

Georgia Boys & Bayonets & Ol' Marv's Leadership

"Of course, we'll close the schools, the laws are already set up. And if anyone started to federalize the guard, we could just discharge it, then form a state militia under the Second Amendment to the Constitution, the right to bear arms. No Georgia boy can be made to turn his bayonet against a fellow Georgian. These people just won't do it. I know these people. Georgia will never be integrated. The people won't stand for it."—Georgia Gov. Marvin Griffin.

It becomes increasingly evident that Georgia Gov. Gruesome Griffin is the ostentatious leader of Southern resistance to the Supreme Court's 1954 desegregation decision.

The part played by Griffin and his confidant and collaborator Roy Harris, anti-integration leader, in the Little Rock drama in infancy also becomes increasingly evident.

Gruesome Griffin and Hard-Headed Harris apparently established the prelude for the Little Rock drama with a trip to Little Rock some two weeks before ignominious anti-integration violence began on Sept. 3.

It was upon arrival at Little Rock Municipal Airport that Harris reputedly made this statement: "Why, havin' us at the mansion's the worst thing could happen to Faubus. It'll ruin him with the integrationists and the liberals."

Thus the one compliment which could be paid to Ozark Orval—that he had the courage of his convictions—appears misplaced. Griffin and Harris apparently prodded Faubus into his calling out of the National Guard and resistance to the U. S. Constitution, as interpreted by the Nine Tall Men.

Griffin's plan to avert integration, also, is the only thing more absurd to date—which has come out of the South's dilemma—more absurd than Faubus' lettering.

Such obvious bias and prejudice should be a beacon for the South—a beacon which should guide it from, not into, the troubled waters of violence. Griffin and Harris are names which become more and more synonymous with the brand of fanaticism brandished by John Kasper.

The argument that Faubus was pushed into his decision to call out the integration-detering National Guard is further substantiated by Harris' statement concerning a speech which he made before in Little Rock some two weeks before Faubus' decision:

"In the speech, I told them that Marv would use the National Guard, the state patrol and every able-bodied man in Georgia to keep 'em out of the schools. I believe that's what put Faubus on the spot . . .

Of course, Harris seems bound to a little self-aggrandizement.

But, nevertheless, it appears obvious that Faubus was not necessarily acting out the courage of his convictions. He was pushed. . . . And the only good thing to be said for Faubus and Little Rock, drizzles down the drain. . . .

And Ol' Marv' Gruesome Griffin leaps to the fore as the leading advocate of violence and anti-integration. . . .

No Georgia boy can be made to turn his bayonet against a fellow Georgian. . . .

Strong Through Education, Weak Through Ignorance . .

The world's current 2.7 billion population continues to grow and multiply—amazingly—and death rates are tumbling precipitously.

This poses trying problems for the American Educational pattern: Education For The Many.

According to statistics released by the United States delegate to the United Nations Population Commission Kingsley Davis, the world's population has almost doubled in the past 70 years, is

expected to redouble very child, theoretically, is entitled to equal educational opportunities. But does it necessarily follow that educational facilities will grow in proportion to the population increase so that children will continue to be granted such educational privileges.

We think facilities can be increased proportionately. And we see no just argument for limitation of education to the favored few—freezing of enrollment figures through entrance examinations proposed by some educators.

America has progressed ahead of the world, in most respects, due to its public school and higher educational benefits. In these trying times when Russian technological advances are evoking a tremor among many of the earth's peoples, there is—less than ever—a logical argument to support denial of equal educational opportunity through restrictive entrance examinations.

America is strong through education: it is weak through ignorance; it survives through an enlightened populace.

Hobgoblins & Propriety

Tonight's the night of hobgoblins and witches and jack 'o lanterns and all that sort of Halloween hohobobbling.

It'll be trick or treat night for many youngsters—and it'll be rest or arrest night for many of the local cops.

The spirit of Halloween should assuredly be observed, but it shouldn't be observed in a malicious sort of way which will lead to police action.

Students have in the past observed hobgoblin night with a pregnant sort of unrest but no action—or with malicious stunts. There ought to be a compromise somewhere between these two extremes.

We advocate that students give lee-way to their emotions and live a little—within the bounds of propriety, as prescribed by University officials and cops. . . .

Live, darn it, but live rationally and with some conservatism. . . .

VIEW FROM THE HILL:

Nationalism & Neuroticism & Moderation . . .

By Curtis Gans

Nationalism and ethnocentrism are like good drinks.

In moderation they provide both the variety and flavor that life needs. In excess they drive the senses of man away from reality and carry man to actions that he in soberer moments would call irrational.

The spirits of nationalism and ethnocentrism have been responsible for almost every major war in the history of man, and it is this spirit that precludes any world union, indeed any peace.

Almost all peoples are to some extent or another influenced by the culture that surrounds them. In one way or another, they also have a certain amount of partisan feeling towards that culture, despite the criticism that they level at their nation.

It does not take many people to fan the fires of this feeling until it gets to be a militant feeling in which the people possessing this militant nationalism consider themselves superior to any breed of people on earth.

If this feeling is expanded, it will make the people suppose that in being in the "cramped quarters" that they are, they are unable to prosper as they could. They may feel that their nation is being attacked and that they must defend it. They may, if this feeling is extended, feel that they are the only people in the world capable of governing the world.

It is not hard to see why Hitler had the fantastic success he did in Germany. For he preached the superiority of the "German race," and spelled out the doctrine of the "Lebensraum."

The German people, whose natural national affections were stimulated, gave support to this movement, which in the cold light of postwar, many Germans admit was wrong in principle as well as action. The result, however, was World War II.

So strong is this feeling among peoples towards their nation that Stalin in World War II dropped international communism as his policy cornerstone, and adopted the plea for the defense of "Mother Russia." This proved to be successful in uniting the Russian people of Russia to repulse the German invaders.

Hypothetically this same idea might have application in the present day. Last week, Marshal Zhukov was dismissed as head of the Russian army. If the case is that the soldiers of the Russian army are so allied to Zhukov that they present any danger to the Russian leadership, perhaps the best method of uniting the Russian people and the army under the Khrushchev and Bulganin regime is to start some military action for the glory of "Mother Russia."

It must be remembered in this modern age, that no weapon that has proved effective and useable has not been tried in war after its development. Thus, the hydrogen intercontinental ballistics missile stands as a tool in the hands of the minority in their vain hope to keep power over the majority of mankind. That minority can be a handful of men who drive into a group fervor a larger body of men called a nation who

could, if not destroy the world, at least turn the world into a large ocean of blood and rubble.

This picture should strike fear and horror in the minds and hearts of the majority of men. It may eventually lead to a world union based on fear, but even this is wishful thinking.

Moreover, this union in fear is a poor substitute for international understanding of the natural diversities of men placed in different communities, in different geographical areas, and making different adaptations to their environment.

The U. S. is not free from this spirit of nationalism. It caused legislators to balk at signing the U. N. Declaration of Human Rights and still prohibits the U. N. flag from being flown above the U. S. flag in any place in the U. S. and at any U. S. establishment throughout the world. The spirit is being intensified by the current drive towards conformity of all Americans towards blind uncritical yes-men for the U. S. government.

It is not inconceivable that the U. S., and not Russia, can provide the generating circumstance for world war in which nuclear weapons are used, if this nationalistic trend in America continues.

It has been argued in this column that a realistic foreign policy for this country is to aid the primary spirits in many countries—nationalism and hunger. At the same time U. S. foreign policy must be far sighted enough to see that the eventual breakdown of nationalistic barriers in the U. S. and throughout the world is the ultimate goal to be sought for.

Without some sublimation of the nationalistic and autonomous feeling in all countries the U. N. can not succeed and world peace is but a pipe dream.

A world grasping for security under the dominion of fear is an awful spectre. A third world war is equally frightening. There is another alternative.

Big Squeeze



READERS' REPOSITORY:

Tatum Tumbblings & Vaccine Vexation

EDITOR:

Although there can be no question of an editor's right to take and express opinions as he sees fit, we feel that when these opinions are expressed in terms which are misleading, inaccurate, or unworthy of his paper, he has overstepped this right. Accordingly, we would like to take issue with the Tar Heel editorial of October 27 concerning Coach Jim Tatum's recent dismissal of three of Carolina's football players.

The right to take such action is completely Coach Tatum's, and we know of no college where such jurisdiction is shared by any branch of the student judiciary. Tatum's actions in no way could be construed—as the editor implied—as "pushing around" the Student Council.

The Student Council tries cases involving violations of the Campus Code, and such a violation may have occurred in the case of the three players in question; if so, the case will be tried in the usual manner, and completely apart from any action on the part of Coach Tatum. We are sure the editor is aware of these facts, and we feel that his editorial was misleading, perhaps deliberately so.

We would also take issue with the disrespect shown Coach Tatum in the editorial in question. If the editor is not necessarily obligated to reflect student opinion, he is at least called upon to conduct himself according to the codes of gentlemanliness which Carolina students would maintain. Carolina's football coach is properly called Jim Tatum—"Jovial James," "Ten-Gallon Jim," and other such terms are not only unworthy of a gentleman, but reflect a childishness which we feel should

be left out of the editorial columns of the Tar Heel.

Coach Tatum was brought to Carolina to build a football team. So far as we now know, his actions in attempting to do so have not been unethical, or in any way designed to override student government, the administration, or, least of all, to wreck the scholastic standards of the University. If the editor knows of any such incidents, he should bring them out in the open where proper consideration can be given them. Until that time, we suggest and sincerely hope that he will give his undivided attention to building a more constructive paper.

BILL BAUM
DOUGLAS OVERMAN
DUNCAN McIVER JR.

EDITOR:

In regards to today's article on University Physician Edward M. Hedgepeth's concern over a lack of student response to the Asian flu vaccine at the infirmary, I would like to express the following:

Does Doctor Hedgepeth really expect the UNC student to hike over to the infirmary, taking time out from studies, labs, classes and various local appointments, and receive the "hurry up & waiting period offered?" wouldn't it be just as the time saving, economical and feasible to administer the vaccine for a period of two days at a temporary sub-station on campus plus the distant infirmary. Realizing, however, the flu vaccine would avert another epidemic later, I should believe infirmary officials would want to dispense these, long waited for, doses as quickly as possible.

"DEE" DONNORUMMO

by Al Capp



POGO



by Walt Kelly

FURNAI'S FERRETING:

General & Specific Micro's A Must . . .

By Joyce Furnai

One of the reasons we all came to college was for the ever predominant must in really enjoying life, education. Now the question may arise—what is an education? Well, there are all sorts, varieties, and kinds; but here I make reference to the "bookish" kind. Now another question looms before the eye, this being what sort of education should we procure from books?

From the college point of view it should be the comprehension and digestion of the idea, in the main, generalized.

Now with some of Carolina in focus this is not a correct assumption. It seems that some members of the faculty are in direct opposition to this theory.

It is true that they generalize in class, sometimes even to the point of vagueness, but come quiz time, one of two things happens: either the prof asks completely detailed questions, (and in studying for the quiz the latter are about as hard to spot as a unicellular protozoan locomoted by cilia in a bucket of water) or the questions are so ambiguous that one wonders whether or not he wants a condensed version of the book or just your head. In either case some of us wind up on the losing end.

Now that we, the students, are occupying the precarious position on a limb of the tree of knowledge, we realize we must make the grade on the next quiz; therefore in our readings we seek to spot questions, and in doing so miss the crux of the well-rounded education. We work for the instructor's admiration instead of our own, and when we leave, (whether or not with degree in hand) all we have is 10% of the detailed generalized picture, the other 90%, according to psychologists, is forgotten.

Oh, well, patience is a virtue, and maybe we'll pass that quiz yet, for after all that's the important thing and not how much we learn! Meanwhile better go borrow a microscope and thumb thru those books.

MORE ON TATUM:

And Comments On Edit Freedom

EDITOR:

I suppose I should address this more specifically to the person or persons who wrote, "Student Council Merits Last Word on Suspension," The Daily Tar Heel, Sunday, Oct. 27, 1957.

Who, the d . . . do you think you are? Or who do you think the Student Legislature is? the football coach?

Doubtless, few people agree 100 percent with what you call "Tatumian Tactics", but let's face it, "Pal," he is the coach. He is here to coach the UNC football team, and more specifically to build a football power at Carolina. He did what he had to do. So why can't you give the guy a break.

What do you say, we let the football coach coach the football team, and the DTH editor run the Daily Tar Heel, and try doing your job without always kicking the props of an already shaky pedestal. Your whole paper seems to be dedicated to the slogan, "Down with Tatum."

I'll be interested to see the Tar Heel the day the basketball team starts on the down hill skid. Don't get me wrong, I am not bitter. However, I do think you overdo this business of giving Tatum h . . .

PHILLIP C. ADAMS

EDITOR:

The editorial in Sunday's Daily Tar Heel, "Student Council Merits Last Word on Suspension," was an excellent example of the enlightened editor analysis which has dominated this publication for years.

Who else would have thought that Sunny Jim might have been unfair in his actions against the three footballers. (Do you think he's possibly a subversive agent working for Bill Murray?)

Who else would have reasoned that simple infraction of training rules are under the jurisdiction of the Student Council and possibly serious enough for suspension from the University? (Are you predicting a crack-down on students who drink beer after library hours?)

Who else would have noticed that Jim's actions show he now wishes to be disdainful toward student government? (Should Mr. Evans post non-athletic sentinels outside his office door?)

Who else? No one! Nobody but you callous editorial-writing fanatics who can go straight to the portion of h . . . reserved for Daily Tar Heel editors, while our Tatum-blessed team compiles a winning record.

ED O'KEEFE

EDITOR:

The plague-like proportions of the current semi-epi-whatever-it-is have driven newspaper Pringle Pipkin to what surely must be an exaggeration of sympathy and concern. In today's Daily Tar Heel he writes:

"Lenoir Hall's effort to supply the students in the dorms sick with soup is reported to be going well."

Or is this a subtle way of extending her attack on Lenoir Hall where the soup has on occasion made us sick at least?

May we suggest that Pipkin at his typewriter confused with sentence structure not add to the general unhealthiness of those students on campus diseased reading the daily news.

A HOST OF PEDANTS IN
THE ENGLISH DEPARTMENT,
SYNTAX AND SS DIVISION

The Daily Tar Heel

The official student publication of the Publication Board of the University of North Carolina, where it is published daily except Sunday, Monday and examination and vacation periods and summer terms. Entered as second class matter in the post office in Chapel Hill, N. C., under the Act of March 8, 1879. Subscription rates: mailed, \$4 per year, \$2.50 a semester; delivered, \$6 a year, \$3.50 a semester.

Editor NEIL BASS

Coed Editor ALYS VOORHEES

Managing Editor DOUG EISELE

News Editor BILL CHESHIRE

Asst. News Editor PATSY MILLER

Sports Editor BILL KING

Asst. Sports Editor DAVE WIBLE

Business Manager JOHN WHITAKER

Advertising Manager FRED KATZIN

Circulation Manager SYD SHUFORD

Wire Editor PAUL RULE

Subscription Mgr. AVERY THOMAS

Feature Editor BEN TAYLOR

Librarian GLENDA FOWLER

Business Staff WALKER BLANTON, LEWIS RUSH

EDIT STAFF—Whit Whitfield, Nancy Hill, Gary Nichols, Curtis Gans, Al Walker, Frank Crowther, Gail Godwin.

NEWS STAFF—Davis Young, Ann Frye, Dale Whitfield, Mary Moore Mason, Stanford Fisher, Ed MacKinnon, Pringle Pipkin, Mary Leggett Brown, Ruth Whitley, Sarah Armstrong.

SPORTS STAFF—Erwin Fuller, Mac Mahaffy, Al Walters, Ed Rowland, Ken Friendman, Donnie Moore, Neil Lehman, Elliott Cooper, Carl Keller, Jim Purks, Rusty Hammond.

PHOTOGRAPHERS—Norman Kantor, Buddy Spoon.

Proof Reader PEBLEY BARROW

Night Editor PEBLEY BARROW