

The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publications Board of the University of North Carolina, where it is published daily except Monday, examination and vacation periods and during the official Summer terms. Entered as second class matter at the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the Act of March 3, 1879. Subscription rates: mailed, \$4 per year, \$2.50 a semester; delivered, \$6 a year, \$3.50 a semester.

Editor **ROLFE NEILL**
 Executive News Editor **CHUCK HAUSER**
 Managing Editor **KEN SANFORD**
 Business Manager **AL SHORTT**
 Sports Editor **TOM PEAOCK**
 News Editor **Jerry Reece**
 Associate Editor **Ed Yoder**
 Feature Editor **Jennie Lynn**
 Asst. Spts. Eds. **Vardy Buckalew, John Hussey**
 Sub. Manager **Tom Witty**
 Cir. Manager **Don Hogg**
 Asst. Sub. Manager **Bill Venable**
 Asst. Business Manager **Tom Shores**
 Society Editor **Eleanor Saunders**
 Advertising Manager **Jack Stilwell**

NEWS STAFF—Louis Kraar, Charles Kuralt, Fred Powledge, Babbie Dilosio, Richard Thiele, Tom Clark, John Jackson, Benny Stewart, James Wright, Stan Smith, Dell Hoyle, Warren Love, Taylor Durham.

Night Editor for this issue: Jerry Reece

Tar Heel At Large

—Chuck Hauser—

FACULTY evaluation, scheduled for tonight, can only be successful if students do their best to give honest opinions on each of their instructors. If the thing is handled sincerely, it will be of benefit to teachers and students alike. Naturally, there will be some soreheads who will attempt to get even with faculty members for low grades. These are to be expected, and will be discounted in the final evaluation. Most students will give the thing full cooperation—which is all it needs to be a complete success.

★
 KNOW YOUR Air Force—The leader of the USAF is (a) General Twining, (b) General Vandenberg, (c) General LeMay. — Quiz feature in Ram Jet, local AFROTC newspaper.
 Well, we know it's not this fellow Vandenberg...


★
 WE CONCUR heartily with the stand of The Corn Cobb that the IDC is being rather foolish in expelling the hard-working Cobb president from its ranks for missing some meetings. The IDC can expel, but it cannot tell the Cobbers whom to elect as president of the dormitory — that is their privilege and their business.

★
 FORMER Carolina student Jim Burns will appear in the professional road show stage production of "The Moon Is Blue" during the Wilmington Azalea Festival this weekend. The show will run Thursday through Saturday nights, plus a Saturday matinee. Jim plays the lead role in the spicy comedy that caused so much discussion when it was transplanted from the stage to the screen during the past year.

★
 CONTROVERSY over Senator McCarthy's demand for radio time to answer Adlai Stevenson resulted in some interesting editorial comments. Washington Post: "If Senator McCarthy were given free time on the air whenever anyone spoke of him disrespectfully, he would be talking incessantly — which sometimes seems to be the case anyhow." The Washington News: "Senator McCarthy can demand time to answer Senator Flanders if he wants to attend the Senate some time when it is in session."

★
 "THIS WASN'T on the menu," the Tulane University student told the girl behind the serving line in the school cafeteria. He was pointing to a red rubber ball which was sitting in his bowl of chili. Before the ensuing ruckus was over, the cafeteria management and the Dean of Students had accused The Hullabaloo, Tulane student newspaper, of planting the ball in the chili in order to get a picture for the paper. An architecture student walked on the scene a short time later and confessed that he had done the thing with his own little hands. No one seems to know whether the original diner in the case ever got another bowl of chili.


'Okay, Amigo. Now How About The Ship To Go Under It?'



GOP To Run Against Velde

Drew Pearson

WASHINGTON — The House Committee on Un-American Activities, now pretty well dwarfed by the gymnastics of a Senator from Wisconsin, is having one of its few full-committee meetings today. One reason for its infrequent meetings is that Republicans as well as Democrats have been sore at the helter-skelter tactics of the committee's unpredictable chairman, Harold Velde. Another is that subcommittee meetings have been held in key areas partly to unearth embarrassing political information that will influence local elections.



PEARSON
 are still sore over the way he subpoenaed Harry Truman without consulting other committee members. They feel this balled up the carefully laid Brownell plan to pin the Harry Dexter White matter squarely on Truman.

These are some of the reasons why Republicans in Velde's own congressional district are planning to run another Republican against him in the Illinois primary.

Meanwhile, one Republican on Velde's own committee, GOP Congressman Pat Kearney of New York, a former Commander of the American Legion, is thumbs

down on Velde and sometimes votes with the Democrats. However, one Democrat, Morgan Moulder of Missouri, sometimes sides with Velde.

A Congressman with a good record, Moulder persuaded Velde to give a job to the brother of his good-looking secretary, and apparently feels beholden to the chairman as a result. The brother, George Williams, has a \$9,211.28 job with the committee though out of college only four years—not bad for a young man of 25. So maybe Congressman Moulder can be forgiven for being grateful.

Meanwhile, also, Congressman Velde has one staff member, Leslie Scott, assigned to checking his own constituents back in Illinois, with a view to promoting the Congressman's re-election. His salary, paid by the taxpayers, is \$6,927.78.

The Velde committee has spent nearly \$500,000 since the beginning of the 83rd Congress and when you look over the payroll you can understand why.

One day, after the White House staged a record lobbying drive with the House of Representatives to pass the President's tax bill and preserve teamwork between the Executive and Congress, John Foster Dulles took an opposite stand before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

Asked by Senator Fulbright of Arkansas why he didn't do something about the way McCarthy was wrecking American foreign policy abroad, the Secretary of

State replied:
 "It is not my responsibility as a member of the executive branch to get into a problem which I believe is the responsibility of the legislative branch."

The Eisenhower tax victory in the House of Representatives marks not only one step up on the Republican tax program but the development of the smoothest-working machine Capitol Hill has seen in years.

Every President tries to develop a lobbying organization with Congress—some successfully, some not so successfully. FDR was highly successful, Harry Truman was not. At first President Eisenhower maintained a hands-off policy, but of late he has gone in for pressuring Congress as vigorously—and perhaps more expertly—than any other recent President.

In order to defeat the \$700 tax-dependency allowance, recalcitrant Republicans were promised jobs and campaign funds if they voted right; were called traitors to the party if they voted wrong. One of the most effective lobbying weapons was the old Citizens for Eisenhower Committee, now renamed the Committee To Elect a Republican Congress, which has been collecting fat-cat campaign funds and has threatened to withhold them from nonconforming Republicans.

That was why even GOP Congressman Ayres of Akron, who warned that to vote against the \$700 dependency allowance meant defeat, changed his mind and voted for what he said was defeat.

To Revise

—CPU Roundtable—

At its meeting Sunday the Carolina Political Union discussed various proposals for revising or amending the United Nations charter. It was agreed at the outset of the evening that the problem should be attacked from the viewpoint of what amendments we would like to see incorporated into the charter and we should not take into consideration the question of whether these proposals would have any likelihood of securing approval from the Soviet. It was generally agreed that it would be a difficult, if not impossible, task at the moment. Nevertheless, the following suggestions were discussed.

It was felt by most of the group that the procedure for the admission of new members should include action by the Security Council prior to consideration by the General Assembly. It was suggested that the Revisionary Conference propose an amendment to the charter allowing the General Assembly to take up the admission of new members without recommendations by the Security Council.

The question of restricting the use of the veto power by any members of the "Big Five" on the Security Council was next dealt with. The consensus seemed to be that the charter should be amended so as to forbid the use of the veto on the admission of new members (if the Security Council continues to exercise prior jurisdiction) and on the election of a Secretary-General of the UN. The group favored the continuation of the veto power on amendments to the UN charter, and on questions of world security of direct importance. Several participants stated that they believed an amendment should be made to specifically guarantee the continuation of business in the Security Council in spite of the walk-out of any of the "Big Five."

It was generally agreed that the General Assembly should be allowed to discuss matters concurrent with discussion of these same matters in the Security Council.

It was suggested by some members of the group that it should be required of all UN nations that they have universal, unrestricted, and free suffrage in all elections.

To avoid the possibility of armed conflict as a result of certain disputes between nations, the group proposed that the charter should require the compulsory settlement of disputes by arbitration, negotiation or mediation. The last resort should be arbitration with the compulsory acceptance of the verdict.

The charter should also be revised so as to include certain questions of interpretation which have arisen in the past ten years regarding the meaning of various articles. There should be a definite procedure outlined in the charter for the disposition of these questions of interpretations.

In summary, the group believed that in spite of the many defects in the structure and procedure of the United Nations, the main difficulty is the existence of tension between the major power blocs in the world. This situation will continue in spite of any revisions that are made in the charter. Furthermore, it was felt that we should not regard the United Nations solely as an instrument for settling disputes by pacific methods. The United Nations should strive to correct the economic and social problems which are underlying the other problems which we see.

To paraphrase Adlai Stevenson, it is misleading to judge the United Nations only by the failures which we see mirrored in the headlines of the newspapers across the face of the world. For every minute, hour, day and week which we spend in the various council chambers, the United Nations is making progress, slow though it may be, towards solving the gigantic problems which solutions must be the basis for any society which will be governed by the eternal idea of peace on earth and goodwill toward men.—Joel Fleishman.

YOU Said It

Editor:
 Keeping up with the daily volley of charges and countercharges by the candidates for the editorship of the DTH, I might like to make certain observations.

I could not but help recoil at the shock of Mr. Peacock's bland statement that he was undoubtedly the best qualified candidate for the post of editor. He has claimed that he is at least as literate as Mr. Kuralt. Surprisingly enough, perhaps, I feel that Tom Peacock has not shown as much qualification for the job as he seems to think in his somewhat egocentric fashion.

It appears rather irresponsible for an editorship candidate to make "golden promises" so often confused with candidates for other posts. Mr. Peacock has spoken for a big-time athletic policy, a caravan trip to Tulane, and against Saturday classes. He must be groping for issues, evidently, because he may not be able to stand on his ability to put out a DTH that would reflect the finest traditions of editorial leadership at UNC.

The ridiculous statement of his campaign manager that Mr. Peacock would be best for the coeds is further reflection of the type of half-truth battle he is waging.

And the very idea of calling Phin Horton a "liar!" I challenge Tom Peacock to take this into a student court and prove it.

Eleanor Addison

Others Say

Signs of the times: When the Daughters of the American Revolution asked a well-known Washington embassy to provide a speaker for a DAR meeting, the embassy replied that it had to decline because it couldn't become identified with any "revolutionary group."

Hawaii and Alaska already have more unity than most of the states in the union.
 —Paragraphics in the Greensboro Daily News

Speaking For You?

Those who sympathize with McCarthy's methods of investigation have contended for some time that the points upon which he has been attacked are moot—though they have yet to persuade us.

The New York Times, under an editorial section headline, "Europe on McCarthy," has come up with a compendium on the senator which cannot be called debatable. The first-hand reports demonstrate bluntly that the inquisitor from Wisconsin is sowing enmity and skepticism toward this country among our most valuable allies.

The French, for example, believe that McCarthyism is the ugly duckling child of a long-apparent cultural lag in the United States. In this lag, industrial capacities have made a tortoise of our puffing intellectual capacities. But general opinion in France is hopeful that McCarthy may be at last entering the grinding process that will wear him down to proper size. It has the idea, however, that the man and the method exist separately. We may fit the man back into the scope of things. But the French suspect that his tactics have left a noticeable imprint on our national thinking.

Opinion in the strategic state of West Germany agrees that regulation on McCarthy has not been forceful enough. Most disturbing in Germany is "Shadenfreude"—a malicious glee many persons there are taking because they have found in McCarthyism the hypocrisy of American leadership.

British closeness to the channels of American communication and to the strongly anti-McCarthy segment in the U. S. causes more optimism there than elsewhere. Although the English believe McCarthy is confronting his first real challenge, they are confident that he will come out on the short end. The entrance of Nixon on the other side of the fence from McCarthy, flimsy though the fence may be, meets the British notion that fire against fire was needed all the time. They regard the political ambitions of one as equal to those of the other. They hope the antagonism will burn itself out for the best.

The Times reports indicate the danger: that the thinking of a whole nation must look so uniform from the outside that it can be identified with the warped personality of one man.

But there are signs of optimism. As Sir Winston said once, "dictators ride to and fro on tigers which they dare not dismount. And the tigers are getting hungry."



Wednesday Nite is Ravioli Night at the RATHSKELLER 90c all you can eat

