter and have each of the candidates write his opinion on that topic, both to appear at the same time in the paper."

"Sit Down. Of Course You Know We're Not Settled Yet"



A.Z.F. Wood, Jr.

### A Noble Profession

"So, you want to be a sports-writer?" said the sage old veteran "Yessir," said the youthful aspirant.

"Well, my boy, let me give you a few hints that will help you in the pursuit of eminence in your chosen profession."

The veteran paused to run gnarled fingers through his hoary mane and to chain-light another cigarette.

"For example," he continued, "Suppose that Navy had beaten Pen in a football game and you had the job of affixing a headline to the story. What would your headline be?"

The youth shrugged uncomprehendingly. "Navy Beats Penn," he said simply.

"Ah," said the sage, "That's not what you would say if you wanted to keep your job. You would say something to the effect that Navy had 'swamped' Penn."

A light flickered in the youth's eye. "Oh, I see," said he, "And if Penn had beaten Navy, the headline would be Penn 'sinks' Navy."

"That's the idea," said the old man, "Only 'scuttles' would be better. And the same idea would hold true for the other colleges. The Princeton Tigers would 'claw' Yale, Furman would 'tame' the Davidson Wildcats, William and Mary would 'scalp' Virginia, and so on. And if the college hasn't a colorful nickname, you can use 'rout', 'drub', 'slaughter', 'run rough-shod', 'nip', 'smear', 'lobber'. But never 'beat'."

The youth was regarding the old sage intently and in his eyes was admiration.

"Now," said the old man, "Let me give you a sort of a quiz."

"Shoot," said the youth. He was confident now.

"What is a football player?"  
"A grizzer."  
"What is a football?"  
"A pigskin."  
"What does the grizzer do with the pigskin?"  
"He carries the mail or lugs the leather."  
"What is a touchdown?"  
"That's when you hit pay dirt."  
"What is a football coach?"  
"A mentor."  
"What do you do when you score a basket?"

"Ripple the nets."  
"What is a pitcher?"  
"A moundsman, a hurler, a chunker."  
"What does he do?"  
"He fires, spins, hurls, twirls, and throws aspirin tablets."  
"What is a homerun?"  
"A roundtripper, a fourmaster, a fourbagger, and a prodigious cleut."  
"What are wrestlers?"  
"Grapplers."  
"What are swimmers?"  
"Tankers."  
"What are track stars?"  
"Thinly clad."  
"What are tennis players?"  
"Netters."  
"What is a team that has a good reputation?"  
"Highly touted."  
"What does a visiting team do?"  
"It invades."  
"What is a sports-writer?"  
"A scribe."  
"I think you'll be all right as a sports-writer," said the old man. The youth beamed appreciatively.

#### 101 Questions

"Is dreaded heart disease inherited?" "Is the death rate higher among males or females?" "Do emotional upsets have any effect on the heart?"

These and other questions most frequently asked about heart diseases are answered by specialists of the American Heart Association in a new booklet entitled "101 Questions About Your Child's Heart and Your Own," now available for distribution, according to Mrs. Betty Reina, educational director of the North Carolina Heart Association.

The questions and answers point up the advances made in cardiac research, in surgery, and in the prevention and treatment of rheumatic fever, she said.

As an indication of the value of this booklet, Mrs. Reina said that it clarifies many misconceptions about the symptoms of the heart diseases and explains the physiology of the heart and circulatory system.

Sections are devoted also to congenital heart defects, high blood pressure, and hardening of the arteries.

### DAILY CROSSWORD

- ACROSS**  
1. Animal's foot  
4. Variety of beet  
9. Rational  
10. Quiets  
12. Cover  
13. Salt (chem.)  
14. Sash (Jap.)  
15. At home  
19. Tilted (poetic)  
18. Land-measure  
19. Middle  
21. Italian princely family  
23. Roman magistrates  
24. City in Iowa  
25. Audience  
26. Fox  
27. Frontiersman's shoes  
29. Having implements  
32. Fencing sword  
33. Sound made by silk  
34. Music note  
35. Frighten  
37. Indefinite article  
38. Shield  
40. Public notices  
41. Likely  
42. Place of worship  
44. Sound of contempt  
45. Concluded
- DOWN**  
6. Poem  
7. Participle of addition  
8. Plural pronoun  
4. Seats  
5. Husk  
6. Roman pound  
7. Greek letter  
8. Argue  
9. Cut  
11. Fathers  
13. Guide  
16. Books of maps  
17. Kind of rose  
20. One's sister's daughter  
22. Young salmon  
26. Flows  
27. Goddess of volcanoes (poss.)  
28. American Indian  
29. Exchanged  
30. To slip by  
31. Small depressions  
36. Walking stick



Yesterday's Answer  
39. Receptacle for coffee  
41. Help  
43. Fish  
44. Exclamation

