

# The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, where it is published daily except Monday, examination and vacation periods and during the official Summer terms. Entered as second class matter at the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the Act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: mailed, \$4 per year, \$2.50 a semester; delivered, \$6 a year, \$3.50 a semester.

Editor **ROLFE NEILL**  
Executive News Editor **CHUCK HAUSER**  
Managing Editor **KEN SANFORD**  
Business Manager **AL SHORTT**  
Sports Editor **TOM PEACOCK**  
Night Editor for this issue: Rolfe Neill

## As It Should Be

The faculty committee on scholarships has ruled that no more campus stores profits will be allocated to athletes just because they are athletes. This is as it should be. But it's news at the University when matters pertaining to big-time athletics are conducted as they should be, so we commend the committee and its chairman, D. D. Carroll, for the decision.

## The New Look

Those who remain unconvinced of the threat of the Communist Party to this country should read with a critical eye the program just outlined by the party. Among its new goals are:

1. Identifying of "anti-communism" with "pro-fascism."
2. Stigmatizing all efforts to check and root out Communist subversion and Soviet espionage as "McCarthyism," and "McCarthyism" as "American fascism."
3. Achieving "full support" for "the fight for the liberation of the Negro nation."
4. Infiltrating the Republican and Democratic parties to elect "popular coalition" members to Congress this year and a "New Deal" administration in 1956.
5. Building a "farmer-labor party" after 1956 aimed at electing "a new type of government"—a "people's government."
6. Obtaining amnesty for imprisoned Communist leaders, abolition of Congressional investigations of Communist conspiracy and subversion, and repeal of the Smith and McCarran Acts.
7. Ending the defense program and using defense plants for "government-supported" welfare programs.
8. Ending the integration of Germany into the European Defense Community and averting the rearming of Japan.
9. Ending the arms race on the basis of Soviet disarmament proposals.
10. Ending assistance to our allies to fight Communist aggression in Asia and Africa.
11. Achieving the recognition of Red China.

12. Spreading the thesis that the idea that the Soviet Union menaces this country is nothing but a "Big Lie."

13. Ending anti-Soviet and anti-Communist foreign policy and settling "the cold war" by agreeing to economic collaboration with the Soviet sphere, including the extension of credit "to bolster world trade."

If all of these things could be accomplished we would be taken over as quickly as Russian jets could cross this country. However, in our zeal to see that the Communist Party does not gain its ends we also should be mindful that in another area we must protect. We must protect ourselves from ourselves. That is, we must stop the one-man inquisitions of McCarthy, denial of adequate counsel, TV-dramatized testimonials and the like. If we don't we shall do as Lenin said the Communists would:

"We will win the Western World for Communism without shedding a drop of a single Russian soldier's blood. How? . . . We will create fear, suspicion. We will work inside by creating hatreds, religious antagonisms. We will pit father against son, wife against husband. We will inaugurate campaigns to hate Jews and hate Catholics and hate Negroes . . . We will frighten them. We will create political chicanery. We will confuse international diplomacy. We will do these things."

## We're For Them

The Daily Tar Heel is in favor of both amendments to the student constitution which are being voted upon today. The one concerning the Publications Board is needed. We hope that if passed it will achieve its purpose: To effect more harmonious working relationships between publications and the Legislature. The new Publications Board's makeup is sound, two members each coming from Legislature, publications editors, and the faculty. The job of secretary-treasurer needs separating. There's enough work for each position, and besides, the political patronage could stand bolstering.

## Tar Heel At Large

—Chuck Hauser—

DEAN WEAVER says athletics (big-time variety) are "out of the hands of students and out of the hands of the faculty." This leads us to ask what should be an obvious question: Just whose hands are they in?

HUMMON TALMADGE, governor of the sovereign state of Georgia, announces that he may go to England this summer to join Billy Graham's crusade. I understand he's to be on the platform wearing a sign saying, "BEFORE."

MOVIE-GOERS were rather unhappy Saturday night. Those sitting in the Carolina were startled to see "The Long, Long Trailer" flash on the screen instead of "Pecos Bill." Those who trekked to the Varsity to see Lucy and her trailer saw Bill, and were equally startled. The Daily Tar Heel pleads guilty. The ads for the two shows were switched by mistake. The lynch line forms to the left.

"KISS ME, KATE" fans got a big kick out of two unsung actors in the show, the portly Castevens twins, who marched on and off stage a couple times carrying a ladder and conducting other jobs as stagehands in the Shakespearean show-within-a-show. They were listed on the program as stagehands, however. Which reminds me, while I'm talking about giving credit where due, it seems like Bill Shakespeare could have received a little credit for being author of the piece on which "Kate" was based. . . . Plus the fact that a great deal of the Shakespearean dialog was lifted directly. I strained my eyes trying to find some mention of him on the program. It wasn't there.

I CAN'T RESIST reprinting two items from "Paragraphics" in The Greensboro Daily News: "Don't worry, Kerr Scott's only running out of one kind of bull. . . . Senator McCarthy, commenting on GOP Chairman Hall's disinclination to go along with him in his attack on the Army: 'I just don't have time to answer all those who disagree with me.' You can say that again, Joe."

## The Very Proper Gander

James Thurber

Not so very long ago there was a very fine gander. He was strong and smooth and beautiful and he spent most of his time singing to his wife and children.

One day somebody who saw him strutting up and down in his yard and singing remarked, "There is a very proper gander." An old hen overheard this and told her husband about it that night in the roost. "They said something about propaganda," she said. "I have always suspected that," said the rooster, and he went around the barnyard next day telling everybody that the very fine gander was a dangerous bird, more than likely a hawk in gander's clothing.

A small brown hen remembered a time when at a great distance she had seen the gander talking with some hawks in the forest. "They were up to no good," she said.

A duck remembered that the gander had once told him he did not believe in anything. "He said to hell with the flag, too," said the duck. A guinea hen recalled that she had once seen somebody who looked very much like the gander throw something that looked a great deal like a bomb. Finally everybody snatched up sticks and stones and descended on the gander's house.

He was strutting in his front yard, singing to his children and his wife. "There he is!" Everybody cried. "Hawk-lover! Unbeliever! Flag-hater! Bomb-thrower!" So they set upon him and drove him out of the country.

Moral: Anybody who you or your wife thinks is going to overthrow the government by violence must be driven out of the country.

(Reprinted from *Thurber Carnival* by James Thurber; published by Harper's.)

'Relax, He Hasn't Got To You Yet'



HERBLOCK  
1954 THE WASHINGTON POST CO.

## Ike Bows To McCarthy 10 Times

Drew Pearson

(In his series on Eisenhower vs. McCarthy, Drew Pearson today reports on different Administration concessions made for the purpose of trying to secure McCarthy's cooperation. — Editor)

WASHINGTON — When the Republican Party threw a big cheese party for newsmen at the opening of Congress, Joe McCarthy came up to John Foster Dulles, put his arm around his shoulder and said:

"Haven't I been a good boy lately?"

What McCarthy referred to was the fact he had laid off criticizing the State Department for a few weeks, partly as a result of a compromise agreement patched up with Vice President Nixon in Miami in December.

Nixon had urged McCarthy to lay off the witch-hunting and turn the problem of Communism over to Senator Jenner's Internal Security Committee where it properly belongs. In turn, Nixon promised that Attorney General Brownell would turn over to McCarthy various cases of alleged graft and inefficiency which Nixon said would reflect on the Truman Administration. Thus McCarthy would continue to get the head-

lines, though probing something that legitimately came under his Government Operations Committee.

McCarthy agreed. But no sooner had he returned to Washington, and was asked by newsmen about the agreement, than he denied it.

Despite the denial, however, McCarthy did live up to the agreement for about two weeks. Then quietly he handed Senator Welker of Idaho, sometimes called the "Junior McCarthy," a copy of a speech he, McCarthy, had prepared blasting Dulles' law partner, Arthur Dean, then special ambassador for the Korean peace talks.

Probably Secretary Dulles didn't know that the man who put his arm around his shoulder so affectionately at the GOP cheese party was the real author of that speech. And he may not know it even today.

But McCarthy had prepared the speech as a blast against Dulles last December after the Secretary had issued the most forthright statement so far made by any Eisenhower Cabinet member against McCarthy after his nationwide broadcast answering Truman.

Though McCarthy had drafted a hot answer to Dulles, it remained undelivered — thanks to the persuasive and personable Len Hall, Mr. Hall, the smooth-talking chairman of the Repub-

lican National Committee, had sweet-talked McCarthy into discarding his blast at Dulles just as he sweet-talked Eisenhower out of blasting McCarthy last week.

But McCarthy kept the text and gave it to Senator Welker who blasted Dulles instead.

The above illustrates the basic problem President Eisenhower faces with Joe McCarthy. He faces first the fact that one wing of the Republican Party is definitely, almost avidly, for McCarthy; second, the fact that McCarthy never stays put. He can be appeased one day; and one day later, or even one hour later, he's right back slugging at the man who appeased him.

The President himself and his Administration have made no fewer than 10 attempts to appease McCarthy beginning back in the days when he was running for President. Here is the roll-call:

Appeasement No. 1 — Prior to Ike's Milwaukee speech, Tom Dewey persuaded him to include in the speech two paragraphs critical of McCarthy. GOP Chairman Summerfield talked him out of it. However, Ike wrote into the speech a paragraph praising his old chief, Gen. George Marshall, whom McCarthy had castigated. Again Summerfield arranged for McCarthy to talk Ike (See PEARSON, page 4)

## On The Carolina Front

Louis Kraar

The college joe and fraternity row are frequently gone over in plays, movies, and novels. But rarely is the undergrad campus scene depicted very honestly, or accurately.

A new novel, *Senior Spring*, written by a man not long removed from student life, gives a modern college joe and his girlfriend a fair treatment. Author Gil Lumbard is a recent graduate of the University of California. He belonged to a fraternity and, no doubt, had a girlfriend. Lumbard gives them all a fair shake in his story.

"When you hear about a fraternity you might be one of those people who think about real nice guys getting black-balled and getting their entire lives ruined, or maybe you think about keeping the Jews and Negroes out. Perhaps you think of some innocent little kid getting beaten to death with a paddle, I don't know.

"But when I think about the fraternity I think about sitting around in the back yard on warm afternoons, drinking beer and talking about football or where infinity is or women or automobiles. And I think of everyone calling everyone else 'babe,' and I think of Friday nights at dinner when we could sing dirty songs . . ."

That's Steve Burnett, the main character, telling his story. Steve is a senior in architecture at a large western university. His way of wanting to plan houses is different from that of his profs.

Steve's favorite extracurricular activity is Cassidy Kane, a quiet coed who belongs to "Theta Omega" sorority. Steve meets here at a house party at his fraternity, "Beta Alpha Nu."

Before long Cassidy is taking most of the time that Steve used to do his architecture work in, which wasn't much anyway.

"Guys in college are always damned worried about their courses. They never tell you that part in the movies . . ." explains Steve.

Cassidy and Steve finally decide to take off to a secluded farm for an amorous weekend. And, as one can guess, later biological difficulties occur. It's the way in which the two face their problem that makes the story true to life and a good love story.

Architecturally, Steve finds that professors are sometimes wrong. As for love, he finds that he's rarely right.

The big-wheel campus politician, the party boy, the sorority queen, and the scholar are all included in the novel. So are country club dances, back seats of parked autos, and exams.

Author Lumbard's tale about campus romance and its problems, recently published by Simon and Schuster, sells for \$3.50. You may see yourself, if you read it carefully.



AUTHOR LUMBARD

## YOU Said It

Assails An Editorial Candidate

Editor: I must protest in rather vigorous terms against the possibility that any campus political party will endorse the candidacy of your present sports editor for the top position on the Tar Heel. In my opinion he has proven himself completely unfit for the job he now has, much more so for the principal opinion-forming position on the campus.

To be specific: He has failed to comment on any of the sports events hereabouts, with the exception of the tournament just passed. I feel that the quality of the calling in the basketball games should certainly have been brought to the attention of the students, and of the conference. Coming from a western school I thought that I had seen a liberal interpretation of the rules; our officials almost disregard the intent of the rules against bodily contact.

He has failed to report coming campus sports events. Some freshman games were not announced in the Tar Heel, some scores overlooked, and generally a poor picture of Intramural games was given.

He has consistently opposed his editor on the place of athletics in the University. He has adopted the position in open debate that he must oppose de-emphasis as it will hurt the sportswriter, the damage to the school must be accepted in the face of this calamity to his future profession.

He has overlooked the difficulties of obtaining material at Carolina, while maintaining our present standards.

I think that in view of these observations the best that he could do for Carolina would be to publicly withdraw his name from the race.

Martin Roeder

(Says Sports Editor Peacock to Reader Roeder: "I do not believe it is the job of The Daily Tar Heel to complain of real or imagined injustices in officiating. For murals, see page three."—Editor.)

Durham Movie Ads Here?

Editor: I have been a student at the university for a period just under three years and by virtue of that fact have been reading The Daily Tar Heel for approximately the same length of time. During that time I have generally been considerably well pleased with the service that the newspaper provides, including news coverage and informative advertising.

Here in Chapel Hill entertainment at best is somewhat inadequate, the principal form being that of the movies. The Tar Heel performs a tremendous service to the students in bringing to them each day the movie calendar in Chapel Hill in the form of advertisements. Naturally, it cannot be expected that all the movies which are brought to town are worth seeing, but generally there is a wider selection in Durham.

Since most students go to Durham quite consistently to see the movies, why would it not be a good idea to solicit advertisements from the Durham theater managers? I would think that they are certainly aware of the fact that a considerable portion of their patronage comes from Chapel Hill students, and would want to better their chances of getting that patronage by advertising their movie calendar regularly.

Perhaps this has already been tried, but if it hasn't I would suggest that your advertising and business managers give it a try, because it would certainly be a helpful service to the student body as well as being good business.

Howard V. Scotland Jr.

Hope For Respect

Editor: The prophet without honor in his own country had nothing on the Carolina Playmakers in The Daily Tar Heel. Is it a policy of the paper or pure coincidence that your reviewers uniformly damn Playmaker productions with such faint praise that the impression of the play left in the mind of the reader is inevitably, and I quote, "adequate"?

While the recent Kiss Me Kate is an excellent case in point (Joel Carter's Petruchio was neither 'bouncy' nor 'credible' but a smooth and handsome handling of a demanding role) my objection is not specifically to this review or to any former one as objective theatrical criticism, but to the general feeling of the reviews as a whole.

I have no connection with the Playmakers other than a long-standing respect for the exceptionally high quality of their work—a respect based on a reputation they have maintained for over thirty five years, and one which has brought acclaim and prestige to the University. On that account it seems very sad to me to await Tar Heel reviews of each successive production without eagerness or expectation—only resignation. Your junior George Jean Nathans seem to be under compulsion to take the dim view.

Thoughtful criticism in any field is rare—it is exceedingly rare in the non-professional theatre, and I am by no means advocating a succession of bouquets to replace your past verbal tomatoes. If a production is mediocre or downright bad, as some undeniably are, let us by all means say so. But conversely, when a show is good, and very good, that seems worth mentioning too.

The Daily Tar Heel is a fine paper. Compared to other college dailies, it is outstanding. The Playmakers are a fine college theatre. Few other schools in this country are fortunate enough to have really good theatre available to them regularly if not inevitably. If any two aspects of campus life should complement each other, it would seem that these two should. It would be a happy thing if we could hope for a little mutual respect and encouragement in the future.

Libby Thayer

## Others Say

'Tis said that wrath is the last thing in a man to grow old.—Alcaeus.

Any excuse will serve a tyrant.—Aesop.

I would far rather be ignorant than wise in the foreboding of evil.—Aeschylus.